Table 2C
New Housing Construction: Year to Date June 2025-2022

| Summar Moderness | | NEW HOUSING UNITS AUTHORIZED FOR CONSTRUCTION BY BUILDING PERMITS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|-----------------|----------------|--------|----------------|----------------|---------------------|---------|---------------|--------|-------------|--------------------|--------|---------|---------------|--------|-------------|------|
| TATE OF MATERIAL PROPERTY OF TABLE PROPERTY OF T | JURISDICTION | 2025 | | | 2022 | | | TOTAL HOUSING UNITS | | | | | SINGLE FAMLY UNITS | | | | | | |
| TAMES PASSES PA | | TOTAL | CINICI E FAMILY | PERCENT SINGLE | TOTAL | SINCI E EAMILY | PERCENT SINGLE | CHANGE | | STATE PERCENT | | COUNTY RANK | | CHANGE | | STATE PERCENT | | COUNTY RANK | |
| SIMPLE PROPRIET YES PATTER PROPRIED 7,000 6,007 72,00 11,213 6,000 6,000 10,000 100,000 | | TOTAL | SINGLETAPHET | FAMILY | TOTAL | SINGLETAPILET | FAMILY | NET | PERCENT | 2025 | 2022 | 2025 | 2022 | NET | PERCENT | 2025 | 2022 | 2025 | 2022 |
| Summar Moderness | STATE OF MARYLAND (2) | 7,508 | 5,507 | 73.3% | 11,213 | 5,909 | 52.7% | -3,705 | -33.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | | -402 | -6.8% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | |
| MATERIAN PROCESSION 1,000 2,000 2,000 2,000 7,000 4,000 2,000 | STATE SUM OF MONTHLY REPORTING PIPs (3) | 7,508 | 5,507 | 73.3% | 11,213 | 5,909 | 52.7% | -3,705 | -33.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | | -402 | -6.8% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | |
| Composition 1.200 | SUBURBAN COUNTIES | 6,683 | 4,953 | 74.1% | 10,190 | 5,399 | 53.0% | -3,507 | -34.4% | 89.0% | 90.9% | | | -446 | -8.3% | 89.9% | 91.4% | | |
| Exemendation 183 179 1844 176 189 1897 1897 1897 1898 1899 189 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| STATE ALANCE | * / | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MARIAN 1949 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Month Mont | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| AMERINDREL 99 9 56 9.7% 1,446 90 4479 4-40 3-19 10 107 107 108 1 3-19 12 1 3 17 2 5-20 1 1 3 17 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | * * | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| AMERINDREL 99 9 56 9.7% 1,446 90 4479 4-40 3-19 10 107 107 108 1 3-19 12 1 3 17 2 5-20 1 1 3 17 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | 3 077 | 1 724 | | 3 877 | 1 594 | 41 1% | | | 41 0% | | | | | 8 2% | | | | |
| BATHORCOUNTY 75 70 70 1000-M 207 227 1000-M 207 22 | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 3 | | | | | 6 | 3 |
| CARROLL 70 | | | | | | | | | | | | 4 | 16 | | | | | 4 | 16 |
| MARFORD 341 321 0.414 1.161 | | | | | | | | | | | | 18 | | | | | | 17 | 7 |
| HOWARD 593 200 33.7% 592 32.9% 591 110 150 | | | | | | | | | | | | 9 | 4 | | | | | 7 | 9 |
