Table 2D

New Housing Construction: Year to Date August 2025-2021

	NEW HOUSING UNITS AUTHORIZED FOR CONSTRUCTION BY BUILDING PERMITS																	
JURISDICTION	2025			2021			TOTAL HOUSING UNITS					SINGLE FAMLY UNITS						
	TOTAL	SINGLE FAMILY	PERCENT SINGLE	TOTAL	SINGLE FAMILY	PERCENT SINGLE FAMILY	CHA NET	NGE PERCENT	STATE P	ERCENT 2021	COUNT	Y RANK 2021	CH. NET	ANGE PERCENT	STATE P 2025	ERCENT 2021	COUNT 2025	Y RANK 2021
STATE OF MARYLAND (2)	9,441	7,067	74.9%	13,318	8,815	66.2%	-3,877	-29.1%	100.0%	100.0%	2023	2021	-1,748	-19.8%	100.0%	100.0%	2023	2021
STATE SUM OF MONTHLY REPORTING PIPs (3)	9,441	7,067	74.9%	12,724	8,392	66.0%	-3,283	-25.8%	100.0%	95.5%			-1,325	-15.8%	100.0%	95.2%		
SUBURBAN COUNTIES	8,435	6,379	<i>7</i> 5.6%	11,143	7,895	70.9%	-2,708	-24.3%	89.3%	83.7%			-1,516	-19.2%	90.3%	89.6%		
INNER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (4)	4,395	3,332	75.8%	5,252	3,513	66.9%	-857	-16.3%	46.6%	39.4%			-181	-5.2%	47.1%	39.9%		
OUTER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (5)	3,612	2,794	77.4%	5,747	4,238	73.7%	-2,135	-37.1%	38.3%	43.2%			-1,444	-34.1%	39.5%	48.1%		
EXURBAN COUNTIES(6)	428	253	59.1%	144	144	100.0%	284	197.2%	4.5%	1.1%			109	75.7%	3.6%	1.6%		
STATE BALANCE	1,006	688	68.4%	1,320	257	19.5%	-314	-23.8%	10.7%	9.9%			431	167.7%	9.7%	2.9%		
URBAN (7)	•	128		-			-746	-63.4%	4.6%	8.8%				13.3%		1.3%		
NON SUBURBAN (8)	430 576	560	29.8% 97.2%	1,176 144	113 144	9.6% 100.0%	-746 432	300.0%	6.1%	1.1%			15 416	288.9%	1.8% 7.9%	1.6%		
`,																		
BALTIMORE REGION	3,721	2,256	60.6%	5,626	3,164	56.2%	-1,905	-33.9%	39.4%	42.2%			-908	-28.7%	31.9%	35.9%		
ANNE ARUNDEL	1,126	600	53.3%	1,417	1,099	77.6%	-291	-20.5%	11.9%	10.6%	2	3	-499	-45.4%	8.5%	12.5%	6	2
BALTIMORE COUNTY	983	763	77.6%	751	717	95.5%	232	30.9%	10.4%	5.6%	3	7	46	6.4%	10.8%	8.1%	3	4
CARROLL	96	96	100.0%	314	314	100.0%	-218	-69.4%	1.0%	2.4%	18	11	-218	-69.4%	1.4%	3.6%	16	9
HARFORD	445	425	95.5%	659	412	62.5%	-214	-32.5%	4.7%	4.9%	8	8	13	3.2%	6.0%	4.7%	7	8
HOWARD	641	244	38.1%	1,309	509	38.9%	-668	-51.0%	6.8%	9.8%	7	4	-265	-52.1%	3.5%	5.8%	8	7
BALTIMORE CITY	430	128	29.8%	1,176	113	9.6%	-746	-63.4%	4.6%	8.8%	9	6	15	13.3%	1.8%	1.3%	14	16
SUBURBAN WASHINGTON	3,232	2,578	79.8%	4,871	3,121	64.1%	-1,639	-33.6%	34.2%	36.6%			-543	-17.4%	36.5%	35.4%		
FREDERICK	946	609	64.4%	1,787	1,424		-841	-47.1%			4	2	-815		8.6%		-	
MONTGOMERY		612		1,234		79.7%	-538	-47.1%	10.0%	13.4% 9.3%	4		-013	-57.2%		16.2%	3	'
	696		87.9%		604	48.9%			7.4%		6	5	8	1.3%	8.7%	6.9%	4	
PRINCE GEORGE'S	1,590	1,357	85.3%	1,850	1,093	59.1%	-260	-14.1%	16.8%	13.9%	1	1	264	24.2%	19.2%	12.4%	1	3
SOUTHERN MARYLAND	1,167	1,103	94.5%	1,160	1,089	93.9%	7	0.6%	12.4%	8.7%			14	1.3%	15.6%	12.4%		
CALVERT	130	95	73.1%	179	176	98.3%	-49	-27.4%	1.4%	1.3%	15	14	-81	-46.0%	1.3%	2.0%	17	13
CHARLES	822	811	98.7%	642	642	100.0%	180	28.0%	8.7%	4.8%	5	9	169	26.3%	11.5%	7.3%	2	5
ST. MARY'S	215	197	91.6%	339	271	79.9%	-124	-36.6%	2.3%	2.5%	11	10	-74	-27.3%	2.8%	3.1%	10	10
