Table 2B

New Housing Construction and Value: Year to Date January 2025-2023

	NEW HOUSING UNITS AUTHORIZED FOR CONSTRUCTION BY BUILDING PERMITS																	
JURISDICTION	2025			2023			TOTAL HOUSING UNITS					SINGLE FAMLY UNITS						
	TOTAL	SINGLE FAMILY	PERCENT SINGLE	TOTAL	SINGLE FAMILY	PERCENT SINGLE FAMILY	CHANGE		STATE PERCENT		COUNTY RANK		CHANGE		STATE PERCENT		COUNTY RANK	
			TAPILI				NET	PERCENT	2025	2023	2025	2023	NET	PERCENT	2025	2023	2025	2023
STATE OF MARYLAND (2)	1,408	896	63.6%	1,502	706	47.0%	-94	-6.3%	100.0%	100.0%			190	26.9%	100.0%	100.0%		
STATE SUM OF MONTHLY REPORTING PIPs (3)	1,408	896	63.6%	1,502	706	47.0%	-94	-6.3%	100.0%	100.0%			190	26.9%	100.0%	100.0%		
SUBURBAN COUNTIES	1,294	806	62.3%	1,291	643	49.8%	3	0.2%	91.9%	86.0%			163	25.3%	90.0%	91.1%		
INNER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (4)	810	485	59.9%	334	269	80.5%	476	142.5%	57.5%	22.2%			216	80.3%	54.1%	38.1%		
OUTER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (5)	458	303	66.2%	88 <i>7</i>	304	34.3%	-429	-48.4%	32.5%	59.1%			-1	-0.3%	33.8%	43.1%		
EXURBAN COUNTIES(6)	26	18	69.2%	70	70	100.0%	-44	-62.9%	1.8%	4.7%			-52	-74.3%	2.0%	9.9%		
STATE BALANCE	114	90	78.9%	211	63	29.9%	-97	-46.0%	8.1%	14.0%			27	42.9%	10.0%	8.9%		
URBAN (7)	44	20	45.5%	155	9	5.8%	-111	-71.6%	3.1%	10.3%			11	122.2%	2.2%	1.3%		
NON SUBURBAN (8)	70	70	100.0%	56	54	96.4%	14	25.0%	5.0%	3.7%			16	29.6%	7.8%	7.6%		
BALTIMORE REGION	678	217	32.0%	752	200	26.6%	-74		48.2%	50.1%			17	8.5%	24.2%	28.3%		
ANNE ARUNDEL	377	74	19.6%	97	97	100.0%	280		26.8%	6.5%	1	7	-23	-23.7%	8.3%	13.7%	3	2
BALTIMORE COUNTY	61	47	77.0%	3	3	100.0%	58	1933.3%	4.3%	0.2%	7	19	44	1466.7%	5.2%	0.4%	6	19
CARROLL	12	12	100.0%	3	3	100.0%	9	300.0%	0.9%	0.2%	16	19	9	300.0%	1.3%	0.4%	15	19
HARFORD	27	27	100.0%	279	26	9.3%	-252	-90.3%	1.9%	18.6%	11	1	1	3.8%	3.0%	3.7%	10	9
HOWARD	157	37	23.6%	215	62	28.8%	-58	-27.0%	11.2%	14.3%	3	2	-25	-40.3%	4.1%	8.8%	8	5
BALTIMORE CITY	44	20	45.5%	155	9	5.8%	-111	-71.6%	3.1%	10.3%	9	5	11	122.2%	2.2%	1.3%	13	15
SUBURBAN WASHINGTON	444	436	98.2%	348	240	69.0%	96	27.6%	31.5%	23.2%			196	81.7%	48.7%	34.0%		
FREDERICK	72	72	100.0%	114	71	62.3%	-42	-36.8%	5.1%	7.6%	6	6	1	1.4%	8.0%	10.1%	4	3
MONTGOMERY	119	111	93.3%	57	45	78.9%	62	108.8%	8.5%	3.8%	4	10	66	146.7%	12.4%	6.4%	2	7
PRINCE GEORGE'S	253	253	100.0%	177	124	70.1%	76	42.9%	18.0%	11.8%	2	3	129	104.0%	28.2%	17.6%	1	1
SOUTHERN MARYLAND	150	115	76.7%	88	88	100.0%	62	70.5%	10.7%	5.9%			27	30.7%	12.8%	12.5%		ı
CALVERT	76	41	53.9%	7	7	100.0%	69	985.7%	5.4%	0.5%	5	17	34	485.7%	4.6%	1.0%	7	17
CHARLES	59	59	100.0%	67	67	100.0%	-8	-11.9%	4.2%	4.5%	8	8	-8	-11.9%	6.6%	9.5%	5	4
ST. MARY'S	15	15	100.0%	14	14	100.0%	1	7.1%	1.1%	0.9%	14	13	1	7.1%	1.7%	2.0%	14	12
WESTERN MARYLAND	21	21	100.0%	69	69	100.0%	-48	-69.6%	1.5%	4.6%			-48	-69.6%	2.3%	9.8%		ı
ALLEGANY	1	1	100.0%	-	-	-	1	-	0.1%	0.0%	24	-	1	-	0.1%	0.0%	24	-
Frostburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0.0%	0.0%			0	-	0.0%	0.0%		