| SUBURBAN WASHINGTON 2,555 2,156 85.0% 5,000 2,257 49.9% 2,485 49.9% 2,485 49.9% 3,268 49.9% 3,268 49.9% 3,268 49.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | 5 | 9 | | | | | 8 | 6 |
| FREDERICK 888 519 0.69% 1,006 1,007 | | | | | | | | | | | | 8 | 5 | | | | | 14 | 15 |
| MONTHOMENY 568 563 563 94.7% 437 369 80.8% 1.86 -82.9% 1.96% 2.9% 3.9% 6 7 100 51.0% 3.9% 6.0% 2.9 5.9% 5.9% 5.9% 5.9% 1.9% 1.20% 2.0% | SUBURBAN WASHINGTON | 2,535 | 2,156 | 85.0% | 5,020 | 2,507 | 49.9% | -2,485 | -49.5% | 33.8% | 44.8% | | | -351 | -14.0% | 39.2% | 42.4% | | |
| PRINCE GEORGES 1,116 1,100 98,9% 2,977 1,127 37,9% 1,881 46,28% 14,99% 26,08% 1,89% 26,08% 1,89% 20,09% 19,10% 1,91% 1,91% 1,92% 20,09% 19,10% 1,91% 1,91% 1,92% 2,09% 1,91% 1,9 | FREDERICK | 856 | 519 | 60.6% | 1,606 | 1,027 | 63.9% | -750 | -46.7% | 11.4% | 14.3% | 3 | 2 | -508 | -49.5% | 9.4% | 17.4% | 5 | 2 |
| SOUTHERN MARYLAND South | MONTGOMERY | 563 | 533 | 94.7% | 437 | 353 | 80.8% | 126 | 28.8% | 7.5% | 3.9% | 6 | 7 | 180 | 51.0% | 9.7% | 6.0% | 2 | 5 |
| CALVERT 101 75 88.2% 84 84 84 100.0% 28 31.0% 1.5% 0.7% 15 19 9 -10.7% 1.4% 1.4% 15 19 2 1 15 ST 133 533 100.0% 517 517 100.0% 30 23.4% 2.1% 1.1% 12 15 17 13.3% 2.6% 2.2% 12 12 ST MARYS ***ST.MARYS**** ***ST.MARYS**** ***ST.MARYS**** ***ST.MARYS*** | PRINCE GEORGE'S | 1,116 | 1,104 | 98.9% | 2,977 | 1,127 | 37.9% | -1,861 | -62.5% | 14.9% | 26.5% | 1 | 1 | -23 | -2.0% | 20.0% | 19.1% | 1 | 1 |
| CHARLES 538 538 538 1000% 517 517 1000% 16 3.1% 7.1% 4.6% 7 6 118 3.1% 3.7% 3.0% 5.7% 5.1% 1.0% 12 15 17 3.3% 2.6% 2.2% 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 24 | | | | | |
| ST. MARYS 158 145 145 146 151,00 | | | | | | | | | | | | 15 | 19 | ŭ | | | | 16 | 19 |
| WESTERN MARYLAND 345 178 | | | | | | | | | | | | 7 | 6 | | | | | 2 | 4 |
| ALEGANY 21 | SI. MANT S | 158 | 145 | 91.8% | 128 | 128 | 100.0% | 30 | 23.4% | 2.1% | 1.1% | 12 | 15 | 17 | 13.3% | 2.6% | 2.2% | 12 | 12 |
| FOSTILITY 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | -124 | | | | | |
| CARRETT | | 21 | | | | | | 11 | | | | 24 | 24 | 4 | | | | 24 | 24 |
| CARREIT 64 64 64 100.0% 86 86 86 100.0% -22 2.55.6% 0.9% 0.8% 19 18 -22 2.55.6% 1.2% 1.5% 18 18 WASHINGTON 260 100 38.5% 4.26 206 48.4% 1-166 39.0% 3.5% 3.8% 19 18 -22 2.55.6% 1.2% 1.5% 18 18 WASHINGTON 260 100 38.5% 4.26 206 48.4% 1-166 39.0% 3.5% 3.8% 10 8 19 18 -22 2.55.6% 1.8% 3.5% 13 8 WASHINGTON 260 100 38.5% 4.26 206 48.4% 1-166 39.0% 3.5% 3.8% 10 8 19 18 -22 2.55.6% 1.2% 2.55.6% 1.8% 3.5% 13 8 WASHINGTON 260 100 38.5% 4.26 206 48.4% 1-166 39.0% 3.5% 3.8% 10 8 19 18 -22 2.55.6% 1.8% 3.5% 13 8 WASHINGTON 260 100 3.5% 3.5% 13 8 WASHINGTON 260 100 3.5% 3.5% 3.8% 10 8 19 18 -22 2.55.6% 1.8% 3.5% 13 8 WASHINGTON 260 100 3.5% 3.5% 13 8 WASHINGTON 260 100 3.5% 3.5% 3.8% 10 8 19 18 -22 2.55.6% 1.8% 3.5% 13 8 WASHINGTON 260 100 3.5% 3.5% 3.8% 10 8 19 18 -22 2.55.6% 1.8% 3.5% 13 8 WASHINGTON 260 100 3.5% 3.5% 3.8% 10 8 19 18 -22 2.55.6% 1.2% 3.5% 13 8 WASHINGTON 260 100 3.5% 3.5% 3.8% 10 8 19 18 -22 2.55.6% 1.2% 3.5% 13 8 WASHINGTON 260 100 3.5% 3.5% 3.8% 10 8 18 18 WASHINGTON 260 100 3.5% 3.5% 3.8% 10 8 18 18 WASHINGTON 260 100 3.5% 3.5% 3.8% 10 8 18 18 WASHINGTON 260 100 3.5% 3.5% 3.8% 3.8% 3.8% 3.8% 3.8% 3.8% 3.8% 3.8 | = | 4 | 4 | 100.0% | 3 | 3 | 100.0% | | 33.3% | | | | | 1 | 33.3% | | | | |
