Table 2D

New Housing Construction and Value : Year to Date March 2025-2021

	NEW HOUSING UNITS AUTHORIZED FOR CONSTRUCTION BY BUILDING PERMITS											2002							
JURISDICTION	2025			2021			TOTAL HOUSING UNITS					SINGLE FAMLY UNITS							
	TOTAL	SINGLE FAMILY	PERCENT SINGLE FAMILY	TOTAL	SINGLE FAMILY	PERCENT SINGLE FAMILY	CHANGE S		STATE P	STATE PERCENT		COUNTY RANK				STATE PERCENT		COUNTY RANK	
							NET	PERCENT	2025	2021	2025	2021	NET	PERCENT	2025	2021	2025	2021	
STATE OF MARYLAND (2)	3,870	2,775	71.7%	5,133	3,595	70.0%	-1,263	-24.6%	100.0%	100.0%			-820	-22.8%	100.0%	100.0%			
STATE SUM OF MONTHLY REPORTING PIPs (3)	3,870	2,775	71.7%	4,811	3,385	70.4%	-941	-19.6%	100.0%	93.7%			-610	-18.0%	100.0%	94.2%			
SUBURBAN COUNTIES	3,347	2,501	74.7%	4,561	3,313	72.6%	-1,214	-26.6%	86.5%	88.9%			-812	-24.5%	90.1%	92.2%			
INNER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (4)	1,805	1,480	82.0%	2,238	1,522	68.0%	-433	-19.3%	46.6%	43.6%			-42	-2.8%	53.3%	42.3%			
OUTER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (5)	1,427	914	64.1%	2,262	1,730	<i>7</i> 6.5%	-835	-36.9%	36.9%	44.1%			-816	-47.2%	32.9%	48.1%			
EXURBAN COUNTIES(6)	115	107	93.0%	61	61	100.0%	54	88.5%	3.0%	1.2%			46	<i>7</i> 5.4%	3.9%	1.7%			
STATE BALANCE	523	274	52.4%	250	72	28.8%	<i>27</i> 3	109.2%	13.5%	4.9%			202	280.6%	9.9%	2.0%			
URBAN (7)	283	36	12.7%	200	22	11.0%	83	41.5%	7.3%	3.9%			14	63.6%	1.3%	0.6%			
NON SUBURBAN (8)	240	238	99.2%	50	50	100.0%	190	380.0%	6.2%	1.0%			188	376.0%	8.6%	1.4%			
BALTIMORE REGION	1,827	806	44.1%	1,905	1,237	64.9%	-78	-4.1%	47.2%	37.1%			-431	-34.8%	29.0%	34.4%			
ANNE ARUNDEL	546	243	44.5%	438	394	90.0%	108	24.7%	14.1%	8.5%	2	5	-151	-38.3%	8.8%	11.0%	3	3	
BALTIMORE COUNTY	249	235	94.4%	313	303	96.8%	-64	-20.4%	6.4%	6.1%	7	6	-68	-22.4%	8.5%	8.4%	4		
CARROLL	31	31	100.0%	153	153	100.0%	-122	-79.7%	0.8%	3.0%	18	9	-122	-79.7%	1.1%	4.3%	18		
HARFORD	321	166	51.7%	153	153	100.0%	168	109.8%	8.3%	3.0%	4	9	13	8.5%	6.0%	4.3%	7	,	
HOWARD	397	95	23.9%	648	212	32.7%	-251	-38.7%	10.3%	12.6%	3	3	-117	-55.2%	3.4%	5.9%	, Q	-	
BALTIMORE CITY	283	36	12.7%	200	22	11.0%	83	41.5%	7.3%	3.9%	6	8	14	63.6%	1.3%	0.6%	17	16	
SUBURBAN WASHINGTON	1,197	1,182	98.7%	2,115	1,377	65.1%	-918	-43.4%	30.9%	41.2%			-195	-14.2%	42.6%	38.3%			
FREDERICK	187	180	96.3%	628	552	87.9%	-441	-70.2%	4.8%	12.2%	9	4	-372	-67.4%	6.5%	15.4%	6		
MONTGOMERY	310	302	97.4%	746	279	37.4%	-436		8.0%	14.5%	5	1	23	8.2%	10.9%	7.8%	2		
PRINCE GEORGE'S	700	700	100.0%	741	546	73.7%	-41	-5.5%	18.1%	14.4%	1	2	154	28.2%	25.2%	15.2%	1	2	
SOUTHERN MARYLAND	373	338	90.6%	486	480	98.8%	-113	-23.3%	9.6%	9.5%			-142	-29.6%	12.2%	13.4%			
CALVERT	89	54	60.7%	68	68	100.0%	21	30.9%	2.3%	1.3%	11	14	-14	-20.6%	1.9%	1.9%	13	13	
CHARLES	234	234	100.0%	267	267	100.0%	-33		6.0%	5.2%	8	7	-33	-12.4%	8.4%	7.4%	5		
ST. MARY'S	50	50	100.0%	151	145		-101	-66.9%	1.3%	2.9%	15	11	-95	-65.5%	1.8%	4.0%	14	10	
