Table 2A
New Housing Construction and Value : Year to Date January 2025-2024

	NEW HOUSING UNITS AUTHORIZED FOR CONSTRUCTION BY BUILDING PERMITS																		
JURISDICTION	2025			2024			TOTAL HOUSING UNITS						SINGLE FAMLY UNITS						
	TOTAL	SINGLE FAMILY	PERCENT SINGLE FAMILY	TOTAL	SINGLE FAMILY	PERCENT SINGLE FAMILY	CHANGE		STATE PERCENT		COUNTY RANK		CHANGE		STATE PERCENT		COUNTY RANK		
							NET	PERCENT	2025	2024	2025	2024	NET	PERCENT	2025	2024	2025	2024	
STATE OF MARYLAND (2)	1,408	896	63.6%	1,007	879	87.3%	401	39.8%	100.0%	100.0%			17	1.9%	100.0%	100.0%		İ	
STATE SUM OF MONTHLY REPORTING PIPs (3)	1,408	896	63.6%	1,007	879	87.3%	401	39.8%	100.0%	100.0%			17	1.9%	100.0%	100.0%		İ	
SUBURBAN COUNTIES	1,294	806	62.3%	821	791	96.3%	473	57.6%	91.9%	81.5%			15	1.9%	90.0%	90.0%		I	
INNER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (4)	810	485	59.9%	3 <i>7</i> 5	375	100.0%	435	116.0%	57.5%	37.2%			110	29.3%	54.1%	42.7%		i	
OUTER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (5)	458	303	66.2%	417	387	92.8%	41	9.8%	32.5%	41.4%			-84	-21.7%	33.8%	44.0%		i	
EXURBAN COUNTIES(6)	26	18	69.2%	29	29	100.0%	-3	-10.3%	1.8%	2.9%			-11	-37.9%	2.0%	3.3%		i	
STATE BALANCE	114	90	78.9%	186	88	47.3%	-72		8.1%	18.5%			2	2.3%	10.0%	10.0%		i	
URBAN (7)	44	20	45.5%	98	10	10.2%	-54	-55.1%	3.1%	9.7%			10	100.0%	2.2%	1.1%		ı	
NON SUBURBAN (8)	70	70	100.0%	88	78	88.6%	-18	-20.5%	5.0%	8.7%			-8	-10.3%	7.8%	8.9%		l	
BALTIMORE REGION	678	217	32.0%	381	293	76.9%	297		48.2%	37.8%		_	-76		24.2%	33.3%		_	
ANNE ARUNDEL	377	74	19.6%	105	105	100.0%	272		26.8%	10.4%	1	3	-31		8.3%	11.9%	3	3	
BALTIMORE COUNTY	61	47	77.0%	40	40	100.0%	21		4.3%	4.0%	7	9	7	17.5%	5.2%	4.6%	6	7	
CARROLL	12	12	100.0%	5	5	100.0%	7	1-101070	0.9%	0.5%	16	18	7	140.0%	1.3%	0.6%	15	18	
HARFORD	27	27	100.0%	39	39	100.0%	-12		1.9%	3.9%	11	10	-12		3.0%	4.4%	10	9	
HOWARD	157	37	23.6%	94	94	100.0%	63		11.2%	9.3%	3	7	-57		4.1%	10.7%	8	6	
BALTIMORE CITY	44	20	45.5%	98	10	10.2%	-54	-55.1%	3.1%	9.7%	9	6	10	100.0%	2.2%	1.1%	13	13	
SUBURBAN WASHINGTON	444	436	98.2%	368	338	91.8%	76		31.5%	36.5%			98		48.7%	38.5%		l	
FREDERICK	72	72	100.0%	138	108	78.3%	-66		5.1%	13.7%	6	1	-36		8.0%	12.3%	4	. 2	
MONTGOMERY	119	111	93.3%	126	126	100.0%	-7		8.5%	12.5%	4	2	-15		12.4%	14.3%	2	, 1	
PRINCE GEORGE'S	253	253	100.0%	104	104	100.0%	149	143.3%	18.0%	10.3%	2	4	149	143.3%	28.2%	11.8%	1	4	
SOUTHERN MARYLAND	150	115	76.7%	115	115	100.0%	35		10.7%	11.4%			0		12.8%	13.1%		İ	
CALVERT	76	41	53.9%	3	3	100.0%	73		5.4%	0.3%	5	21	38		4.6%	0.3%	7	21	
CHARLES	59	59	100.0%	102	102	100.0%	-43		4.2%	10.1%	8	5	-43		6.6%	11.6%	5	5	
ST. MARY'S	15	15	100.0%	10	10	100.0%	5	50.0%	1.1%	1.0%	14	14	5	50.0%	1.7%	1.1%	14	13	
WESTERN MARYLAND	21	21	100.0%	31	31	100.0%	-10	-32.3%	1.5%	3.1%			-10	-32.3%	2.3%	3.5%		l	
ALLEGANY	1	1	100.0%	-	-	-	1	-	0.1%	0.0%	24	-	1	-	0.1%	0.0%	24		
Frostburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0.0%	0.0%			0	-	0.0%	0.0%		ı	