Lonaconing town	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0.0%	0.0%			0	-	0.0%	0.0%		
GARRETT	8	8		10	10	100.0%	-2	-20.0%	0.6%	0.7%	19	15	-2	-20.0%	0.9%	1.4%	18	14
WASHINGTON	12	12	100.0%	59	59	100.0%	-47	-79.7%	0.9%	3.9%	16	9	-47	-79.7%	1.3%	8.4%	15	6
UPPER EASTERN SHORE	72	72	100.0%	202	66	32.7%	-130		5.1%	13.4%			6	9.1%	8.0%	9.3%		
CAROLINE	8	8	100.0%	3	3	100.0%	5	166.7%	0.6%	0.2%	19	19	5	166.7%	0.9%	0.4%	18	19
Marydel town	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0.0%	0.0%			0	-	0.0%	0.0%		
Preston town	-	-		-	-	-	0	-	0.0%	0.0%			0		0.0%	0.0%		
CECIL	10	10	100.0%	28	28	100.0%	-18	-64.3%	0.7%	1.9%	18	11	-18	-64.3%	1.1%	4.0%	17	8
KENT	2	2	100.0%	3	1	33.3%	-1	-33.3%	0.1%	0.2%	23	19	1	100.0%	0.2%	0.1%	23	23
Betterton town	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0.0%	0.0%			0	-	0.0%	0.0%		
Rock Hall town	1	1	100.0%	-	-	-	1	-	0.1%	0.0%			1	-	0.1%	0.0%		
QUEEN ANNE'S	30	30	100.0%	160	26	16.3%	-130		2.1%	10.7%	10	4	4	15.4%	3.3%	3.7%	9	9
TALBOT Easton	22	22 1	100.0% 100.0%	8	8 2	100.0% 100.0%	14 -1	175.0% -50.0%	1.6% 0.1%	0.5% 0.1%	13	16	14 -1	175.0% -50.0%	2.5% 0.1%	1.1% 0.3%	12	16
Lastoll	7	1	100.0%	2	2	100.0%	-1	-30.0%	0.1%	U. 1%			-1	-50.0%	0.1%	0.3%		,
LOWER EASTERN SHORE DORCHESTER	43	35	81.4% 100.0%	43	43 5	100.0% 100.0%	0	0.0 % -20.0%	3.1% 0.3%	2.9% 0.3%	21	18	-8 -1	-18.6% -20.0%	3.9 % 0.4%	6.1% 0.7%	21	10
SOMERSET	4			3	3		-1					19	-1					19
WICOMICO	3	3 5		ŭ		100.0%	0	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%	22 15	19 14	0	0.0%	0.3%	0.4%	22	
	13	_	38.5%	11	11	100.0%	2	18.2%	0.9%	0.7%	15		-6	-54.5%	0.6%	1.6%	20	13
WORCESTER	23	23 6	100.0%	24	24	100.0%	-1	-4.2%	1.6%	1.6%	12	12	-1	-4.2%	2.6%	3.4%	11	11
Ocean City town	6	6	100.0%	3	3	100.0%	3	100.0%	0.4%	0.2%			3	100.0%	0.7%	0.4%		ı

PREPARED BY MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING. STATE DATA & ANALYSIS CENTER. February 2025

SOURCE: U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE. BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

- (1) Includes new one family units, two family units, three and four family units and five or more family units.
- (2) U. S. Bureau of the Census estimate based on survey
- (3) Sum of reported and imputed responses to monthly permit issuing places questionnaires
- (4) Anne Arundel, Baltimore, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties
- (5) Calvert, Carroll, Cecil, Charles, Frederick, Harford, Howard, Queen Anne's and St. Mary's Counties
- (6) Allegany, Washington and Wicomico Counties
- (7) Baltimore City
- (8) Caroline, Dorchester, Garret, Kent, Somerset, Talbot and Worcester Counties

Specified PIP summaries included in county and county group total

Percentages provided for "State Percent" utilize State of Maryland data for the denominator. This is a minor adjustment from previous reports, which utilized State Sum of Reporting PIPs as the denominator.