Table 2D

New Housing Construction : Year to Date June 2025-2021

	NEW HOUSING UNITS AUTHORIZED FOR CONSTRUCTION BY BUILDING PERMITS																	
JURISDICTION	2025			2021			TOTAL HOUSING UNITS					SINGLE FAMLY UNITS				ΓS		
	TOTAL	SINGLE FAMILY	PERCENT SINGLE	TOTAL	SINGLE FAMILY	PERCENT SINGLE	CHANGE		STATE PERCENT		COUNTY RANK		CHANGE		STATE PERCENT		COUNTY RANK	
	TOTAL	ONOLLIANE	FAMILY	IOIAL	SHOLE FAIRE	FAMILY	NET	PERCENT	2025	2021	2025	2021	NET	PERCENT	2025	2021	2025	2021
STATE OF MARYLAND (2)	7,508	5,507	73.3%	10,295	6,834	66.4%	-2,787	-27.1%	100.0%	100.0%			-1,327	-19.4%	100.0%	100.0%		
STATE SUM OF MONTHLY REPORTING PIPs (3)	7,508	5,507	73.3%	9,800	6,510	66.4%	-2,292	-23.4%	100.0%	95.2%			-1,003	-15.4%	100.0%	95.3%		
SUBURBAN COUNTIES	6,683	4,953	74.1%	8,584	6,157	71.7%	-1,901	-22.1%	89.0%	83.4%			-1,204	-19.6%	89.9%	90.1%		l
INNER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (4)	3,403	2,674	78.6%	4,132	2,766	66.9%	<i>-7</i> 29	-17.6%	45.3%	40.1%			-92	-3.3%	48.6%	40.5%		ı
OUTER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (5)	2,927	2,101	71.8%	4,339	3,278	75.5%	-1,412	-32.5%	39.0%	42.1%			-1,177	-35.9%	38.2%	48.0%		ı l
EXURBAN COUNTIES(6)	353	178	50.4%	113	113	100.0%	240	212.4%	4.7%	1.1%			65	57.5%	3.2%	1.7%		ı l
STATE BALANCE	825	554	67.2%	1,027	185	18.0%	-202	-19.7%	11.0%	10.0%			369	199.5%	10.1%	2.7%		ı l
URBAN (7)	349	96	27.5%	917	<i>7</i> 5	8.2%	-568	-61.9%	4.6%	8.9%			21	28.0%	1.7%	1.1%		ı l
NON SUBURBAN (8)	476	458	96.2%	110	110	100.0%	366	332.7%	6.3%	1.1%			348	316.4%	8.3%	1.6%		l l
BALTIMORE REGION	3,077	1,724	56.0%	4,030	2,389	59.3%	-953	-23.6%	41.0%	39.1%			-665	-27.8%	31.3%	35.0%		i I
ANNE ARUNDEL	999	516	51.7%	849	839	98.8%	150	17.7%	13.3%	8.2%	2	6	-323	-38.5%	9.4%	12.3%	6	3
BALTIMORE COUNTY	725	521	71.9%	543	533	98.2%	182	33.5%	9.7%	5.3%	4	7	-12	-2.3%	9.5%	7.8%	4	4
CARROLL	70	70	100.0%	232	232	100.0%	-162	-69.8%	0.9%	2.3%	18	10	-162	-69.8%	1.3%	3.4%	17	9
HARFORD	341	321	94.1%	325	325	100.0%	16	4.9%	4.5%	3.2%	9	9	-4	-1.2%	5.8%	4.8%	7	8
HOWARD	593	200	33.7%	1,164	385	33.1%	-571	-49.1%	7.9%	11.3%	5	3	-185	-48.1%	3.6%	5.6%	8	7
BALTIMORE CITY	349	96	27.5%	917	75	8.2%	-568	-61.9%	4.6%	8.9%	8	5	21	28.0%	1.7%	1.1%	14	16
SUBURBAN WASHINGTON	2,535	2,156	85.0%	4,092	2,521	61.6%	-1,557	-38.0%	33.8%	39.7%			-365	-14.5%	39.2%	36.9%		ł
FREDERICK	856	519	60.6%	1,352	1,127	83.4%	-496	-36.7%	11.4%	13.1%	3	2	-608	-53.9%	9.4%	16.5%	5	1 1
MONTGOMERY	563	533	94.7%	1,093	504	46.1%	-530	-48.5%	7.5%	10.6%	6	4	29	5.8%	9.7%	7.4%	2	6
PRINCE GEORGE'S	1,116	1,104	98.9%	1,647	890	54.0%	-531	-32.2%	14.9%	16.0%	1	1	214	24.0%	20.0%	13.0%	1	2
SOUTHERN MARYLAND	801	753	94.0%	884	841	95.1%	-83	-9.4%	10.7%	8.6%			-88	-10.5%	13.7%	12.3%		
CALVERT	110	75	68.2%	132	129	97.7%	-22	-16.7%	1.5%	1.3%	15	14	-54	-41.9%	1.4%	1.9%	16	13
CHARLES	533	533	100.0%	520	520	100.0%	13	2.5%	7.1%	5.1%	7	8	13	2.5%	9.7%	7.6%	2	5
ST. MARY'S	158	145	91.8%	232	192	82.8%	-74	-31.9%	2.1%	2.3%	12	10	-47	-24.5%	2.6%	2.8%	12	11
WESTERN MARYLAND	345	178	51.6%	95	95	100.0%	250	263.2%	4.6%	0.9%			83	87.4%	3.2%	1.4%		