| WASHINGTON 260 100 38.5% 426 206 48.4% -166 -39.0% 3.5% 3.8% 10 8 -106 -51.5% 1.8% 3.5% 13 88 UPPER EASTERN SHORE 473 429 90.7% 595 498 83.7% -122 -20.5% 6.3% 5.3% 2 -69 -13.9% 7.8% 8.4% CAROLINE 27 27 100.0% 34 34 34 100.0% -7 -20.6% 0.4% 0.3% 0.2% 2 21 7.7 -20.6% 0.6% 0.5% 0.6% 0.5% 0.6% 0.5% 0.6% 0.5% 0.6% 0.5% 0.6% 0.5% 0.6% 0.5% 0.6% 0.5% 0.6% 0.6% 0.6% 0.6% 0.6% 0.6% 0.6% 0.6 | | - | - | - | - | | - | _ | | | | | | 0 | | | | | |
| UPPER EASTERN SHORE 473 429 90.7% 595 498 83.7% -122 -20.5% 6.3% 5.3% 5.3% 5.3% 5.3% 5.3% 5.3% 5.3% 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 18 | | | | | | 18 |
| CAROLINE CAROLI | WASHINGTON | 260 | 100 | 38.5% | 426 | 206 | 48.4% | -166 | -39.0% | 3.5% | 3.8% | 10 | 8 | -106 | -51.5% | 1.8% | 3.5% | 13 | 8 |
| Marydel town - - - - - - - 0 - 0.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | 20 | 0.1 | | | | | 24 | 24 |
| Proston town | | 2/ | 2/ | 100.0% | 34 | 34 | 100.0% | | -20.6% | | | 22 | 21 | | -∠∪.७% | | | 21 | 21 |
| CECIL Settle 1 92 92 100.0% 166 166 100.0% -74 -44.6% 1.2% 1.5% 16 13 -74 -44.6% 1.7% 2.8% 15 11 KENT 31 15 48.4% 28 24 85.7% 3 10.7% 0.4% 0.2% 21 22 -9 -37.5% 0.3% 0.4% 23 22 Betterton town 0 - 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0. | - | - | - | 100.000 | - | - | 100.00/ | | -7E 00/ | | | | | - | -7E 00/ | | | | |
| KENT 31 15 48.4% 28 24 85.7% 3 10.7% 0.4% 0.2% 21 22 -9 -37.5% 0.3% 0.4% 23 22 Betterton town | | 1 | | | - | 100 | | | | | | 10 | 10 | | | | | 4.5 | 11 |
| Betterton town | | | | | | | | -/4 | | | | | | | | | | _ | 22 |
| Rock Hall town 3 3 3 100.0% 1 1 100.0% 2 200.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% | | - | - | 43.470 | 20 | - | 33.770 | n | 10.770 | | | 21 | 22 | Ĭ | 57.570 | | | 23 | 22 |
| QUEEN ANNE'S 174 146 83.9% 274 186 67.9% -100 -36.5% 2.3% 2.4% 11 11 -40 -21.5% 2.7% 3.1% 11 10 TALBOT Faston 149 149 149 100.0% 93 88 94.6% 56 60.2% 2.0% 0.8% 13 17 61 69.3% 2.7% 1.5% 9 17 28 28 100.0% 27 267 96.4% 475 284 59.8% -198 100.0% -6 -15.8% 0.4% 0.2% -17 -17 -6.0% 4.8% 4.8% -18 SOMERSET 20 20 -6 -15.8% 0.6% 0.6% 0.6% 0.6% 0.6% 0.6% 0.6% 0.6 | | .3 | .3 | 100.0% | 1 | 1 | 100.0% | | 200.0% | | | | | 2 | 200.0% | | | | |
| TALBOT 149 149 100.0% 93 88 94.6% 56 60.2% 2.0% 0.8% 13 17 61 69.3% 2.7% 1.5% 9 17 Easton 28 28 100.0% 21 21 100.0% 7 33.3% 0.4% 0.2% 1 7 33.3% 0.5% 0.5% 0.4% 1 15% 9 17 | | 174 | | | 274 | 186 | | | | | | 11 | 11 | -40 | | | | 11 | 10 |
| Easton 28 28 100.0% 21 21 100.0% 7 33.3% 0.4% 0.2% 50.2% 7 33.3% 0.5% 0.4% 0.4% 0.4% 0.2% 0.2% 0.2% 0.5% 0.4% 0.4% 0.4% 0.2% | The state of the s | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 9 | |