Lonaconing town	-	-	-	-		-	0	-	0.0%	0.0%			0		0.0%	0.0%		i	
GARRETT	8	8	100.0%	7	7	100.0%	1	14.3%	0.6%	0.7%	19	16	1	14.3%	0.9%	0.8%	18	16	
WASHINGTON	12	12	100.0%	24	24	100.0%	-12	-50.0%	0.9%	2.4%	16	11	-12	-50.0%	1.3%	2.7%	15	10	
UPPER EASTERN SHORE	72	72	100.0%	48	48	100.0%	24		5.1%	4.8%			24		8.0%	5.5%		I	
CAROLINE	8	8	100.0%	4	4	100.0%	4	100.0%	0.6%	0.4%	19	20	4	100.0%	0.9%	0.5%	18	20	
Marydel town	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0.0%	0.0%			0	-	0.0%	0.0%		ı	
Preston town	1 -	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0.0%	0.0%		l .	0	-	0.0%	0.0%		1 .	
CECIL	10	10	100.0%	9	9	100.0%	l 1	11.1%	0.7%	0.9%	18	15	l 1	11.1%	1.1%	1.0%	17	15	
KENT	2	2	100.0%	3	3	100.0%	-1	-33.3%	0.1%	0.3%	23	21	-1	-33.3%	0.2%	0.3%	23	21	
Betterton town	-	-	-	-	-		0	-	0.0%	0.0%			0		0.0%	0.0%		ı	
Rock Hall town	1	1	100.0%	1	1	100.0%	0	0.070	0.1%	0.1%		l .	0	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%		ı	
QUEEN ANNE'S	30	30	100.0%	17	17	100.0%	13		2.1%	1.7%	10	12	13		3.3%	1.9%	9	11	
TALBOT Easton	22	22 1	100.0% 100.0%	15 2	15 2	100.0% 100.0%	-1		1.6% 0.1%	1.5% 0.2%	13	13	7 -1	46.7% -50.0%	2.5% 0.1%	1.7% 0.2%	12	12	
LOWED SACTEDA CHOPS									0.451									I	
LOWER EASTERN SHORE DORCHESTER	43	35 4	<b>81.4</b> % 100.0%	<b>64</b> 6	<b>54</b>	<b>84.4%</b> 100.0%	- <b>21</b> -2		3.1% 0.3%	<b>6.4%</b> 0.6%	21	17	<b>-19</b> -2		3.9% 0.4%	<b>6.1%</b> 0.7%	21	17	
SOMERSET	3	3	100.0%	3	3	100.0%	0		0.2%	0.3%	22	21	0		0.3%	0.3%	22	2	
WICOMICO	13	5	38.5%	5	5	100.0%	8	160.0%	0.9%	0.5%	15	18	0	0.0%	0.6%	0.6%	20	18	
WORCESTER	23	23	100.0%	50	40	80.0%	-27		1.6%	5.0%	12	я.	-17		2.6%	4.6%	11	7	
Ocean City town	6	6	100.0%	1	1	100.0%	5	500.0%	0.4%	0.1%		ľ	5	500.0%	0.7%	0.1%	''	1	
<del> </del>	I	Ĭ	, 55.570	·		1.55.070	I		370	30			I	230.070	3 70	3		ı	

## PREPARED BY MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING. STATE DATA & ANALYSIS CENTER. February 2025 SOURCE: U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE. BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

- (1) Includes new one family units, two family units, three and four family units and five or more family units.
- (2) U. S. Bureau of the Census estimate based on survey
- $\hbox{(3) Sum of reported and imputed responses to monthly permit issuing places question naires}\\$
- (4) Anne Arundel, Baltimore, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties
- (5) Calvert, Carroll, Cecil, Charles, Frederick, Harford, Howard, Queen Anne's and St. Mary's Counties
- (6) Allegany, Washington and Wicomico Counties
- (7) Baltimore City
- (8) Caroline, Dorchester, Garret, Kent, Somerset, Talbot and Worcester Counties

Specified PIP summaries included in county and county group total

Percentages provided for "State Percent" utilize State of Maryland data for the denominator. This is a minor adjustment from previous reports, which utilized State Sum of Reporting PIPs as the denominator.