Table 2B New Housing Construction and Value : Year to Date March 2025-2023

JURISDICTION	NEW HOUSING UNITS AUTHORIZED FOR CONSTRUCTION BY BUILDING PERMITS																		
	2025			2023			TOTAL HOUSING UNITS						SINGLE FAMLY UNITS						
	TOTAL	SINGLE FAMILY	PERCENT SINGLE FAMILY	TOTAL	SINGLE FAMILY	PERCENT SINGLE FAMILY	CHANGE		STATE PERCENT		COUNTY RANK		CHANGE		STATE PERCENT		COUNT	COUNTY RANK	
							NET	PERCENT	2025	2023	2025	2023	NET	PERCENT	2025	2023	2025	2023	
STATE OF MARYLAND (2)	3,870	2,775	71.7%	4,758	2,435	51.2%	-888	-18.7%	100.0%	100.0%			340	14.0%	100.0%	100.0%			
STATE SUM OF MONTHLY REPORTING PIPs (3)	3,870	2,775	71.7%	4,758	2,435	51.2%	-888	-18.7%	1 00.0 %	100.0%			340	14.0%	100.0%	100.0%			
SUBURBAN COUNTIES	3,347	2,501	74.7%	3,451	2,177	63.1%	-104	-3.0%	86.5%	72.5%			324	14.9%	90.1%	89.4%			
INNER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (4)	1,805	1,480	82.0%	1,211	990	81.8%	594	49.1%	46.6%	25.5%			490	49.5%	53.3%	40.7%		1	
OUTER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (5)	1,427	914	64.1%	2,102	1,049	49.9%	-675	-32.1%	36.9%	44.2%			-135	-12.9%	32.9%	43.1%			
EXURBAN COUNTIES(6)	115	107	93.0%	138	138	100.0%	-23	-16.7%	3.0%	2.9%			-31	-22.5%	3.9%	5.7%			
STATE BALANCE	523	274	52.4%	1,307	258	19.7%	-784	-60.0%	13.5%	27.5%			16		9.9%	10.6%			
				-															
URBAN (7)	283	36	12.7%	1,071	39	3.6%	-788	-73.6%	7.3%	22.5%			-3	-7.7%	1.3%	1.6%		1	
NON SUBURBAN (8)	240	238	99.2%	236	219	92.8%	4	1.7%	6.2%	5.0%			19	8.7%	8.6%	9.0%			
BALTIMORE REGION	1,827	806	44.1%	2,633	913	34.7%	-806	-30.6%	47.2%	55.3%			-107	-11.7%	29.0 %	37.5%			
ANNE ARUNDEL	546	243	44.5%	377	246	65.3%	169	44.8%	14.1%	7.9%	2	5	-3	-1.2%	8.8%	10.1%	3	1	
BALTIMORE COUNTY	249	235	94.4%	276	246	89.1%	-27	-9.8%	6.4%	5.8%	7	7	· -11	-4.5%	8.5%	10.1%	4	1	
CARROLL	31	31	100.0%	37	37	100.0%	-6	-16.2%	0.8%	0.8%	18	17	-6	-16.2%	1.1%	1.5%	18	1	
HARFORD	321	166	51.7%	565	193	34.2%	-244	-43.2%	8.3%	11.9%	1	3	-27	-14.0%	6.0%	7.9%	7		
											4	0					,		
HOWARD	397	95	23.9%	307	152	49.5%	90	29.3%	10.3%	6.5%	3	6	-57	-37.5%	3.4%	6.2%	9		
BALTIMORE CITY	283	36	12.7%	1,071	39	3.6%	-788	-73.6%	7.3%	22.5%	6	1	-3	-7.7%	1.3%	1.6%	17	1	
SUBURBAN WASHINGTON	1,197	1,182	98.7%	1,200	748	62.3%	-3	-0.3%	30.9 %	25.2%			434	58.0%	42.6%	30.7%			
FREDERICK	187	180	96.3%	642	250	38.9%	-455	-70.9%	4.8%	13.5%	9	2	-70	-28.0%	6.5%	10.3%	6		
MONTGOMERY	310	302	97.4%	173	147	85.0%	137	79.2%	8.0%	3.6%	5	10	155	105.4%	10.9%	6.0%	2		
PRINCE GEORGE'S	700	700	100.0%	385	351	91.2%	315	81.8%	18.1%	8.1%	1	4	349		25.2%	14.4%	1		
SOUTHERN MARYLAND	373	338	90.6%	275	275	100.0%	98	35.6%	9.6%	5.8%			63	22.9%	12.2%	11.3%			
CALVERT	89	54	60.7%	31	31	100.0%	58	187.1%	2.3%	0.7%	11	18			1.9%	1.3%	13	1	
												10						1 '	
CHARLES ST. MARY'S	234 50	234 50	100.0% 100.0%	191 53	191 53	100.0% 100.0%	43	22.5% -5.7%	6.0% 1.3%	4.0% 1.1%	8 15	9 14	43	22.5% -5.7%	8.4% 1.8%	7.8% 2.2%	5 17	1	
			100.070			100.070	0	0.770	1.070	1.170		14	U U	0.770	1.070	2.270			
WESTERN MARYLAND	90	90	100.0%	143	143	100.0%	-53	-37.1%	2.3%	3.0%			-53	-37.1%	3.2%	5.9%			
ALLEGANY	6	6	100.0%	3	3	100.0%	3	100.0%	0.2%	0.1%	24	24	. 3	100.0%	0.2%	0.1%	24	2	
