Table 2C
New Housing Construction : Year to Date May 2025-2022

	NEW HOUSING UNITS AUTHORIZED FOR CONSTRUCTION BY BUILDING PERMITS																	
JURISDICTION	2025			2022			TOTAL HOUSING UNITS					SINGLE FAMLY UNITS						
	TOTAL	SINGLE FAMILY	PERCENT SINGLE FAMILY	TOTAL	SINGLE FAMILY	PERCENT SINGLE FAMILY	CHA NET	ANGE PERCENT	STATE P	PERCENT 2022	COUNT 2025	Y RANK 2022	CH NET	ANGE PERCENT	STATE P 2025	PERCENT 2022	COUNT 2025	Y RANK 2022
STATE OF MARYLAND (2)	6,670	4,576	68.6%	9,291	4,913	52.9%	-2,621	-28.2%	100.0%	100.0%			-337	-6.9%	100.0%	100.0%		
STATE SUM OF MONTHLY REPORTING PIPs (3)	6,670	4,576	68.6%	9,291	4,913	52.9%	-2,621	-28.2%	100.0%	100.0%			-337	-6.9%	100.0%	100.0%		
SUBURBAN COUNTIES	5,807	4,109	70.8%	8,316	4,477	53.8%	-2,509	-30.2%	87.1%	89.5%			-368	-8.2%	89.8%	91.1%		I
INNER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (4)	2.946	2,244	76.2%	3,722	1,901	51.1%	-776	-20.8%	44.2%	40.1%			343	18.0%	49.0%	38.7%		i
OUTER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (5)	2,524	1,703	67.5%	3,921	2,291	58.4%	-1,397	-35.6%	37.8%	42.2%			-588	-25.7%	37.2%	46.6%		ı
EXURBAN COUNTIES(6)	337	162	48.1%	673	285	42.3%	-336	-49.9%	5.1%	7.2%			-123	-43.2%	3.5%	5.8%		ı
STATE BALANCE	863	467	54.1%	9 <i>7</i> 5	436	44.7%	-112	-11.5%	12.9%	10.5%			31	7.1%	10.2%	8.9%		1
URBAN (7)	457	65	14.2%	611	95	15.5%	-154	-25.2%	6.9%	6.6%			-30	-31.6%	1.4%	1.9%		1
NON SUBURBAN (8)	406	402	99.0%	364	341	93.7%	42	11.5%	6.1%	3.9%			61	17.9%	8.8%	6.9%		İ
BALTIMORE REGION	2,895	1,408	48.6%	3,192	1,382	43.3%	-297	-9.3%	43.4%	34.4%			26		30.8%	28.1%		l
ANNE ARUNDEL	904	426	47.1%	868	610	70.3%	36	4.1%	13.6%	9.3%	1	4	-184	-30.2%	9.3%	12.4%	5	. 3
BALTIMORE COUNTY	631	427	67.7%	97	97	100.0%	534	550.5%	9.5%	1.0%	4	16	330	340.2%	9.3%	2.0%	3	15
CARROLL	56	56	100.0%	189	189	100.0%	-133	-70.4%	0.8%	2.0%	18	12	-133	-70.4%	1.2%	3.8%	18	, 7
HARFORD	277	257	92.8%	1,136	170	15.0%	-859	-75.6%	4.2%	12.2%	9	3	87	51.2%	5.6%	3.5%	7	. 9
HOWARD	570	177	31.1%	291	221	75.9%	279	95.9%	8.5%	3.1%	5	9	-44	-19.9%	3.9%	4.5%	8	. 6
BALTIMORE CITY	457	65	14.2%	611	95	15.5%	-154	-25.2%	6.9%	6.6%	7	5	-30	-31.6%	1.4%	1.9%	16	16
SUBURBAN WASHINGTON	2,125	1,768	83.2%	4,050	1,981	48.9%	-1,925	-47.5%	31.9%	43.6%			-213	-10.8%	38.6%	40.3%		l
FREDERICK	714	377	52.8%	1,293	787	60.9%	-579	-44.8%	10.7%	13.9%	3	2	-410	-52.1%	8.2%	16.0%	6	, 2
MONTGOMERY	512	492	96.1%	380	305	80.3%	132	34.7%	7.7%	4.1%	6	8	187	61.3%	10.8%	6.2%	2	, 5
PRINCE GEORGE'S	899	899	100.0%	2,377	889	37.4%	-1,478	-62.2%	13.5%	25.6%	2	1	10	1.1%	19.6%	18.1%	1	1
SOUTHERN MARYLAND	676	633	93.6%	616	616	100.0%	60	9.7%	10.1%	6.6%			17	2.8%	13.8%	12.5%		l
CALVERT	105	70	66.7%	71	71	100.0%	34	47.9%	1.6%	0.8%	15	18	-1	-1.4%	1.5%	1.4%	15	17
CHARLES	427	427	100.0%	439	439	100.0%	-12	-2.7%	6.4%	4.7%	8	6	-12	-2.7%	9.3%	8.9%	3	. 4
ST. MARY'S	144	136	94.4%	106	106	100.0%	38	35.8%	2.2%	1.1%	12	15	30	28.3%	3.0%	2.2%	9	13
WESTERN MARYLAND	319	152	47.6%	463	243	52.5%	-144		4.8%	5.0%			-91		3.3%	4.9%		l
ALLEGANY	18	11	61.1%	7	7	100.0%	11	157.1%	0.3%	0.1%	23	24	4	57.1%	0.2%	0.1%	24	24
