Table 2A
New Housing Construction and Value : Year to Date February 2025-2024

	NEW HOUSING UNITS AUTHORIZED FOR CONSTRUCTION BY BUILDING PERMITS																	
JURISDICTION	2025			2024			TOTAL HOUSING UNITS						SINGLE FAMLY UNITS					
	TOTAL	SINGLE FAMILY	PERCENT SINGLE FAMILY	TOTAL	SINGLE FAMILY	PERCENT SINGLE FAMILY	CHA NET	ANGE PERCENT	STATE F 2025	PERCENT 2024	COUNT 2025	Y RANK 2024	CH. NET	ANGE PERCENT	2025	PERCENT 2024	COUNTY 2025	Y RANK 2024
STATE OF MARYLAND (2)	2,865	1,907	66.6%	2,402	1,744	72.6%	463		100.0%	100.0%			163		100.0%	100.0%		
STATE SUM OF MONTHLY REPORTING PIPs (3)	2,865	1,907	66.6%	2,402	1,744	72.6%	463	19.3%	100.0%	100.0%			163	9.3%	100.0%	100.0%		
SUBURBAN COUNTIES	2,559	1,734	67.8%	2,149	1,570	73.1%	410	19.1%	89.3%	89.5%			164	10.4%	90.9%	90.0%		
INNER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (4)	1,378	1,053	76.4%	1,188	711	59.8%	190	16.0%	48.1%	49.5%			342	48.1%	55.2%	40.8%		
OUTER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (5)	1,124	634	56.4%	885	795	89.8%	239	27.0%	39.2%	36.8%			-161	-20.3%	33.2%	45.6%		
EXURBAN COUNTIES(6)	57	47	82.5%	<i>7</i> 6	64	84.2%	-19	-25.0%	2.0%	3.2%			-17	-26.6%	2.5%	3.7%		
STATE BALANCE	306	173	56.5%	253	174	68.8%	53	20.9%	10.7%	10.5%			-1	-0.6%	9.1%	10.0%		
URBAN (7)	161	28	17.4%	96	27	28.1%	65	67.7%	5.6%	4.0%			1	3.7%	1.5%	1.5%		
NON SUBURBAN (8)	145	145	100.0%	157	147	93.6%	-12	-7.6%	5.1%	6.5%			-2	-1.4%	7.6%	8.4%		
BALTIMORE REGION	1,414	530	37.5%	678	531	78.3%	736		49.4%	28.2%			-1	-0.2%	27.8%	30.4%		
ANNE ARUNDEL	465	162	34.8%	284	206	72.5%	181	63.7%	16.2%	11.8%	2	2	-44		8.5%	11.8%	3	4
BALTIMORE COUNTY	157	143	91.1%	70	70	100.0%	87	124.3%	5.5%	2.9%	7	10	73		7.5%	4.0%	5	9
CARROLL	24	24	100.0%	14	14	100.0%	10		0.8%	0.6%	16	17	10		1.3%	0.8%	15	17
HARFORD	243	103	42.4%	86	86	100.0%	157		8.5%	3.6%	4	8	17		5.4%	4.9%	7	7
HOWARD	364	70	19.2%	128	128	100.0%	236	184.4%	12.7%	5.3%	3	6	-58		3.7%	7.3%	8	6
BALTIMORE CITY	161	28	17.4%	96	27	28.1%	65	67.7%	5.6%	4.0%	6	7	1	3.7%	1.5%	1.5%	14	15
SUBURBAN WASHINGTON	908	893	98.3%	1,092	653	59.8%	-184		31.7%	45.5%			240		46.8%	37.4%		
FREDERICK	152	145	95.4%	258	218	84.5%	-106		5.3%	10.7%	8	4	-73		7.6%	12.5%	4	3
MONTGOMERY	236	228	96.6%	690	291	42.2%	-454	-65.8%	8.2%	28.7%	5	1	-63		12.0%	16.7%	2	1
PRINCE GEORGE'S	520	520	100.0%	144	144	100.0%	376	261.1%	18.2%	6.0%	1	5	376	261.1%	27.3%	8.3%	1	5
SOUTHERN MARYLAND	256	221	86.3%	300	264	88.0%	-44		8.9%	12.5%			-43		11.6%	15.1%		
CALVERT	84	49	58.3%	9	9	100.0%	75	833.3%	2.9%	0.4%	10	21	40		2.6%	0.5%	11	21
CHARLES	135	135	100.0%	260	224	86.2%	-125		4.7%	10.8%	9	3	-89		7.1%	12.8%	6	2
ST. MARY'S	37	37	100.0%	31	31	100.0%	6	19.4%	1.3%	1.3%	14	14	6	19.4%	1.9%	1.8%	13	12
WESTERN MARYLAND	37	35	94.6%	50	50	100.0%	-13		1.3%	2.1%			-15		1.8%	2.9%		
ALLEGANY	6	4	66.7%	2	2	100.0%	4	200.0%	0.2%	0.1%	23	24	2	100.0%	0.2%	0.1%	24	24
Frostburg	1	7	100.0%	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			0	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%		
