Table 2C
New Housing Construction and Value : Year to Date April 2025-2022

	NEW HOUSING UNITS AUTHORIZED FOR CONSTRUCTION BY BUILDING PERMITS																	
JURISDICTION	2025			2022			TOTAL HOUSING UNITS					SINGLE FAMLY UNITS						
	TOTAL	SINGLE FAMILY	PERCENT SINGLE	TOTAL	SINGLE FAMILY	PERCENT SINGLE	CHANGE		STATE PERCENT		COUNTY RANK		CHANGE		STATE PERCENT		COUNTY RANK	
	TOTAL	SINGLE FAMILY	FAMILY	TOTAL	SINGLE FAMILY	FAMILY	NET	PERCENT	2025	2022	2025	2022	NET	PERCENT	2025	2022	2025	2022
STATE OF MARYLAND (2)	4,952	3,654	73.8%	7,751	3,882	50.1%	-2,799	-36.1%	100.0%	100.0%			-228	-5.9%	100.0%	100.0%		
STATE SUM OF MONTHLY REPORTING PIPs (3)	4,952	3,654	73.8%	7,751	3,882	50.1%	-2,799	-36.1%	100.0%	100.0%			-228	-5.9%	100.0%	100.0%		
SUBURBAN COUNTIES	4,251	3,275	77.0%	7,028	3,560	50.7%	-2,777	-39.5%	85.8%	90.7%			-285	-8.0%	89.6%	91.7%		
INNER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (4)	2,453	1,889	77.0%	3,283	1,551	47.2%	-830	-25.3%	49.5%	42.4%			338	21.8%	51.7%	40.0%		
OUTER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (5)	1,653	1,251	75.7%	3,117	1,769	56.8%	-1,464	-47.0%	33.4%	40.2%			-518	-29.3%	34.2%	45.6%		
EXURBAN COUNTIES(6)	145	135	93.1%	628	240	38.2%	-483	-76.9%	2.9%	8.1%			-105	-43.8%	3.7%	6.2%		
STATE BALANCE  URBAN (7)	<b>701</b> 369	<b>379</b> 51	54.1%	<b>723</b> 459	<b>322</b> 79	44.5%	<b>-22</b> -90	<b>-3.0%</b> -19.6%	<b>14.2%</b> 7.5%	<b>9.3%</b> 5.9%			<b>57</b> -28	17.7% -35.4%	<b>10.4%</b> 1.4%	<b>8.3%</b> 2.0%		
NON SUBURBAN (8)	369	328	13.8% 98.8%	264	243	17.2% 92.0%	-90 68	-19.6% 25.8%	7.5% 6.7%	3.4%			-28 85	-35.4% 35.0%	9.0%	6.3%		
BALTIMORE REGION	2,285	1,131	49.5%	2,744	1,070	39.0%	-459	-16.7%	46.1%	35.4%			61	5.7%	31.0%	27.6%		
ANNE ARUNDEL	675	367	54.4%	728	470	64.6%	-53	-7.3%	13.6%	9.4%	2	4	-103	-21.9%	10.0%	12.1%	3	3
BALTIMORE COUNTY	546	342	62.6%	92	92	100.0%	454	493.5%	11.0%	1.2%	3	15	250	271.7%	9.4%	2.4%	4	13
CARROLL	39	39	100.0%	157	157	100.0%	-118	-75.2%	0.8%	2.0%	18	12	-118	-75.2%	1.1%	4.0%	18	7
HARFORD	222	202	91.0%	1,077	111	10.3%	-855	-79.4%	4.5%	13.9%	9	2	91	82.0%	5.5%	2.9%	7	11
HOWARD	434	130	30.0%	231	161	69.7%	203	87.9%	8.8%	3.0%	4	10	-31	-19.3%	3.6%	4.1%	8	6
BALTIMORE CITY	369	51	13.8%	459	79	17.2%	-90	-19.6%	7.5%	5.9%	6	5	-28	-35.4%	1.4%	2.0%	15	16
SUBURBAN WASHINGTON	1,526	1,467	96.1%	3,324	1,626	48.9%	-1,798	-54.1%	30.8%	42.9%			-159	-9.8%	40.1%	41.9%		
FREDERICK	294	287	97.6%	861	637	74.0%	-567	-65.9%	5.9%	11.1%	8	3	-350	-54.9%	7.9%	16.4%	6	2
MONTGOMERY	425	405	95.3%	313	244	78.0%	112	35.8%	8.6%	4.0%	5	7	161	66.0%	11.1%	6.3%	2	5
PRINCE GEORGE'S	807	775	96.0%	2,150	745	34.7%	-1,343	-62.5%	16.3%	27.7%	1	1	30	4.0%	21.2%	19.2%	1	1
SOUTHERN MARYLAND	484	441	91.1%	446	446	100.0%	38	8.5%	9.8%	5.8%			-5	-1.1%	12.1%	11.5%		
CALVERT	97	62	63.9%	60	60	100.0%	37	61.7%	2.0%	0.8%	13	17	2	3.3%	1.7%	1.5%	14	17
CHARLES ST. MARY'S	308 79	308 71	100.0% 89.9%	301 85	301 85	100.0% 100.0%	7 -6	2.3% -7.1%	6.2% 1.6%	3.9% 1.1%	7 15	8 16	7 -14	2.3% -16.5%	8.4% 1.9%	7.8% 2.2%	5 13	4 15
WESTERN MARYLAND	129	127	98.4%	420	200	47.6%	-291	-69.3%	2.6%	5.4%			-73		3.5%	5.2%		
ALLEGANY	10	8	80.0%	3	3	100.0%	7	233.3%	0.2%	0.0%	24	24	. 5	166.7%	0.2%	0.1%	24	24
