

Table 2C
New Housing Construction and Value : Year to Date February 2025-2022

JURISDICTION	NEW HOUSING UNITS AUTHORIZED FOR CONSTRUCTION BY BUILDING PERMITS						TOTAL HOUSING UNITS						SINGLE FAMLY UNITS					
	2025			2022														
	TOTAL	SINGLE FAMILY	PERCENT SINGLE FAMILY	TOTAL	SINGLE FAMILY	PERCENT SINGLE FAMILY	CHANGE		STATE PERCENT		COUNTY RANK		CHANGE		STATE PERCENT		COUNTY RANK	
							NET	PERCENT	2025	2022	2025	2022	NET	PERCENT	2025	2022	2025	2022
STATE OF MARYLAND (2)	2,865	1,907	66.6%	3,564	1,795	50.4%	-699	-19.6%	100.0%	100.0%			112	6.2%	100.0%	100.0%		
STATE SUM OF MONTHLY REPORTING PIPs (3)	2,865	1,907	66.6%	3,564	1,795	50.4%	-699	-19.6%	100.0%	100.0%			112	6.2%	100.0%	100.0%		
SUBURBAN COUNTIES	2,559	1,734	67.8%	3,310	1,678	50.7%	-751	-22.7%	89.3%	92.9%			56	3.3%	90.9%	93.5%		
INNER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (4)	1,378	1,053	76.4%	1,911	706	36.9%	-533	-27.9%	48.1%	53.6%			347	49.2%	55.2%	39.3%		
OUTER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (5)	1,124	634	56.4%	1,301	874	67.2%	-177	-13.6%	39.2%	36.5%			-240	-27.5%	33.2%	48.7%		
EXURBAN COUNTIES(6)	57	47	82.5%	98	98	100.0%	-41	-41.8%	2.0%	2.7%			-51	-52.0%	2.5%	5.5%		
STATE BALANCE	306	173	56.5%	254	117	46.1%	52	20.5%	10.7%	7.1%			56	47.9%	9.1%	6.5%		
URBAN (7)	161	28	17.4%	169	32	18.9%	-8	-4.7%	5.6%	4.7%			-4	-12.5%	1.5%	1.8%		
NON SUBURBAN (8)	145	145	100.0%	85	85	100.0%	60	70.6%	5.1%	2.4%			60	70.6%	7.6%	4.7%		
BALTIMORE REGION	1,414	530	37.5%	1,316	531	40.3%	98	7.4%	49.4%	36.9%			-1	-0.2%	27.8%	29.6%		
ANNE ARUNDEL	465	162	34.8%	504	246	48.8%	-39	-7.7%	16.2%	14.1%	2	2	-84	-34.1%	8.5%	13.7%	3	3
BALTIMORE COUNTY	157	143	91.1%	33	33	100.0%	124	375.8%	5.5%	0.9%	7	15	110	333.3%	7.5%	1.8%	5	14
CARROLL	24	24	100.0%	86	86	100.0%	-62	-72.1%	0.8%	2.4%	16	8	-62	-72.1%	1.3%	4.8%	15	6
HARFORD	243	103	42.4%	445	55	12.4%	-202	-45.4%	8.5%	12.5%	4	3	48	87.3%	5.4%	3.1%	7	10
HOWARD	364	70	19.2%	79	79	100.0%	285	360.8%	12.7%	2.2%	3	9	-9	-11.4%	3.7%	4.4%	8	7
BALTIMORE CITY	161	28	17.4%	169	32	18.9%	-8	-4.7%	5.6%	4.7%	6	6	-4	-12.5%	1.5%	1.8%	14	16
SUBURBAN WASHINGTON	908	893	98.3%	1,719	749	43.6%	-811	-47.2%	31.7%	48.2%			144	19.2%	46.8%	41.7%		
FREDERICK	152	145	95.4%	345	322	93.3%	-193	-55.9%	5.3%	9.7%	8	4	-177	-55.0%	7.6%	17.9%	4	1
MONTGOMERY	236	228	96.6%	175	117	66.9%	61	34.9%	8.2%	4.9%	5	5	111	94.9%	12.0%	6.5%	2	5
PRINCE GEORGE'S	520	520	100.0%	1,199	310	25.9%	-679	-56.6%	18.2%	33.6%	1	1	210	67.7%	27.3%	17.3%	1	2
SOUTHERN MARYLAND	256	221	86.3%	215	215	100.0%	41	19.1%	8.9%	6.0%			6	2.8%	11.6%	12.0%		
CALVERT	84	49	58.3%	33	33	100.0%	51	154.5%	2.9%	0.9%	10	15	16	48.5%	2.6%	1.8%	11	14
CHARLES	135	135	100.0%	148	148	100.0%	-13	-8.8%	4.7%	4.2%	9	7	-13	-8.8%	7.1%	8.2%	6	4
ST. MARY'S	37	37	100.0%	34	34	100.0%	3	8.8%	1.3%	1.0%	14	14	3	8.8%	1.9%	1.9%	13	13
WESTERN MARYLAND	37	35	94.6%	65	65	100.0%	-28	-43.1%	1.3%	1.8%			-30	-46.2%	1.8%	3.6%		
ALLEGANY	6	4	66.7%	1	1	100.0%	5	500.0%	0.2%	0.0%	23	24	3	300.0%	0.2%	0.1%	24	24
