

**Table 2D**  
**New Housing Construction and Value : Year to Date January 2025-2021**

JURISDICTION	NEW HOUSING UNITS AUTHORIZED FOR CONSTRUCTION BY BUILDING PERMITS						TOTAL HOUSING UNITS						SINGLE FAMILY UNITS					
	2025			2021			CHANGE		STATE PERCENT		COUNTY RANK		CHANGE		STATE PERCENT		COUNTY RANK	
	TOTAL	SINGLE FAMILY	PERCENT SINGLE FAMILY	TOTAL	SINGLE FAMILY	PERCENT SINGLE FAMILY	NET	PERCENT	2025	2021	2025	2021	NET	PERCENT	2025	2021	2025	2021
<b>STATE OF MARYLAND (2)</b>	<b>1,408</b>	<b>896</b>	<b>63.6%</b>	<b>1,320</b>	<b>1,144</b>	<b>86.7%</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>6.7%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>			<b>-248</b>	<b>-21.7%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>		
<b>STATE SUM OF MONTHLY REPORTING PIPs (3)</b>	<b>1,408</b>	<b>896</b>	<b>63.6%</b>	<b>1,247</b>	<b>1,071</b>	<b>85.9%</b>	<b>161</b>	<b>12.9%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>94.5%</b>			<b>-175</b>	<b>-16.3%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>93.6%</b>		
<b>SUBURBAN COUNTIES</b>	<b>1,294</b>	<b>806</b>	<b>62.3%</b>	<b>1,187</b>	<b>1,041</b>	<b>87.7%</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>9.0%</b>	<b>91.9%</b>	<b>89.9%</b>			<b>-235</b>	<b>-22.6%</b>	<b>90.0%</b>	<b>91.0%</b>		
INNER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (4)	810	485	59.9%	631	531	84.2%	179	28.4%	57.5%	47.8%			-46	-8.7%	54.1%	46.4%		
OUTER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (5)	458	303	66.2%	539	493	91.5%	-81	-15.0%	32.5%	40.8%			-190	-38.5%	33.8%	43.1%		
EXURBAN COUNTIES(6)	26	18	69.2%	17	17	100.0%	9	52.9%	1.8%	1.3%			1	5.9%	2.0%	1.5%		
<b>STATE BALANCE</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>78.9%</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>90.0%</b>	<b>8.1%</b>	<b>4.5%</b>			<b>60</b>	<b>200.0%</b>	<b>10.0%</b>	<b>2.6%</b>		
URBAN (7)	44	20	45.5%	44	14	31.8%	0	0.0%	3.1%	3.3%			6	42.9%	2.2%	1.2%		
NON SUBURBAN (8)	70	70	100.0%	16	16	100.0%	54	337.5%	5.0%	1.2%			54	337.5%	7.8%	1.4%		
<b>BALTIMORE REGION</b>	<b>678</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>32.0%</b>	<b>429</b>	<b>378</b>	<b>88.1%</b>	<b>249</b>	<b>58.0%</b>	<b>48.2%</b>	<b>32.5%</b>			<b>-161</b>	<b>-42.6%</b>	<b>24.2%</b>	<b>33.0%</b>		
ANNE ARUNDEL	377	74	19.6%	133	116	87.2%	244	183.5%	26.8%	10.1%	1	4	-42	-36.2%	8.3%	10.1%	3	3
BALTIMORE COUNTY	61	47	77.0%	92	88	95.7%	-31	-33.7%	4.3%	7.0%	7	5	-41	-46.6%	5.2%	7.7%	6	5
CARROLL	12	12	100.0%	37	37	100.0%	-25	-67.6%	0.9%	2.8%	16	11	-25	-67.6%	1.3%	3.2%	15	10
HARFORD	27	27	100.0%	50	50	100.0%	-23	-46.0%	1.9%	3.8%	11	9	-23	-46.0%	3.0%	4.4%	10	9
HOWARD	157	37	23.6%	73	73	100.0%	84	115.1%	11.2%	5.5%	3	6	-36	-49.3%	4.1%	6.4%	8	6
BALTIMORE CITY	44	20	45.5%	44	14	31.8%	0	0.0%	3.1%	3.3%	9	10	6	42.9%	2.2%	1.2%	13	15
<b>SUBURBAN WASHINGTON</b>	<b>444</b>	<b>436</b>	<b>98.2%</b>	<b>588</b>	<b>468</b>	<b>79.6%</b>	<b>-144</b>	<b>-24.5%</b>	<b>31.5%</b>	<b>44.5%</b>			<b>-32</b>	<b>-6.8%</b>	<b>48.7%</b>	<b>40.9%</b>		
FREDERICK	72	72	100.0%	182	141	77.5%	-110	-60.4%	5.1%	13.8%	6	2	-69	-48.9%	8.0%	12.3%	4	2
MONTGOMERY	119	111	93.3%	178	99	55.6%	-59	-33.1%	8.5%	13.5%	4	3	12	12.1%	12.4%	8.7%	2	4
PRINCE GEORGE'S	253	253	100.0%	228	228	100.0%	25	11.0%	18.0%	17.3%	2	1	25	11.0%	28.2%	19.9%	1	1
<b>SOUTHERN MARYLAND</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>76.7%</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>96.8%</b>	<b>-8</b>	<b>-5.1%</b>	<b>10.7%</b>	<b>12.0%</b>			<b>-38</b>	<b>-24.8%</b>	<b>12.8%</b>	<b>13.4%</b>		
CALVERT	76	41	53.9%	22	22	100.0%	54	245.5%	5.4%	1.7%	5	12	19	86.4%	4.6%	1.9%	7	11
CHARLES	59	59	100.0%	65	65	100.0%	-6	-9.2%	4.2%	4.9%	8	8	-6	-9.2%	6.6%	5.7%	5	8
