Table 2C
New Housing Construction : Year to Date July 2025-2022

	NEW HOUSING UNITS AUTHORIZED FOR CONSTRUCTION BY BUILDING PERMITS						T											
JURISDICTION	2025			2022			TOTAL HOUSING UNITS					SINGLE FAMLY UNITS						
	TOTAL	SINGLE FAMILY	PERCENT SINGLE	TOTAL	SINGLE FAMILY	PERCENT SINGLE	CHANGE		STATE PERCENT		COUNTY RANK		CHANGE		STATE PERCENT		COUNTY RANK	
	TOTAL	ONOLLIANE	FAMILY	TOTAL	ONOCCIAINCI	FAMILY	NET	PERCENT	2025	2022	2025	2022	NET	PERCENT	2025	2022	2025	2022
STATE OF MARYLAND (2)	8,528	6,346	74.4%	13,150	6,442	49.0%	-4,622	-35.1%	100.0%	100.0%			-96	-1.5%	100.0%	100.0%		
STATE SUM OF MONTHLY REPORTING PIPs (3)	8,528	6,346	74.4%	13,150	6,442	49.0%	-4,622	-35.1%	100.0%	100.0%			-96	-1.5%	100.0%	100.0%		
SUBURBAN COUNTIES	7,657	5,713	74.6%	11,873	5,848	49.3%	-4,216	-35.5%	89.8%	90.3%			-135	-2.3%	90.0%	90.8%		
INNER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (4)	3,971	3,000	75.5%	5,965	2,443	41.0%	-1,994	-33.4%	46.6%	45.4%			557	22.8%	47.3%	37.9%		
OUTER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (5)	3,299	2,501	75.8%	5,106	3,051	59.8%	-1,807	-35.4%	38.7%	38.8%			-550	-18.0%	39.4%	47.4%		
EXURBAN COUNTIES(6)	387	212	54.8%	802	354	44.1%	-415	-51.7%	4.5%	6.1%			-142	-40.1%	3.3%	5.5%		
STATE BALANCE	871	633	72.7%	1,277	594	46.5%	-406	-31.8%	10.2%	9.7%			39	6.6%	10.0%	9.2%		
URBAN (7)	336	116	34.5%	<i>7</i> 58	122	16.1%	-422	-55.7%	3.9%	5.8%			-6	-4.9%	1.8%	1.9%		
NON SUBURBAN (8)	535	517	96.6%	519	472	90.9%	16	3.1%	6.3%	3.9%			45	9.5%	8.1%	7.3%		
BALTIMORE REGION	3,337	1,996	59.8%	4,185	1,692	40.4%	-848	-20.3%	39.1%	31.8%	0		304	18.0%	31.5%	26.3%	•	0
ANNE ARUNDEL	1,035	547	52.9%	1,507	731	48.5%	-472	-31.3%	12.1%	11.5%	2	3	-184	-25.2%	8.6%	11.3%	6	3
BALTIMORE COUNTY	846	626	74.0%	101	101	100.0%	745	737.6%	9.9%	0.8%	4	17	525	519.8%	9.9%	1.6%	3	16
CARROLL	92	92	100.0%	265	262	98.9%	-173	-65.3%	1.1%	2.0%	18	12	-170	-64.9%	1.4%	4.1%	16	/
HARFORD	411	391	95.1%	1,174	208	17.7%	-763	-65.0%	4.8%	8.9%	8	4	183	88.0%	6.2%	3.2%	7	9
HOWARD	617	224	36.3%	380	268	70.5%	237	62.4%	7.2%	2.9%	6	9	-44	-16.4%	3.5%	4.2%	8	6
BALTIMORE CITY	336	116	34.5%	758	122	16.1%	-422	-55.7%	3.9%	5.8%	9	5	-6	-4.9%	1.8%	1.9%	13	14
SUBURBAN WASHINGTON	2,990	2,390	79.9%	6,308	2,692	42.7%	-3,318	-52.6%	35.1%	48.0%			-302	-11.2%	37.7%	41.8%		
FREDERICK	900	563	62.6%	1,951	1,081	55.4%	-1,051	-53.9%	10.6%	14.8%	3	2	-518	-47.9%	8.9%	16.8%	4	2
MONTGOMERY	593	563	94.9%	490	399	81.4%	103	21.0%	7.0%	3.7%	7	7	164	41.1%	8.9%	6.2%	4	5
PRINCE GEORGE'S	1,497	1,264	84.4%	3,867	1,212	31.3%	-2,370	-61.3%	17.6%	29.4%	1	1	52	4.3%	19.9%	18.8%	1	1
SOUTHERN MARYLAND	978	930	95.1%	844	842	99.8%	134	15.9%	11.5%	6.4%			88	10.5%	14.7%	13.1%		
CALVERT	115	80	69.6%	92	92	100.0%	23	25.0%	1.3%	0.7%	15	19	-12	-13.0%	1.3%	1.4%	18	19
CHARLES	689	689	100.0%	603	601	99.7%	86	14.3%	8.1%	4.6%	5	6	88	14.6%	10.9%	9.3%	2	4
ST. MARY'S	174	161	92.5%	149	149	100.0%	25	16.8%	2.0%	1.1%	12	15	12	8.1%	2.5%	2.3%	11	12
WESTERN MARYLAND	368	201	54.6%	551	331	60.1%	-183	-33.2%	4.3%	4.2%			-130	-39.3%	3.2%	5.1%		
ALLEGANY	23	16	69.6%	10	10	100.0%	13	130.0%	0.3%	0.1%	24	24	6	60.0%	0.3%	0.2%	24	24
Frostburg	5	5	100.0%	3	3	100.0%	2	66.7%	0.1%	0.0%			2	66.7%	0.1%	0.0%		