Frostburg	1	1	100.0%	-	-	-	1	-	0.0%	0.0%			1	-	0.1%	0.0%		
Lonaconing town	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0.0%	0.0%			0	-	0.0%	0.0%		
GARRETT	11	11	100.0%	8	8	100.0%	3	37.5%	0.4%	0.2%	19	21	3	37.5%	0.6%	0.4%	19	21
WASHINGTON	20	20	100.0%	56	56	100.0%	-36	-64.3%	0.7%	1.6%	17	12	-36	-64.3%	1.0%	3.1%	17	9
UPPER EASTERN SHORE	162	148	91.4%	163	149	91.4%	-1	-0.6%	5.7%	4.6%			-1	-0.7%	7.8%	8.3%		
CAROLINE	10	10	100.0%	13	13	100.0%	-3	-23.1%	0.3%	0.4%	20	18	-3	-23.1%	0.5%	0.7%	20	18
Marydel town	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0.0%	0.0%			0	-	0.0%	0.0%		
Preston town	-	-	-	1	1	100.0%	-1	-100.0%	0.0%	0.0%			-1	-100.0%	0.0%	0.1%		
CECIL	19	19	100.0%	73	73	100.0%	-54	-74.0%	0.7%	2.0%	18	10	-54	-74.0%	1.0%	4.1%	18	8
KENT	5	5	100.0%	8	8	100.0%	-3	-37.5%	0.2%	0.2%	24	21	-3	-37.5%	0.3%	0.4%	23	21
Betterton town	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0.0%	0.0%			0	-	0.0%	0.0%		
Rock Hall town	2	2	100.0%	-	-	-	2	-	0.1%	0.0%			2	-	0.1%	0.0%		
QUEEN ANNE'S	66	52	78.8%	58	44	75.9%	8	13.8%	2.3%	1.6%	11	11	8	18.2%	2.7%	2.5%	10	11
TALBOT	62	62	100.0%	11	11	100.0%	51	463.6%	2.2%	0.3%	12	20	51	463.6%	3.3%	0.6%	9	20
Easton	2	2	100.0%	8	8	100.0%	-6	-75.0%	0.1%	0.2%			-6	-75.0%	0.1%	0.4%		
LOWER EASTERN SHORE	88	80	90.9%	86	86	100.0%	2	2.3%	3.1%	2.4%			-6	-7.0%	4.2%	4.8%		
DORCHESTER	9	9	100.0%	12	12	100.0%	-3	-25.0%	0.3%	0.3%	21	19	-3	-25.0%	0.5%	0.7%	21	19
SOMERSET	7	7	100.0%	7	7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%	22	23	0	0.0%	0.4%	0.4%	22	23
WICOMICO	31	23	74.2%	41	41	100.0%	-10	-24.4%	1.1%	1.2%	15	13	-18	-43.9%	1.2%	2.3%	16	12
WORCESTER	41	41	100.0%	26	26	100.0%	15	57.7%	1.4%	0.7%	13	17	15	57.7%	2.1%	1.4%	12	17
Ocean City town	7	7	100.0%	3	3	100.0%	4	133.3%	0.2%	0.1%			4	133.3%	0.4%	0.2%		

PREPARED BY MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING. STATE DATA & ANALYSIS CENTER. March 2025
SOURCE: U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE. BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

- (1) Includes new one family units, two family units, three and four family units and five or more family units.
- (2) U. S. Bureau of the Census estimate based on survey
- (3) Sum of reported and imputed responses to monthly permit issuing places questionnaires
- (4) Anne Arundel, Baltimore, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties
- (5) Calvert, Carroll, Cecil, Charles, Frederick, Harford, Howard, Queen Anne's and St. Mary's Counties
- (6) Allegany, Washington and Wicomico Counties
- (7) Baltimore City
- (8) Caroline, Dorchester, Garret, Kent, Somerset, Talbot and Worcester Counties

Specified PIP summaries included in county and county group total

Percentages provided for "State Percent" utilize State of Maryland data for the denominator. This is a minor adjustment from previous reports, which utilized State Sum of Reporting PIPs as the denominator.