Frostburg	1	1	100.0%	2	2	100.0%	-1	-50.0%	0.0%	0.0%			-1	-50.0%	0.0%	0.1%			
Lonaconing town	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	,	100.070	E.	E E	100.070	,	00.070	0.0%	0.0%			,	00.070	0.0%	0.0%			
-	-	-	100.00/	45	-	100.00/	0				10	4 5	0				10		
GARRETT	20	20	100.0%	45	45	100.0%	-25	-55.6%	0.5%	0.9%	19	15			0.7%	1.8%	19	1	
WASHINGTON	64	64	100.0%	95	95	100.0%	-31	-32.6%	1.7%	2.0%	14	12	-31	-32.6%	2.3%	3.9%	12		
UPPER EASTERN SHORE	245	231	94.3%	333	193	58.0%	-88	-26.4%	6.3%	7.0%			38	19.7%	8.3%	7.9 %			
CAROLINE	14	14	100.0%	10	10	100.0%	4	40.0%	0.4%	0.2%	21	23	4	40.0%	0.5%	0.4%	21	2	
Marydel town	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0.0%	0.0%			0	-	0.0%	0.0%		1	
Preston town	-	-	_	_	-	_	n	_	0.0%	0.0%			n	_	0.0%	0.0%		1	
CECIL	38	38	100.0%	61	61	100.0%	-23	-37.7%	1.0%	1.3%	17	13	-23	-37.7%	1.4%	2.5%	15	1	
					10		-23												
KENT	12	12	100.0%	20	14	70.0%	-8	-40.0%	0.3%	0.4%	22	20	-2	-14.3%	0.4%	0.6%	22	2	
Betterton town	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0.0%	0.0%			0	-	0.0%	0.0%		1	
Rock Hall town	3	3	100.0%	2	2	100.0%	1	50.0%	0.1%	0.0%			1	50.0%	0.1%	0.1%		1	
QUEEN ANNE'S	80	66	82.5%	215	81	37.7%	-135	-62.8%	2.1%	4.5%	12	8	-15	-18.5%	2.4%	3.3%	10	1	
TALBOT	101	101	100.0%	27	27	100.0%	74	274.1%	2.6%	0.6%	10	19	74		3.6%	1.1%	R	1	
Easton	4	4	100.0%	8	8	100.0%	-4	-50.0%	0.1%	0.2%		.0	-4	-50.0%	0.1%	0.3%	5		
LOWER EASTERN SHORE	138	128	92.8%	174	163	93.7%	-36	-20.7%	3.6%	3.7%			-35	-21.5%	4.6%	6.7%			
DORCHESTER	130						-30				~~	~					~~	-	
	17	17	100.0%	16	16	100.0%	1	6.3%	0.4%	0.3%	20	21		6.3%	0.6%	0.7%	20		
SOMERSET	10	8	80.0%	12	12	100.0%	-2	-16.7%	0.3%	0.3%	23	22	-4	-33.3%	0.3%	0.5%	23	2	
WICOMICO	45	37	82.2%	40	40	100.0%	5	12.5%	1.2%	0.8%	16	16	-3	-7.5%	1.3%	1.6%	16	1	
WORCESTER	66	66	100.0%	106	95	89.6%	-40	-37.7%	1.7%	2.2%	13	11	-29	-30.5%	2.4%	3.9%	10	1	
Ocean City town	q	9	100.0%	10	10	100.0%	-1	-10.0%	0.2%	0.2%			-1	-10.0%	0.3%	0.4%		1	
	J 3		100.070	10	10	100.070	'	, /0	U.2 / U	0.2/0			• '		0.070	U.T/U			

PREPARED BY MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING. STATE DATA & ANALYSIS CENTER. April 2025

SOURCE: U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE. BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

(1) Includes new one family units, two family units, three and four family units and five or more family units.

(2) U. S. Bureau of the Census estimate based on survey

(3) Sum of reported and imputed responses to monthly permit issuing places questionnaires

(4) Anne Arundel, Baltimore, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties

(5) Calvert, Carroll, Cecil, Charles, Frederick, Harford, Howard, Queen Anne's and St. Mary's Counties

(6) Allegany, Washington and Wicomico Counties

(7) Baltimore City

(8) Caroline, Dorchester, Garret, Kent, Somerset, Talbot and Worcester Counties

Specified PIP summaries included in county and county group total

Percentages provided for "State Percent" utilize State of Maryland data for the denominator. This is a minor adjustment from previous reports, which utilized State Sum of Reporting PIPs as the denominator.