ST. MARY'S	15	15	100.0%	71	66	93.0%	-56	-78.9%	1.1%	5.4%	14	7	-51	-77.3%	1.7%	5.8%	14	7
<b>WESTERN MARYLAND</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>75.0%</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>			<b>9</b>	<b>75.0%</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>		
ALLEGANY	1	1	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.1%	-	24	-	-	-	0.1%	-	24	-
Frostburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-
Lonaconing town	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-
GARRETT	8	8	100.0%	12	12	100.0%	-4	-33.3%	0.6%	0.9%	19	16	-4	-33.3%	0.9%	1.0%	18	16
WASHINGTON	12	12	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.9%	-	16	-	-	-	1.3%	-	15	-
<b>UPPER EASTERN SHORE</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>84.6%</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>3.0%</b>			<b>33</b>	<b>84.6%</b>	<b>8.0%</b>	<b>3.4%</b>		
CAROLINE	8	8	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.6%	-	19	-	-	-	0.9%	-	18	-
Marydel town	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-
Preston town	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-
CECIL	10	10	100.0%	19	19	100.0%	-9	-47.4%	0.7%	1.4%	18	14	-9	-47.4%	1.1%	1.7%	17	13
KENT	2	2	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.1%	-	23	-	-	-	0.2%	-	23	-
Betterton town	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	0	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-
Rock Hall town	1	1	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.1%	-	-	-	-	-	0.1%	-	-	-
QUEEN ANNE'S	30	30	100.0%	20	20	100.0%	10	50.0%	2.1%	1.5%	10	13	10	50.0%	3.3%	1.7%	9	12
TALBOT	22	22	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	1.6%	-	13	-	-	-	2.5%	-	12	-
Easton	1	1	100.0%	6	6	100.0%	-5	-83.3%	0.1%	0.5%	-	-	-5	-83.3%	0.1%	0.5%	-	-
<b>LOWER EASTERN SHORE</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>81.4%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>104.8%</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>1.6%</b>			<b>14</b>	<b>66.7%</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>		
DORCHESTER	4	4	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.3%	-	21	-	-	-	0.4%	-	21	-
SOMERSET	3	3	100.0%	4	4	100.0%	-1	-25.0%	0.2%	0.3%	22	17	-1	-25.0%	0.3%	0.3%	22	17
WICOMICO	13	5	38.5%	17	17	100.0%	-4	-23.5%	0.9%	1.3%	15	15	-12	-70.6%	0.6%	1.5%	20	14
WORCESTER	23	23	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	1.6%	-	12	-	-	-	2.6%	-	11	-
Ocean City town	6	6	100.0%	5	5	100.0%	1	20.0%	0.4%	0.4%	-	-	1	20.0%	0.7%	0.4%	-	-

**PREPARED BY MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING. STATE DATA & ANALYSIS CENTER. February 2025**

**SOURCE: U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE. BUREAU OF THE CENSUS**

(1) Includes new one family units, two family units, three and four family units and five or more family units.

(2) U. S. Bureau of the Census estimate based on survey

(3) Sum of reported and imputed responses to monthly permit issuing places questionnaires

(4) Anne Arundel, Baltimore, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties

(5) Calvert, Carroll, Cecil, Charles, Frederick, Harford, Howard, Queen Anne's and St. Mary's Counties

(6) Allegany, Washington and Wicomico Counties

(7) Baltimore City

(8) Caroline, Dorchester, Garret, Kent, Somerset, Talbot and Worcester Counties

Specified PIP summaries included in county and county group total

Percentages provided for "State Percent" utilize State of Maryland data for the denominator. This is a minor adjustment from previous reports, which utilized State Sum of Reporting PIPs as the denominator.