Frostburg	2	2	100.0%	1	1	100.0%	1	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%			1	100.0%	0.1%	0.0%		
Lonaconing town	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0.0%	0.0%			0	-	0.0%	0.0%		
GARRETT	35	35	100.0%	48	48	100.0%	-13	-27.1%	0.7%	0.6%	19	18	-13	-27.1%	1.0%	1.2%	19	18
WASHINGTON	84	84	100.0%	369	149	40.4%	-285	-77.2%	1.7%	4.8%	14	6	-65	-43.6%	2.3%	3.8%	12	8
UPPER EASTERN SHORE CAROLINE	<b>340</b> 18	310	<b>91.2%</b> 100.0%	<b>419</b> 22	<b>324</b> 22	<b>77.3%</b> 100.0%	-79 -4	-18.9% -18.2%	<b>6.9%</b> 0.4%	<b>5.4%</b> 0.3%	24	21	-14	<b>-4.3</b> % -18.2%	<b>8.5%</b> 0.5%	<b>8.3%</b> 0.6%	21	21
	18	18	100.0%	22	22	100.0%	-4 0	-18.2%	0.4%		21	21	0	-18.2%			21	21
Marydel town Preston town	1	1	100.0%	3	- 3	100.0%		-66.7%	0.0%	0.0% 0.0%			-2	-66.7%	0.0% 0.0%	0.0% 0.1%		
	47	47		-			-2 -87				17	13					16	_
CECIL KENT	15	13	100.0%	134 19	134 17	100.0%	-87 -4	-64.9% -21.1%	0.9% 0.3%	1.7% 0.2%	17 22	13	-87	-64.9% -23.5%	1.3% 0.4%	3.5% 0.4%	16 22	22
Betterton town	15	13	86.7%	19	17	89.5%	-4	-21.1%	0.0%	0.2%	22	22	-4	-23.5%	0.4%	0.4%	22	22
Rock Hall town	3	3	100.0%		-	-	3		0.0%	0.0%			2	]	0.0%	0.0%		
QUEEN ANNE'S	133	105	78.9%	211	123	58.3%	-78	-37.0%	2.7%	2.7%	10	11	-18	-14.6%	2.9%	3.2%	10	10
TALBOT	127	127	100.0%	33	28	84.8%	-78 94	-37.0% 284.8%	2.7%	0.4%	11	19	-18 99	353.6%	2.9% 3.5%	0.7%	10	19
Easton	16	16	100.0%	15	15	100.0%	1	6.7%	0.3%	0.2%	''	19	1	6.7%	0.4%	0.4%	9	19
LOWER EASTERN SHORE	188	178	94.7%	398	216	54.3%	-210	-52.8%	3.8%	5.1%			-38	-17.6%	4.9%	5.6%		
DORCHESTER	25	25	100.0%	24	24	100.0%	1	4.2%	0.5%	0.3%	20	20	1	4.2%	0.7%	0.6%	20	20
SOMERSET	12	10	83.3%	11	11	100.0%	1	9.1%	0.2%	0.1%	23	23	-1	-9.1%	0.3%	0.3%	23	23
WICOMICO	51	43	84.3%	256	88	34.4%	-205	-80.1%	1.0%	3.3%	16	9	-45	-51.1%	1.2%	2.3%	17	14
WORCESTER	100	100	100.0%	107	93	86.9%	-7	-6.5%	2.0%	1.4%	12	14	. 7	7.5%	2.7%	2.4%	11	12
Ocean City town	14	14	100.0%	13	13	100.0%	1	7.7%	0.3%	0.2%			1	7.7%	0.4%	0.3%		

## PREPARED BY MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING. STATE DATA & ANALYSIS CENTER. May 2025

SOURCE: U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE. BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

- $(1) \, Includes \, new \, one \, family \, units, \, two \, family \, units, \, three \, and \, four \, family \, units \, and \, five \, or \, more \, family \, units.$
- (2) U. S. Bureau of the Census estimate based on survey
- $\hbox{(3) Sum of reported and imputed responses to monthly permit issuing places question naires}\\$
- (4) Anne Arundel, Baltimore, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties
- $(5) \, Calvert, Carroll, Cecil, Charles, Frederick, Harford, Howard, Queen \, Anne's \, and \, St. \, Mary's \, Counties$
- (6) Allegany, Washington and Wicomico Counties
- (7) Baltimore Ci
- $\hbox{(8) Caroline, Dorchester, Garret, Kent, Somerset, Talbot and Worcester Counties}\\$

Specified PIP summaries included in county and county group total  $% \label{eq:county} % \label{eq:county$ 

Percentages provided for "State Percent" utilize State of Maryland data for the denominator. This is a minor adjustment from previous reports, which utilized State Sum of Reporting PIPs as the denominator.