ALLEGANY	21	14	66.7%	-	-	-	21	-	0.3%	0.0%	24	-	14	-	0.3%	0.0%	24	-
Frostburg	4	4	100.0%	-	-	-	4	-	0.1%	0.0%			4	-	0.1%	0.0%		1
Lonaconing town	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0.0%	0.0%			0	-	0.0%	0.0%		1
GARRETT	64	64	100.0%	95	95	100.0%	-31	-32.6%	0.9%	0.9%	19	16	-31	-32.6%	1.2%	1.4%	18	15
WASHINGTON	260	100	38.5%	-	-	-	260	-	3.5%	0.0%	10	-	100	-	1.8%	0.0%	13	-
UPPER EASTERN SHORE	473	429	90.7%	382	368	96.3%	91	23.8%	6.3%	3.7%			61	16.6%	7.8%	5.4%		
CAROLINE	27	27	100.0%	-	-	-	27	-	0.4%	0.0%	22	-	27	-	0.5%	0.0%	21	ı -
Marydel town	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0.0%	0.0%			0	-	0.0%	0.0%		1
Preston town	1	1	100.0%	-	-	-	1	-	0.0%	0.0%			1	-	0.0%	0.0%		i !
CECIL	92	92	100.0%	161	161	100.0%	-69	-42.9%	1.2%	1.6%	16	13	-69	-42.9%	1.7%	2.4%	15	12
KENT	31	15		-	-	-	31		0.4%	0.0%	21	_	15	_	0.3%	0.0%	23	
Betterton town	-	_	_	-	-	-	0	-	0.0%	0.0%			0		0.0%	0.0%		1
Rock Hall town	3	3	100.0%	-	-	-	3	_	0.0%	0.0%			3		0.1%	0.0%		1
QUEEN ANNE'S	174	146	83.9%	221	207	93.7%	-47	-21.3%	2.3%	2.1%	11	12	-61	-29.5%	2.7%	3.0%	11	10
TALBOT	149	149	100.0%	-	-	-	149	2	2.0%	0.0%	13	-	149		2.7%	0.0%	9	, <u>"</u> ]
Easton	28	28	100.0%	24	24	100.0%	4	16.7%	0.4%	0.2%	,,,		4	16.7%	0.5%	0.4%	Ĭ	
LOWER EASTERN SHORE	277	267	96.4%	128	128	100.0%	149	116.4%	3.7%	1.2%			139	108.6%	4.8%	1.9%		
DORCHESTER	32	32	100.0%			-	32		0.4%	0.0%	20	_	32	_	0.6%	0.0%	20	i -
SOMERSET	26	24	92.3%	15	15	100.0%	11	73.3%	0.3%	0.1%	23	17	9	60.0%	0.4%	0.2%	22	17
WICOMICO	72	64	88.9%	113	113	100.0%	-41	-36.3%	1.0%	1.1%	17	15	-49	-43.4%	1.2%	1.7%	18	
WORCESTER	147	147	100.0%	-	-	. 30.070	147	55.570	2.0%	0.0%	14	-	147	-3.470	2.7%	0.0%	10	
Ocean City town	42	42	100.0%	53	34	64.2%	-11	-20.8%	0.6%	0.5%			8	23.5%	0.8%	0.5%	.0	1
SSSSII OILY TOWN	42	42	100.0%	33	34	04.270	-11	20.070	5.070	0.070			°	20.070	J.U 70	0.070		i

## PREPARED BY MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING. STATE DATA & ANALYSIS CENTER. August 2025

## SOURCE: U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE. BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

- (1) Includes new one family units, two family units, three and four family units and five or more family units.
- (2) U. S. Bureau of the Census estimate based on survey
- $\hbox{(3) Sum of reported and imputed responses to monthly permit issuing places question naires}\\$
- (4) Anne Arundel, Baltimore, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties
- (5) Calvert, Carroll, Cecil, Charles, Frederick, Harford, Howard, Queen Anne's and St. Mary's Counties
- (6) Allegany, Washington and Wicomico Counties
- (7) Baltimore City
- (8) Caroline, Dorchester, Garret, Kent, Somerset, Talbot and Worcester Counties

Specified PIP summaries included in county and county group total

Percentages provided for "State Percent" utilize State of Manyland data for the denominator. This is a minor adjustment from previous reports, which utilized State Sum of Reporting PIPs as the denominator.