Lonaconing town		-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0.0%	0.0%			0	-	0.0%	0.0%		
GARRETT	11	11	100.0%	12	12	100.0%	-1	-8.3%	0.4%	0.5%	19	19	-1	-8.3%	0.6%	0.7%	19	19
WASHINGTON	20	20	100.0%	36	36	100.0%	-16	-44.4%	0.7%	1.5%	17	13	-16	-44.4%	1.0%	2.1%	17	11
UPPER EASTERN SHORE CAROLINE	162 10	148 10	91.4% 100.0%	148 13	134 13	90.5% 100.0%	14 -3	9.5% -23.1%	5.7% 0.3%	6.2% 0.5%	20	18	14 -3		7.8% 0.5%	7.7% 0.7%	20	18
Marydel town		10	100.0%	13	13	100.0%	-3	-23.1%	0.0%	0.5%	20	18	-3	-23.1%	0.5%	0.7%	20	18
Preston town	-	_	-	-	-	-	0	[-	0.0%	0.0%			0	_	0.0%	0.0%		
CECIL	19	19	100.0%	30	30	100.0%	-11	-36.7%	0.0%	1.2%	18	16	-11	-36.7%	1.0%	1.7%	18	14
KENT	5	19	100.0%	5	5		-11	0.0%	0.7%	0.2%	24	22	-11		0.3%	0.3%	23	22
Betterton town	5	5	100.0%	5	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%	24	22	0	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%	23	22
Rock Hall town	2	2	100.0%	1	-	100.0%	"	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%			"	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
QUEEN ANNE'S	66	52		69	55		,				11	11	,				10	10
TALBOT	62		78.8%			79.7%	-3	-4.3% 100.0%	2.3%	2.9%	11 12	11	-3 31		2.7%	3.2% 1.8%	10	10
Easton	2	62 2	100.0% 100.0%	31 <i>4</i>	31 <i>4</i>	100.0% 100.0%	31 -2	-50.0%	2.2% 0.1%	1.3% 0.2%	12	14	-2	100.0% -50.0%	3.3% 0.1%	0.2%	9	12
LOWER EASTERN SHORE	88	80	90.9%	134	112	83.6%	-46	-34.3%	3.1%	5.6%			-32	-28.6%	4.2%	6.4%		
DORCHESTER	9	9	100.0%	11	11	100.0%	-2	-18.2%	0.3%	0.5%	21	20	-2		0.5%	0.6%	21	20
SOMERSET	7	7	100.0%	4	4	100.0%	3		0.2%	0.2%	22	23	3		0.4%	0.2%	22	23
WICOMICO	31	23	74.2%	38	26	68.4%	-7	-18.4%	1.1%	1.6%	15	12	-3		1.2%	1.5%	16	16
WORCESTER	41	41	100.0%	81	71	87.7%	-40		1.4%	3.4%	13	9	-30		2.1%	4.1%	12	8
Ocean City town	7	7	100.0%	8	8	100.0%	-1	-12.5%	0.2%	0.3%			-1	-12.5%	0.4%	0.5%		. 1
. , .	[<u> </u>]						l '					

PREPARED BY MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING. STATE DATA & ANALYSIS CENTER. March 2025 SOURCE: U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE. BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

(1) Includes new one family units, two family units, three and four family units and five or more family units.

- (2) U. S. Bureau of the Census estimate based on survey
- $\hbox{(3) Sum of reported and imputed responses to monthly permit issuing places question naires}\\$
- (4) Anne Arundel, Baltimore, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties
- (5) Calvert, Carroll, Cecil, Charles, Frederick, Harford, Howard, Queen Anne's and St. Mary's Counties
- (6) Allegany, Washington and Wicomico Counties
- (7) Baltimore City
- (8) Caroline, Dorchester, Garret, Kent, Somerset, Talbot and Worcester Counties

Specified PIP summaries included in county and county group total

Percentages provided for "State Percent" utilize State of Maryland data for the denominator. This is a minor adjustment from previous reports, which utilized State Sum of Reporting PIPs as the denominator.