Lonaconing town			-	-	-	-	0		0.0%	0.0%			0	-	0.0%	0.0%		
GARRETT	76	76	100.0%	97	97	100.0%	-21	-21.6%	0.9%	0.7%	19	18	-21	-21.6%	1.2%	1.5%	19	18
WASHINGTON	269	109	40.5%	444	224	50.5%	-175	-39.4%	3.2%	3.4%	10	8	-115	-51.3%	1.7%	3.5%	14	8
UPPER EASTERN SHORE CAROLINE	<b>526</b> 34	<b>510</b> 34	<b>97.0</b> % 100.0%	<b>669</b> 40	<b>558</b> 40	<b>83.4%</b> 100.0%	-143 -6	-21.4% -15.0%	<b>6.2%</b> 0.4%	<b>5.1%</b> 0.3%	20	21	-48	<b>-8.6%</b> -15.0%	<b>8.0%</b> 0.5%	<b>8.7%</b> 0.6%	21	21
	34	34	100.0%	40	40	100.0%	-6	-15.0%			22	21	-6	-15.0%			21	21
Marydel town	- 2	-	100.00/	- 4	-	100.00/	0	- -	0.0%	0.0%			0		0.0%	0.0%		
Preston town	_	2	100.0%	7	4	100.0%	-2	-50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	40		-2	-50.0%	0.0%	0.1%	4-	
CECIL KENT	102	102	100.0%	186	186	100.0%	-84	-45.2%	1.2%	1.4%	16	13	-84 -7	-45.2%	1.6%	2.9%	15	11
	36	20	55.6%	31	27	87.1%	0	16.1%	0.4%	0.2%	21	22	· · ·	-25.9%	0.3%	0.4%	23	22
Betterton town	- 3		400.004		-	400.004	· ·	200.007	0.0%	0.0%			0	200.001	0.0%	0.0%		
Rock Hall town	3	3	100.0%	306	7	100.0%	2	200.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11	11	-5	200.0%	0.0%	0.0%	_	40
QUEEN ANNE'S	199	199	100.0%		204	66.7%	-107	-35.0%	2.3%	2.3%	11 14	11 16	ŭ	-2.5%	3.1%	3.2%	40	10
TALBOT Easton	155 33	155 33	100.0% 100.0%	106 <i>2</i> 3	101 23	95.3% 100.0%	49 10	46.2% 43.5%	1.8% 0.4%	0.8% 0.2%	14	16	54 10	53.5% 43.5%	2.4% 0.5%	1.6% 0.4%	12	16
LOWER EASTERN SHORE	329	319	97.0%	593	327	55.1%	-264	-44.5%	3.9%	4.5%			-8	-2.4%	5.0%	5.1%		
DORCHESTER	40	40	100.0%	44	44	100.0%	-/	-9.1%	0.5%	0.3%	20	20	_л	-9.1%	0.6%	0.7%	20	20
SOMERSET	32	30	93.8%	24	18	75.0%	Ω Ω	33.3%	0.4%	0.3%	23	23	12	66.7%	0.5%	0.7%	22	23
WICOMICO	95	87	91.6%	348	120	34.5%	-253	-72.7%	1.1%	2.6%	17	10	-33	-27.5%	1.4%	1.9%	17	15
WORCESTER	162	162	100.0%	177	145	81.9%	-15	-8.5%	1.1%	1.3%	13	14	17	11.7%	2.6%	2.3%	10	13
Ocean City town	45	45	100.0%	16	16	100.0%	29	181.3%	0.5%	0.1%			29	181.3%	0.7%	0.2%	.0	
	45	45	700.070	70	70	700.070	2.9	.51.570	3.570	5.170			23	.51.670	3.770	3.2 /0		

## PREPARED BY MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING. STATE DATA & ANALYSIS CENTER. September 2025

SOURCE: U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE. BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

- (1) Includes new one family units, two family units, three and four family units and five or more family units.
- (2) U. S. Bureau of the Census estimate based on survey
- (3) Sum of reported and imputed responses to monthly permit issuing places questionnaires
- (4) Anne Arundel, Baltimore, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties
- (5) Calvert, Carroll, Cecil, Charles, Frederick, Harford, Howard, Queen Anne's and St. Mary's Counties
- (6) Allegany, Washington and Wicomico Counties
- (7) Baltimore Ci
- $\hbox{(8) Caroline, Dorchester, Garret, Kent, Somerset, Talbot and Worcester Counties}\\$

Specified PIP summaries included in county and county group total  $% \label{eq:county} % \label{eq:county$ 

Percentages provided for "State Percent" utilize State of Maryland data for the denominator. This is a minor adjustment from previous reports, which utilized State Sum of Reporting PIPs as the denominator.