| DORCHESTER 32 32 100.0% 38 38 100.0% -6 -15.8% 0.4% 0.3% 20 20 -6 -15.8% 0.6% 0.6% 0.6% 0.6% 0.0% 20 20 -6 -15.8% 0.6% 0.0% 20 20 -6 -15.8% 0.6% 0.0% 0.0% 0.2% 0.0% 0.1% 0.2% 0.1% 0.2% 0.1% 0.2% 0.1% 0.2% 0.1% 0.2% 0.1% 0.2% 0.1% 0.2% 0.1% 0.2% 0.1% 0.2% 0.2% 0.1% 0.2% 0.1% 0.2% 0.1% 0.2% 0.1% 0.2% 0.1% 0.2% 0.1% 0.2% 0.1% 0.2% 0.1% 0.2% 0.1% 0.2% 0.2% 0.1% 0.2% 0.2% 0.1% 0.2% 0.2% 0.2% 0.2% 0.2% 0.2% 0.2% 0.2% 0.2% 0.2% 0.2% 0.2% 0.2% 0.2% 0.2% 0.2% | | | | | | | | | | | | .9 | , | 7 | | | | | ., |
| SOMERSET 26 24 92.3% 15 15 100.0% 11 73.3% 0.3% 0.1% 23 23 9 60.0% 0.4% 0.3% 22 23 WICOMICO 72 64 88.9% 279 111 39.8% -207 -74.2% 1.0% 2.5% 17 10 -47 -42.3% 1.2% 1.9% 18 14 WORCESTER 147 147 100.0% 143 120 83.9% 4 2.8% 2.0% 1.3% 14 14 27 22.5% 2.7% 2.0% 10 13 | LOWER EASTERN SHORE | 277 | 267 | 96.4% | 475 | 284 | 59.8% | -198 | -41.7% | 3.7% | 4.2% | | | -17 | -6.0% | 4.8% | 4.8% | | |
| WICOMICO 72 64 88.9% 279 111 39.8% -207 -74.2% 1.0% 2.5% 17 10 -47 -42.3% 1.2% 1.9% 18 14 WORCESTER 147 147 100.0% 143 120 83.9% 4 2.8% 2.0% 1.3% 14 14 27 22.5% 2.7% 2.0% 1.0 | | | 32 | 100.0% | 38 | | 100.0% | -6 | -15.8% | 0.4% | 0.3% | 20 | 20 | -6 | -15.8% | 0.6% | 0.6% | _ | - |
| WORCESTER 147 147 100.0% 143 120 83.9% 4 2.8% 2.0% 1.3% 14 14 27 22.5% 2.7% 2.0% 10 13 | SOMERSET | 26 | 24 | 92.3% | 15 | 15 | 100.0% | 11 | 73.3% | 0.3% | 0.1% | 23 | 23 | 9 | 60.0% | 0.4% | 0.3% | 22 | 23 |
| | | | | 88.9% | | | 39.8% | -207 | | | | 17 | 10 | | | | | 18 | |
| Occar City to um | | | | | | | | 4 | | | | 14 | 14 | | | | | 10 | 13 |
| Ocean City town 29 223.1% 0.6% 0.1% 29 223.1% 0.8% 0.2% | Ocean City town | 42 | 42 | 100.0% | 13 | 13 | 100.0% | 29 | 223.1% | 0.6% | 0.1% | | | 29 | 223.1% | 0.8% | 0.2% | | |

PREPARED BY MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING. STATE DATA & ANALYSIS CENTER. August 2025

SOURCE: U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE. BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

- $(1) \, Includes \, new \, one \, family \, units, \, two \, family \, units, \, three \, and \, four \, family \, units \, and \, five \, or \, more \, family \, units.$
- (2) U. S. Bureau of the Census estimate based on survey
- $\hbox{(3) Sum of reported and imputed responses to monthly permit issuing places question naires}\\$
- (4) Anne Arundel, Baltimore, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties
- $(5) \, Calvert, Carroll, Cecil, Charles, Frederick, Harford, Howard, Queen \, Anne's \, and \, St. \, Mary's \, Counties$
- (6) Allegany, Washington and Wicomico Counties
- (7) Baltimore Ci
- $\hbox{(8) Caroline, Dorchester, Garret, Kent, Somerset, Talbot and Worcester Counties}\\$

Specified PIP summaries included in county and county group total $% \label{eq:county} % \label{eq:county$

Percentages provided for "State Percent" utilize State of Maryland data for the denominator. This is a minor adjustment from previous reports, which utilized State Sum of Reporting PIPs as the denominator.