

Table 2D
New Housing Construction and Value : Year to Date February 2025-2021

JURISDICTION	NEW HOUSING UNITS AUTHORIZED FOR CONSTRUCTION BY BUILDING PERMITS						TOTAL HOUSING UNITS						SINGLE FAMILY UNITS					
	2025			2021			CHANGE		STATE PERCENT		COUNTY RANK		CHANGE		STATE PERCENT		COUNTY RANK	
	TOTAL	SINGLE FAMILY	PERCENT SINGLE FAMILY	TOTAL	SINGLE FAMILY	PERCENT SINGLE FAMILY	NET	PERCENT	2025	2021	2025	2021	NET	PERCENT	2025	2021	2025	2021
STATE OF MARYLAND (2)	2,865	1,907	66.6%	3,143	2,338	74.4%	-278	-8.8%	100.0%	100.0%			-431	-18.4%	100.0%	100.0%		
STATE SUM OF MONTHLY REPORTING PIPs (3)	2,865	1,907	66.6%	3,004	2,199	73.2%	-139	-4.6%	100.0%	95.6%			-292	-13.3%	100.0%	94.1%		
SUBURBAN COUNTIES	2,559	1,734	67.8%	2,929	2,157	73.6%	-370	-12.6%	89.3%	93.2%			-423	-19.6%	90.9%	92.3%		
INNER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (4)	1,378	1,053	76.4%	1,685	989	58.7%	-307	-18.2%	48.1%	53.6%			64	6.5%	55.2%	42.3%		
OUTER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (5)	1,124	634	56.4%	1,202	1,126	93.7%	-78	-6.5%	39.2%	38.2%			-492	-43.7%	33.2%	48.2%		
EXURBAN COUNTIES(6)	57	47	82.5%	42	42	100.0%	15	35.7%	2.0%	1.3%			5	11.9%	2.5%	1.8%		
STATE BALANCE	306	173	56.5%	75	42	56.0%	231	308.0%	10.7%	2.4%			131	311.9%	9.1%	1.8%		
URBAN (7)	161	28	17.4%	47	14	29.8%	114	242.6%	5.6%	1.5%			14	100.0%	1.5%	0.6%		
NON SUBURBAN (8)	145	145	100.0%	28	28	100.0%	117	417.9%	5.1%	0.9%			117	417.9%	7.6%	1.2%		
BALTIMORE REGION	1,414	530	37.5%	885	809	91.4%	529	59.8%	49.4%	28.2%			-279	-34.5%	27.8%	34.6%		
ANNE ARUNDEL	465	162	34.8%	277	244	88.1%	188	67.9%	16.2%	8.8%	2	4	-82	-33.6%	8.5%	10.4%	3	3
BALTIMORE COUNTY	157	143	91.1%	193	183	94.8%	-36	-18.7%	5.5%	6.1%	7	5	-40	-21.9%	7.5%	7.8%	5	5
CARROLL	24	24	100.0%	68	68	100.0%	-44	-64.7%	0.8%	2.2%	16	11	-44	-64.7%	1.3%	2.9%	15	11
HARFORD	243	103	42.4%	107	107	100.0%	136	127.1%	8.5%	3.4%	4	9	-4	-3.7%	5.4%	4.6%	7	9
HOWARD	364	70	19.2%	193	193	100.0%	171	88.6%	12.7%	6.1%	3	5	-123	-63.7%	3.7%	8.3%	8	4
BALTIMORE CITY	161	28	17.4%	47	14	29.8%	114	242.6%	5.6%	1.5%	6	13	14	100.0%	1.5%	0.6%	14	16
SUBURBAN WASHINGTON	908	893	98.3%	1,619	901	55.7%	-711	-43.9%	31.7%	51.5%			-8	-0.9%	46.8%	38.5%		
FREDERICK	152	145	95.4%	404	339	83.9%	-252	-62.4%	5.3%	12.9%	8	3	-194	-57.2%	7.6%	14.5%	4	2
MONTGOMERY	236	228	96.6%	621	160	25.8%	-385	-62.0%	8.2%	19.8%	5	1	68	42.5%	12.0%	6.8%	2	6
PRINCE GEORGE'S	520	520	100.0%	594	402	67.7%	-74	-12.5%	18.2%	18.9%	1	2	118	29.4%	27.3%	17.2%	1	1
SOUTHERN MARYLAND	256	221	86.3%	310	299	96.5%	-54	-17.4%	8.9%	9.9%			-78	-26.1%	11.6%	12.8%		
CALVERT	84	49	58.3%	40	40	100.0%	44	110.0%	2.9%	1.3%	10	15	9	22.5%	2.6%	1.7%	11	14
CHARLES	135	135	100.0%	133	133	100.0%	2	1.5%	4.7%	4.2%	9	8	2	1.5%	7.1%	5.7%	6	7
ST. MARY'S	37	37	100.0%	137	126	92.0%	-100	-73.0%	1.3%	4.4%	14	7	-89	-70.6%	1.9%	5.4%	13	8
WESTERN MARYLAND	37	35	94.6%	23	23	100.0%	14	60.9%	1.3%	0.7%			12	52.2%	1.8%	1.0%		
