Table 2D

New Housing Construction and Value : Year to Date April 2025-2021

	NEW HOUSING UNITS AUTHORIZED FOR CONSTRUCTION BY BUILDING PERMITS																	
JURISDICTION	2025			2021			TOTAL HOUSING UNITS					SINGLE FAMLY UNITS						
	TOTAL	SINGLE FAMILY	PERCENT SINGLE FAMILY	TOTAL	SINGLE FAMILY	PERCENT SINGLE FAMILY	CHANGE NET PERCENT		STATE PERCENT		COUNTY RANK		CHANGE		STATE PERCENT 2025 2021		COUNTY RANK	
STATE OF MARYLAND (2)	4,952	3,654	73.8%	7,301	4,730	64.8%	-2,349		2025 100.0%	100.0%	2025	2021	-1,076	PERCENT	100.0%	100.0%	2025	2021
STATE SUM OF MONTHLY REPORTING PIPs (3)	4,952	3,654	73.8%	6,762	4,383	64.8%	-1,810		100.0%	92.6%			-729	-16.6%	100.0%	92.7%		
, ,																		
SUBURBAN COUNTIES	4,251	3,275	77.0%	5,988	4,280	71.5%	-1,737	-29.0%	85.8%	82.0%			-1,005	-23.5%	89.6%	90.5%		
INNER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (4)	2,453	1,889	77.0%	2,803	2,080	74.2%	-350	-12.5%	49.5%	38.4%			-191	-9.2%	51.7%	44.0%		
OUTER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (5)	1,653	1,251	75.7%	3,109	2,124	68.3%	-1,456	-46.8%	33.4%	42.6%			-873	-41.1%	34.2%	44.9%		
EXURBAN COUNTIES(6)	145	135	93.1%	76	76	100.0%	69	90.8%	2.9%	1.0%			59	77.6%	3.7%	1.6%		
STATE BALANCE	701	379	54.1%	774	103	13.3%	<i>-7</i> 3		14.2%	10.6%			276	268.0%	10.4%	2.2%		
URBAN (7)	369	51	13.8%	701	30	4.3%	-332	-47.4%	7.5%	9.6%			21	70.0%	1.4%	0.6%		
NON SUBURBAN (8)	332	328	98.8%	73	73	100.0%	259	354.8%	6.7%	1.0%			255	349.3%	9.0%	1.5%		
BALTIMORE REGION	2,285	1,131	49.5%	3,165	1,677	53.0%	-880		46.1%	43.4%			-546	-32.6%	31.0%	35.5%		
ANNE ARUNDEL	675	367	54.4%	644	600	93.2%	31		13.6%	8.8%	2	6	-233	-38.8%	10.0%	12.7%	3	3
BALTIMORE COUNTY	546	342	62.6%	401	391	97.5%	145	36.2%	11.0%	5.5%	3	7	-49	-12.5%	9.4%	8.3%	4	4
CARROLL	39	39	100.0%	170	170	100.0%	-131		0.8%	2.3%	18	10		-77.1%	1.1%	3.6%	18	9
HARFORD	222	202	91.0%	227	227	100.0%	-5	-2.2%	4.5%	3.1%	9	9	-25	-11.0%	5.5%	4.8%	7	8
HOWARD	434	130	30.0%	1,022	259	25.3%	-588	-57.5%	8.8%	14.0%	4	1	-129	-49.8%	3.6%	5.5%	8	7
BALTIMORE CITY	369	51	13.8%	701	30	4.3%	-332	-47.4%	7.5%	9.6%	6	5	21	70.0%	1.4%	0.6%	15	16
SUBURBAN WASHINGTON	1,526	1,467	96.1%	2,615	1,741	66.6%	-1,089	-41.6%	30.8%	35.8%			-274	-15.7%	40.1%	36.8%		
FREDERICK	294	287	97.6%	857	652	76.1%	-563	-65.7%	5.9%	11.7%	8	4	-365	-56.0%	7.9%	13.8%	6	2
MONTGOMERY	425	405	95.3%	860	386	44.9%	-435	-50.6%	8.6%	11.8%	5	3	19	4.9%	11.1%	8.2%	2	5
PRINCE GEORGE'S	807	775	96.0%	898	703	78.3%	-91		16.3%	12.3%	1	2	72		21.2%	14.9%	1	1
SOUTHERN MARYLAND	484	441	91.1%	570	567	99.5%	-86	-15.1%	9.8%	7.8%			-126	-22.2%	12.1%	12.0%		
CALVERT	97	62	63.9%	90	87	96.7%	7	7.8%	2.0%	1.2%	13	14	-25	-28.7%	1.7%	1.8%	14	13
CHARLES	308	308	100.0%	362	362	100.0%	-54	-14.9%	6.2%	5.0%	7	8	-54	-14.9%	8.4%	7.7%	5	6
ST. MARY'S	79	71	89.9%	118	118	100.0%	-39	-33.1%	1.6%	1.6%	15	12	-47	-39.8%	1.9%	2.5%	13	11