Frostburg	3	3	100.0%	2	2	100.0%	1	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%			1	50.0%	0.1%	0.0%		ı
Lonaconing town	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0.0%	0.0%			0	-	0.0%	0.0%		ı
GARRETT	47	47	100.0%	62	62	100.0%	-15	-24.2%	0.7%	0.7%	19	19	-15	-24.2%	1.0%	1.3%	19	19
WASHINGTON	254	94	37.0%	394	174	44.2%	-140	-35.5%	3.8%	4.2%	10	7	-80	-46.0%	2.1%	3.5%	13	8
UPPER EASTERN SHORE	408	378	92.6%	526	431	81.9%	-118	-22.4%	6.1%	5.7%			-53		8.3%	8.8%		l
CAROLINE	24	24	100.0%	31	31	100.0%	-7	-22.6%	0.4%	0.3%	21	20		-22.6%	0.5%	0.6%	21	20
Marydel town	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0.0%	0.0%			0	-	0.0%	0.0%		ı
Preston town	1	1	100.0%	4	4	100.0%	-3	-75.0%	0.0%	0.0%			-3	-75.0%	0.0%	0.1%		ı
CECIL	73	73	100.0%	153	153	100.0%	-80		1.1%	1.6%	16	13			1.6%	3.1%	14	11
KENT	17	15	88.2%	23	21	91.3%	-6	-26.1%	0.3%	0.2%	24	22	-6	-28.6%	0.3%	0.4%	23	22
Betterton town	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0.0%	0.0%			0	-	0.0%	0.0%		ı
Rock Hall town	3	3	100.0%	-	-	-	3	-	0.0%	0.0%			3	-	0.1%	0.0%		ı
QUEEN ANNE'S	158	130	82.3%	243	155	63.8%	-85	-35.0%	2.4%	2.6%	11	11			2.8%	3.2%	12	10
TALBOT	136	136	100.0%	76	71	93.4%	60	78.9%	2.0%	0.8%	13	17	65	91.5%	3.0%	1.4%	9	17
Easton	19	19	100.0%	17	17	100.0%	2	11.8%	0.3%	0.2%			2	11.8%	0.4%	0.3%		l
LOWER EASTERN SHORE	247	237	96.0%	444	260	58.6%	-197	-44.4%	3.7%	4.8%			-23		5.2%	5.3%		l
DORCHESTER	28	28	100.0%	31	31	100.0%	-3	-9.7%	0.4%	0.3%	20	20	-3	-9.7%	0.6%	0.6%	20	2
SOMERSET	21	19	90.5%	13	13	100.0%	8	61.5%	0.3%	0.1%	22	23	6	46.2%	0.4%	0.3%	22	2
WICOMICO	65	57	87.7%	272	104	38.2%	-207	-76.1%	1.0%	2.9%	17	10	-47	-45.2%	1.2%	2.1%	17	14
WORCESTER	133	133	100.0%	128	112	87.5%	5	3.9%	2.0%	1.4%	14	14	21	18.8%	2.9%	2.3%	11	13
Ocean City town	42	42	100.0%	13	13	100.0%	29	223.1%	0.6%	0.1%			29	223.1%	0.9%	0.3%		ı
			ĺ															i

PREPARED BY MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING. STATE DATA & ANALYSIS CENTER. June 2025

SOURCE: U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE. BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

- (1) Includes new one family units, two family units, three and four family units and five or more family units.
- (2) U. S. Bureau of the Census estimate based on survey
- (3) Sum of reported and imputed responses to monthly permit issuing places questionnaires
- (4) Anne Arundel, Baltimore, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties
- (5) Calvert, Carroll, Cecil, Charles, Frederick, Harford, Howard, Queen Anne's and St. Mary's Counties
- (6) Allegany, Washington and Wicomico Counties
- (7) Baltimore Ci
- $\hbox{(8) Caroline, Dorchester, Garret, Kent, Somerset, Talbot and Worcester Counties}\\$

Specified PIP summaries included in county and county group total $% \label{eq:county} % \label{eq:county$

Percentages provided for "State Percent" utilize State of Maryland data for the denominator. This is a minor adjustment from previous reports, which utilized State Sum of Reporting PIPs as the denominator.