ALLEGANY	6	4	66.7%	-	-	-	6	-	0.2%	0.0%	23	-	4	-	0.2%	0.0%	24	-
Frostburg	1	1	100.0%	-	-	-	1	-	0.0%	0.0%			1	-	0.1%	0.0%		
Lonaconing town	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0.0%	0.0%			0	-	0.0%	0.0%		
GARRETT	11	11	100.0%	23	23	100.0%	-12	-52.2%	0.4%	0.7%	19	16	-12	-52.2%	0.6%	1.0%	19	15
WASHINGTON	20	20	100.0%	-	-	-	20	-	0.7%	0.0%	17	-	20	-	1.0%	0.0%	17	-
UPPER EASTERN SHORE	162	148	91.4%	120	120	100.0%	42	35.0%	5.7%	3.8%			28	23.3%	7.8%	5.1%		
CAROLINE	10	10	100.0%	-	-	-	10	-	0.3%	0.0%	20	-	10	-	0.5%	0.0%	20	-
Marydel town	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0.0%	0.0%			0	-	0.0%	0.0%		
Preston town	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0.0%	0.0%			0	-	0.0%	0.0%		
CECIL	19	19	100.0%	49	49	100.0%	-30	-61.2%	0.7%	1.6%	18	12	-30	-61.2%	1.0%	2.1%	18	12
KENT	5	5	100.0%	-	-	-	5	-	0.2%	0.0%	24	-	5	-	0.3%	0.0%	23	-
Betterton town	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0.0%	0.0%			0	-	0.0%	0.0%		
Rock Hall town	2	2	100.0%	-	-	-	2	-	0.1%	0.0%			2	-	0.1%	0.0%		
QUEEN ANNE'S	66	52	78.8%	71	71	100.0%	-5	-7.0%	2.3%	2.3%	11	10	-19	-26.8%	2.7%	3.0%	10	10
TALBOT	62	62	100.0%	-	-	-	62	-	2.2%	0.0%	12	-	62	-	3.3%	0.0%	9	-
Easton	2	2	100.0%	13	13	100.0%	-11	-84.6%	0.1%	0.4%			-11	-84.6%	0.1%	0.6%		
LOWER EASTERN SHORE	88	80	90.9%	47	47	100.0%	41	87.2%	3.1%	1.5%			33	70.2%	4.2%	2.0%		
DORCHESTER	9	9	100.0%	-	-	-	9	-	0.3%	0.0%	21	-	9	-	0.5%	0.0%	21	-
SOMERSET	7	7	100.0%	5	5	100.0%	2	40.0%	0.2%	0.2%	22	17	2	40.0%	0.4%	0.2%	22	17
WICOMICO	31	23	74.2%	42	42	100.0%	-11	-26.2%	1.1%	1.3%	15	14	-19	-45.2%	1.2%	1.8%	16	13
WORCESTER	41	41	100.0%	-	-	-	41	-	1.4%	0.0%	13	-	41	-	2.1%	0.0%	12	-
Ocean City town	7	7	100.0%	10	10	100.0%	-3	-30.0%	0.2%	0.3%			-3	-30.0%	0.4%	0.4%		

PREPARED BY MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING. STATE DATA & ANALYSIS CENTER. March 2025
SOURCE: U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE. BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

- (1) Includes new one family units, two family units, three and four family units and five or more family units.
- (2) U. S. Bureau of the Census estimate based on survey
- (3) Sum of reported and imputed responses to monthly permit issuing places questionnaires
- (4) Anne Arundel, Baltimore, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties
- (5) Calvert, Carroll, Cecil, Charles, Frederick, Harford, Howard, Queen Anne's and St. Mary's Counties
- (6) Allegany, Washington and Wicomico Counties
- (7) Baltimore City
- (8) Caroline, Dorchester, Garret, Kent, Somerset, Talbot and Worcester Counties

Specified PIP summaries included in county and county group total

Percentages provided for "State Percent" utilize State of Maryland data for the denominator. This is a minor adjustment from previous reports, which utilized State Sum of Reporting PIPs as the denominator.