WESTERN MARYLAND	129	127	98.4%	62	62	100.0%	67	108.1%	2.6%	0.8%			65	104.8%	3.5%	1.3%		
ALLEGANY	10	8	80.0%	-	-	-	10	-	0.2%	0.0%	24	-	8	-	0.2%	0.0%	24	-
Frostburg	2	2	100.0%	-	-	-	2	-	0.0%	0.0%			2	-	0.1%	0.0%		
Lonaconing town	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0.0%	0.0%			0	-	0.0%	0.0%		
GARRETT	35	35	100.0%	62	62	100.0%	-27	-43.5%	0.7%	0.8%	19	16	-27	-43.5%	1.0%	1.3%	19	15
WASHINGTON	84	84	100.0%	-	-	-	84		1.7%	0.0%	14	-	84	-	2.3%	0.0%	12	-
UPPER EASTERN SHORE	340	310	91.2%	263	249	94.7%	77	29.3%	6.9%	3.6%			61	24.5%	8.5%	5.3%		
CAROLINE	18	18	100.0%	-	-	-	18		0.4%	0.0%	21	-	18	-	0.5%	0.0%	21	-
Marydel town	_	-	_	-	-	_	0	_	0.0%	0.0%			0	_	0.0%	0.0%		
Preston town	1	1	100.0%		_	_	1	_	0.0%	0.0%			1	_	0.0%	0.0%		
CECIL	47	47	100.0%	113	113	100.0%	-66	-58.4%	0.9%	1.5%	17	13	-66	-58.4%	1.3%	2.4%	16	12
KENT	15	13	86.7%	113	113	100.0%	15		0.3%	0.0%	22		13	-30.470	0.4%	0.0%	22	12
	15	13	00.7%	-	-	]	0	_	0.0%	0.0%	22	_	0	_	0.4%	0.0%	22	
Betterton town	-	- 3	100.001	-	-	[ -	3	1					0	1				
Rock Hall town	3		100.0%	-			_		0.1%	0.0%			3		0.1%	0.0%		
QUEEN ANNE'S	133	105	78.9%	150	136	90.7%	-17		2.7%	2.1%	10	11		-22.8%	2.9%	2.9%	10	10
TALBOT Easton	127 16	127 16	100.0% 100.0%	- 21	- 21	100.0%	127 -5		2.6% 0.3%	0.0% 0.3%	11	-	127 -5	-23.8%	3.5% 0.4%	0.0% 0.4%	9	-
LOWER EASTERN SHORE	188	178	94.7%	87	87	100.0%	101		3.8%	1.2%			91	104.6%	4.9%	1.8%		
DORCHESTER	25	25	100.0%	-	-	-	25		0.5%	0.0%	20	-	25	-	0.7%	0.0%	20	-
SOMERSET	12	10	83.3%	11	11	100.0%	1	9.1%	0.2%	0.2%	23			-9.1%	0.3%	0.2%	23	17
WICOMICO	51	43	84.3%	76	76	100.0%	-25		1.0%	1.0%	16	15	-33	-43.4%	1.2%	1.6%	17	14
WORCESTER	100	100	100.0%	-	-	-	100	-	2.0%	0.0%	12	-	100	-	2.7%	0.0%	11	-
Ocean City town	14	14	100.0%	43	24	55.8%	-29	-67.4%	0.3%	0.6%			-10	-41.7%	0.4%	0.5%		

## PREPARED BY MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING. STATE DATA & ANALYSIS CENTER. May 2025

## SOURCE: U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE. BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

- (1) Includes new one family units, two family units, three and four family units and five or more family units.
- (2) U. S. Bureau of the Census estimate based on survey
- $\hbox{(3) Sum of reported and imputed responses to monthly permit issuing places question naires}\\$
- (4) Anne Arundel, Baltimore, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties
- (5) Calvert, Carroll, Cecil, Charles, Frederick, Harford, Howard, Queen Anne's and St. Mary's Counties
- (6) Allegany, Washington and Wicomico Counties
- (7) Baltimore City
- (8) Caroline, Dorchester, Garret, Kent, Somerset, Talbot and Worcester Counties

Specified PIP summaries included in county and county group total

Percentages provided for "State Percent" utilize State of Manyland data for the denominator. This is a minor adjustment from previous reports, which utilized State Sum of Reporting PIPs as the denominator.