Table 2B
New Housing Construction and Value : Year to Date February 2025-2023

	NEW HOUSING UNITS AUTHORIZED FOR CONSTRUCTION BY BUILDING PERMITS						TOTAL HOUSING UNITS						SINGLE FAMLY UNITS					
JURISDICTION	2025					TOTAL HOUSING UNITS												
	TOTAL	SINGLE FAMILY	PERCENT SINGLE FAMILY	TOTAL	SINGLE FAMILY	PERCENT SINGLE FAMILY	CHANGE		2025 2023		COUNTY RANK 2025 2023		CHANGE NET PERCENT		STATE PERCENT 2025 2023		COUNTY RANK	
STATE OF MARYLAND (2)	2,865	1,907	66.6%	3,727	1,561	41.9%	NET -862	PERCENT -23.1%	100.0%	100.0%	2025	2023	NET 346	22.2%	100.0%	100.0%	2025	2023
STATE SUM OF MONTHLY REPORTING PIPs (3)	2,865	1,907	66.6%	3,727	1,561	41.9%	-862	-23.1%	100.0%	100.0%			346	22.2%	100.0%	100.0%		
SUBURBAN COUNTIES	2,559	1,734	67.8%	2,644	1,408	53.3%	-85	-3.2%	89.3%	70.9%			326	23.2%	90.9%	90.2%		l
	1,378		76.4%	808		78.2%	570	70.5%	48.1%	21.7%				66.6%	55.2%	40.5%		ı
INNER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (4)		1,053	56.4%		632	39.1%		-35.4%	39.2%				421 -46		33.2%			ı
OUTER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (5)	1,124	634		1,740	680		-616			46.7%				-6.8%		43.6%		ı
EXURBAN COUNTIES(6)	57	47	82.5%	96	96	100.0%	-39	-40.6%	2.0%	2.6%			-49	-51.0%	2.5%	6.1%		ı
STATE BALANCE	306	173	56.5%	1,083	153	14.1%	-777	-71.7%	10.7%	29.1%			20	13.1%	9.1%	9.8%		ı
URBAN (7)	161	28	17.4%	942	25	2.7%	-781	-82.9%	5.6%	25.3%			3	12.0%	1.5%	1.6%		ı
NON SUBURBAN (8)	145	145	100.0%	141	128	90.8%	4	2.8%	5.1%	3.8%			17	13.3%	7.6%	8.2%		l
BALTIMORE REGION	1,414	530	37.5%	2,163	588	27.2%	-749	-34.6%	49.4%	58.0%			-58	-9.9%	27.8%	37.7%		İ
ANNE ARUNDEL	465	162	34.8%	292	161	55.1%	173	59.2%	16.2%	7.8%	2	4	1	0.6%	8.5%	10.3%	3	. 2
BALTIMORE COUNTY	157	143	91.1%	136	136	100.0%	21	15.4%	5.5%	3.6%	7	8	7	5.1%	7.5%	8.7%	5	. 5
CARROLL	24	24	100.0%	28	28	100.0%	-4	-14.3%	0.8%	0.8%	16	16	-4	-14.3%	1.3%	1.8%	15	15
HARFORD	243	103	42.4%	511	139	27.2%	-268	-52.4%	8.5%	13.7%	1	2	-36		5.4%	8.9%	7	
HOWARD		70			99		110				7	0	-29				,	
	364		19.2%	254		39.0%		43.3%	12.7%	6.8%	3	ь	-29		3.7%	6.3%	8	١
BALTIMORE CITY	161	28	17.4%	942	25	2.7%	-781	-82.9%	5.6%	25.3%	6	1	3	12.0%	1.5%	1.6%	14	16
SUBURBAN WASHINGTON	908	893	98.3%	926	482	52.1%	-18	-1.9%	31.7%	24.8%			411		46.8%	30.9%		1
FREDERICK	152	145	95.4%	546	147	26.9%	-394	-72.2%	5.3%	14.6%	8	2	-2	-1.4%	7.6%	9.4%	4	, 3
MONTGOMERY	236	228	96.6%	122	101	82.8%	114	93.4%	8.2%	3.3%	5	10	127	125.7%	12.0%	6.5%	2	7
PRINCE GEORGE'S	520	520	100.0%	258	234	90.7%	262	101.6%	18.2%	6.9%	1	5	286	122.2%	27.3%	15.0%	1	1
SOUTHERN MARYLAND	256	221	86.3%	179	179	100.0%	77	43.0%	8.9%	4.8%			42	23.5%	11.6%	11.5%		l
CALVERT	84	49	58.3%	21	21	100.0%	63	300.0%	2.9%	0.6%	10	18	28	133.3%	2.6%	1.3%	11	18
CHARLES	135	135	100.0%	123	123	100.0%	12	9.8%	4.7%	3.3%	9	9	12	9.8%	7.1%	7.9%	6	. 6
ST. MARY'S	37	37	100.0%	35	35	100.0%	2	5.7%	1.3%	0.9%	14	14	2	5.7%	1.9%	2.2%	13	13
WESTERN MARYLAND	37	35	94.6%	103	103	100.0%	-66	-64.1%	1.3%	2.8%			-68	-66.0%	1.8%	6.6%		l
ALLEGANY	6	4	66.7%	2	2	100.0%	1	200.0%	0.2%	0.1%	23	24		100.0%	0.2%	0.1%	24	24