WESTERN MARYLAND	90	90	100.0%	41	41	100.0%	49	119.5%	2.3%	0.8%			49	119.5%	3.2%	1.1%			
ALLEGANY	6	6	100.0%	-	-	-	6	-	0.2%	0.0%	24	-	6	-	0.2%	0.0%	24		
Frostburg	1	1	100.0%	-	-	-	1	-	0.0%	0.0%			1	-	0.0%	0.0%			
Lonaconing town	_	_	_	-	_	-	o	_	0.0%	0.0%			0	_	0.0%	0.0%			
GARRETT	20	20	100.0%	41	41	100.0%	-21	-51.2%	0.5%	0.8%	19	16	-21	-51.2%	0.7%	1.1%	19	15	
WASHINGTON	64	64	100.0%	-	-	-	64	-	1.7%	0.0%	14	-	64	-	2.3%	0.0%	12		
UPPER EASTERN SHORE	245	231	94.3%	194	180	92.8%	51	26.3%	6.3%	3.8%			51	28.3%	8.3%	5.0%			
CAROLINE	14	14	100.0%	<u>-</u>	_		14	_	0.4%	0.0%	21	_	14	-	0.5%	0.0%	21	] .	
Marydel town			_	_	_	_	0	_	0.0%	0.0%			0	_	0.0%	0.0%			
Preston town	_	_	_	_	_	_	0	_	0.0%	0.0%			0	_	0.0%	0.0%			
	20	20	100.004	70	70	100.004	40	E1 20/			17	10	40	E1 204			15	1.	
CECIL	38	38	100.0%	78	78	100.0%	-40 10	-51.3%	1.0%	1.5%	17	13	-40	-51.3%	1.4%	2.2%	.0	12	
KENT	12	12	100.0%	-	-	-	12	-	0.3%	0.0%	22	_	12	-	0.4%	0.0%	22	]	
Betterton town	_	-	- <b> </b>	-	-	-	0	-	0.0%	0.0%			0	-	0.0%	0.0%			
Rock Hall town	3	3	100.0%	-	-	-	3	-	0.1%	0.0%			3	-	0.1%	0.0%			
QUEEN ANNE'S	80	66	82.5%	116	102	87.9%	-36	-31.0%	2.1%	2.3%	12	12		-35.3%	2.4%	2.8%	10	11	
TALBOT	101	101	100.0%	-	-	-	101	-	2.6%	0.0%	10	-	101	-	3.6%	0.0%	8	.	
Easton	4	4	100.0%	16	16	100.0%	-12	-75.0%	0.1%	0.3%			-12	-75.0%	0.1%	0.4%			
LOWER EASTERN SHORE	138	128	92.8%	70	70	100.0%	68			1.4%			58	82.9%	4.6%	1.9%			
DORCHESTER	17	17	100.0%	-	-	-	17	-	0.4%	0.0%	20	-	17	-	0.6%	0.0%	20		
SOMERSET	10	8	80.0%	9	9	100.0%	1	11.1%	0.3%	0.2%	23	17	-1	-11.1%	0.3%	0.3%	23	17	
WICOMICO	45	37	82.2%	61	61	100.0%	-16	-26.2%	1.2%	1.2%	16	15	-24	-39.3%	1.3%	1.7%	16	14	
WORGEOTER	66	66	100.0%	-	_	_	66		1.7%	0.0%	13	_	66	_	2.4%	0.0%	10		
WORCESTER	00					<u>-</u>	00.	_	1.7 /01	0.0 / 0	101								
Ocean City town	.9	9	100.0%	26	15	57.7%	-17	-65.4%	0.2%	0.5%	10		-6	-40.0%	0.3%	0.4%			

## PREPARED BY MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING. STATE DATA & ANALYSIS CENTER. April 2025

## SOURCE: U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE. BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

- (1) Includes new one family units, two family units, three and four family units and five or more family units.
- (2) U. S. Bureau of the Census estimate based on survey
- (3) Sum of reported and imputed responses to monthly permit issuing places questionnaires
- (4) Anne Arundel, Baltimore, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties
- (5) Calvert, Carroll, Cecil, Charles, Frederick, Harford, Howard, Queen Anne's and St. Mary's Counties
- (6) Allegany, Washington and Wicomico Counties
- (7) Baltimore City
- (8) Caroline, Dorchester, Garret, Kent, Somerset, Talbot and Worcester Counties

Specified PIP summaries included in county and county group total

Percentages provided for "State Percent" utilize State of Maryland data for the denominator. This is a minor adjustment from previous reports, which utilized State Sum of Reporting PIPs as the denominator.