WESTERN MARYLAND	409	242	59.2%	118	118	100.0%	291	246.6%	4.3%	0.9%			124	105.1%	3.4%	1.3%		
ALLEGANY	25	18	72.0%	-	-	-	25	-	0.3%	0.0%	24	-	18	-	0.3%	0.0%	24	-
Frostburg	6	6	100.0%	-	-	-	6	-	0.1%	0.0%			6	-	0.1%	0.0%		
Lonaconing town	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0.0%	0.0%			0	-	0.0%	0.0%		
GARRETT	83	83	100.0%	118	118	100.0%	-35	-29.7%	0.9%	0.9%	19	16	-35	-29.7%	1.2%	1.3%	19	15
WASHINGTON	301	141	46.8%	-	-	-	301	-	3.2%	0.0%	10	-	141	-	2.0%	0.0%	13	-
UPPER EASTERN SHORE	563	547	97.2%	518	490	94.6%	45	8.7%	6.0%	3.9%			57	11.6%	7.7%	5.6%		
CAROLINE	38	38	100.0%				38		0.4%	0.0%	22	_	38		0.5%	0.0%	21	_
Marydel town	_	-	. 55.576	_	_	_	0		0.0%	0.0%			0		0.0%	0.0%		
Preston town	2	2	100.0%	_	_	- 1	2	]	0.0%	0.0%			2		0.0%	0.0%		
CECIL	-			-	-	100.007	_	-			16	40	_				15	
KENT LEGICAL CONTRACTOR OF THE	104	104	100.0%	236	236	100.0%	-132 40	-55.9%	1.1%	1.8% 0.0%		13	-132	-55.9%	1.5%	2.7%		12
	40	24	60.0%	-	-	-1		]	0.4%		21	-	24	-	0.3%	0.0%	23	_
Betterton town	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0.0%	0.0%			0	-	0.0%	0.0%		
Rock Hall town	4	4	100.0%	-	-	-	4	-	0.0%	0.0%			4	-	0.1%	0.0%		
QUEEN ANNE'S	213	213	100.0%	282	254	90.1%	-69	-24.5%	2.3%	2.1%	12	12	-41	-16.1%	3.0%	2.9%	9	11
TALBOT	168	168	100.0%	-	-	-	168	-	1.8%	0.0%	14	-	168	-	2.4%	0.0%	12	-
Easton	43	43	100.0%	44	44	100.0%	-1	-2.3%	0.5%	0.3%			-1	-2.3%	0.6%	0.5%		
LOWER EASTERN SHORE	349	341	97.7%	170	170	100.0%	179	105.3%	3.7%	1.3%			171	100.6%	4.8%	1.9%		
DORCHESTER	44	44	100.0%	-	-	-	44	-	0.5%	0.0%	20	-	44	-	0.6%	0.0%	20	-
SOMERSET	30	30	100.0%	26	26	100.0%	4	15.4%	0.3%	0.2%	23	17	4	15.4%	0.4%	0.3%	22	17
WICOMICO	102	94	92.2%	144	144	100.0%	-42	-29.2%	1.1%	1.1%	17	15	-50	-34.7%	1.3%	1.6%	18	14
WORCESTER	173	173	100.0%	-			173		1.8%	0.0%	13		173		2.4%	0.0%	11	-
						1								4.5%				l
Ocean City town	46	46	100.0%	63	44	69.8%	-17	-27.0%	0.5%	0.5%			2		0.7%	0.5%		

## PREPARED BY MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING. STATE DATA & ANALYSIS CENTER. October 2025

## SOURCE: U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE. BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

- (1) Includes new one family units, two family units, three and four family units and five or more family units.
- (2) U. S. Bureau of the Census estimate based on survey
- $\hbox{(3) Sum of reported and imputed responses to monthly permit issuing places question naires}\\$
- (4) Anne Arundel, Baltimore, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties
- (5) Calvert, Carroll, Cecil, Charles, Frederick, Harford, Howard, Queen Anne's and St. Mary's Counties
- (6) Allegany, Washington and Wicomico Counties
- (7) Baltimore City
- (8) Caroline, Dorchester, Garret, Kent, Somerset, Talbot and Worcester Counties

Specified PIP summaries included in county and county group total

Percentages provided for "State Percent" utilize State of Manyland data for the denominator. This is a minor adjustment from previous reports, which utilized State Sum of Reporting PIPs as the denominator.