Frostburg	1	1	100.0%	1	1	100.0%	,	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20		0	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%		
_	,	,	100.070	,	,	100.070	0	0.070						0.070				ı
Lonaconing town							U		0.0%	0.0%			0		0.0%	0.0%		1
GARRETT	11	11	100.0%	29	29	100.0%	-18	-62.1%	0.4%	0.8%	19	15	-18	-62.1%	0.6%	1.9%	19	14
WASHINGTON	20	20	100.0%	72	72	100.0%	-52	-72.2%	0.7%	1.9%	17	11	-52	-72.2%	1.0%	4.6%	17	9
UPPER EASTERN SHORE	162	148	91.4%	255	117	45.9%	-93	-36.5%	5.7%	6.8%			31		7.8%	7.5%		1
CAROLINE	10	10	100.0%	7	7	100.0%	3	42.9%	0.3%	0.2%	20	23	3	42.9%	0.5%	0.4%	20	22
Marydel town	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0.0%	0.0%			0	-	0.0%	0.0%		ı
Preston town	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0.0%	0.0%			0	_	0.0%	0.0%		ı
CECIL	19	19	100.0%	45	45	100.0%	-26	-57.8%	0.7%	1.2%	18	13	-26	-57.8%	1.0%	2.9%	18	11
KENT	5	5	100.0%	10	-6	60.0%		-50.0%	0.2%	0.3%	24	20		-16.7%	0.3%	0.4%	23	23
	5	l	100.0%	10	ь	60.0%	-5	-50.0%			24	∠0	-	-10.7%	0.0%		23	
Betterton town	-	-		-	-		l 0	*****	0.0%	0.0%			I 0			0.0%		ı
Rock Hall town	2	2	100.0%	1	1	100.0%	1	100.0%	0.1%	0.0%			1	100.0%	0.1%	0.1%		ı
QUEEN ANNE'S	66	52	78.8%	177	43	24.3%	-111	-62.7%	2.3%	4.7%	11	7	9	20.9%	2.7%	2.8%	10	12
TALBOT	62	62	100.0%	16	16	100.0%	46	287.5%	2.2%	0.4%	12	19	46	287.5%	3.3%	1.0%	9	19
Easton	2	2	100.0%	4	4	100.0%	-2	-50.0%	0.1%	0.1%			-2	-50.0%	0.1%	0.3%		l
LOWER EASTERN SHORE	88	80	90.9%	101	92	91.1%	-13	-12.9%	3.1%	2.7%			-12	-13.0%	4.2%	5.9%		l
DORCHESTER	9	9	100.0%	10	10	100.0%	-1	-10.0%	0.3%	0.3%	21	20	-1	-10.0%	0.5%	0.6%	21	20
SOMERSET	7	7	100.0%	8	8	100.0%	-1	-12.5%	0.2%	0.2%	22	22	-1	-12.5%	0.4%	0.5%	22	2
WICOMICO	31	23	74.2%	22	22	100.0%	- 0	40.9%	1.1%	0.6%	15	17	1	4.5%	1.2%	1.4%	16	1
							J ,				15		l .!				16	
WORCESTER	41	41	100.0%	61	52	85.2%	-20	-32.8%	1.4%	1.6%	13	12		-21.2%	2.1%	3.3%	12	10
Ocean City town	7	7	100.0%	5	5	100.0%	2	40.0%	0.2%	0.1%			2	40.0%	0.4%	0.3%		ı
																		1

PREPARED BY MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING. STATE DATA & ANALYSIS CENTER. March 2025

SOURCE: U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE. BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

- (1) Includes new one family units, two family units, three and four family units and five or more family units.
- (2) U. S. Bureau of the Census estimate based on survey
- (3) Sum of reported and imputed responses to monthly permit issuing places questionnaires
- (4) Anne Arundel, Baltimore, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties
- (5) Calvert, Carroll, Cecil, Charles, Frederick, Harford, Howard, Queen Anne's and St. Mary's Counties
- (6) Allegany, Washington and Wicomico Counties
- (7) Baltimore City
- (8) Caroline, Dorchester, Garret, Kent, Somerset, Talbot and Worcester Counties

Specified PIP summaries included in county and county group total

Percentages provided for "State Percent" utilize State of Maryland data for the denominator. This is a minor adjustment from previous reports, which utilized State Sum of Reporting PIPs as the denominator.