Table 2C
New Housing Construction and Value : Year to Date November 2024-2021

TOTAL SINGLE FAMILY PERCENT SINGLE FAMILY FORE CENT FAMILY FAMI	PERCENT 2021	ı	TTY RANK 2021
TOTAL SINGLE FAMILY FAMILY FAMILY SINGLE FAMILY FAMILY NET PERCENT 2024 2021 2024 2021 NET PERCENT 2024 STATE OF MARYLAND (2) 15,928 10,503 65.9% 17,455 11,387 65.2% -1,527 -8.7% 100.0% 100.0% 0.0% -884 -7.8% 100.0% STATE SUM OF MONTHLY REPORTING PIPS (3) 15,928 10,503 65.9% 16,393 10,587 64.6% -465 -2.8% 100.0% 93.9% -84 -0.8% 100.0% SUBURBAN COUNTIES 14,248 9,558 67.1% 14,604 10,237 70.1% -356 -2.4% 89.5% 83.7% -679 -6.6% 91.0% INNER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (4) 7,921 4,884 61.7% 6,761 4,271 63.2% 1,160 17.2% 49.7% 38.7% 613 14.4% 46.5% OUTER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (5) 5,818 4,225 72.6% 7,630 5,753 75.4% 1,812 -23.7% 36.5% 43.7% -1528 -26.6% 40.2% EXURBAN COUNTIES (6) 509 449 88.2% 213 213 100.0% 296 139.0% 3.2% 1.2% 236 110.8% 43.9% STATE BALANCE 1,680 945 56.3% 1,789 350 19.6% -109 -6.1% 10.5% 10.2% 5.5% 9.2% -10 -6.0% 1.5% URBAN (7) 875 158 18.1% 1,607 168 10.5% -732 -45.6% 5.5% 9.2% -10 -6.0% 1.5%	2021 100.0% 93.0% 89.9% 37.5% 50.5%		T
STATE OF MARYLAND (2) 15,928 10,503 65.9% 17,455 11,387 65.2% -1,527 -8.7% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% -884 -7.8% 100.0% STATE SUM OF MONTHLY REPORTING PIPS (3) 15,928 10,503 65.9% 16,393 10,587 64.6% -465 -2.8% 100.0% 93.9% -84 -0.8% 100.0% SUBURBAN COUNTIES 14,248 9,558 67.1% 14,604 10,237 70.1% -356 -2.4% 89.5% 83.7% -679 -6.6% 91.0% INNER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (4) 7,921 4,884 61.7% 6,761 4,271 63.2% 1,160 17.2% 49.7% 38.7% 613 14.4% 46.5% OUTER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (5) 5,818 4,225 72.6% 7,630 5,753 75.4% -1,812 -23.7% 36.5% 43.7% -1528 -26.6% 40.2% EXURBAN COUNTIES (6) 509 449 88.2% 213 213 100.0% 296 139.0% 3.2% 1.2% 595 170.0% 91.0% STATE BALANCE 1,680 945 56.3% 1,769 350 19.6% -109 -6.1% 10.5% 10.5% 10.2% 5.5% 9.2% -10 -6.0% 1.5% 1.5% 18.1% 1,607 168 10.5% -732 -45.6% 5.5% 9.2% -10 -6.0% 1.5%	100.0% 93.0% 89.9% 37.5% 50.5%	2024	2021
STATE SUM OF MONTHLY REPORTING PIPs (3) 15,928 10,503 65.9% 16,393 10,587 64.6% -465 -2.8% 100.0% 93.9% -84 -0.8% 100.0% SUBURBAN COUNTIES 14,248 9,558 67.1% 14,604 10,237 70.1% -356 -2.4% 89.5% 83.7% -679 -6.6% 91.0% INNER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (4) 7,921 4,884 61.7% 6,761 4,271 63.2% 1,160 17.2% 49.7% 38.7% 613 14.4% 46.5% OUTER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (5) 5,818 4,225 72.6% 7,630 5,753 75.4% -1,812 -23.7% 36.5% 43.7% -1528 -26.6% 40.2% EXURBAN COUNTIES (6) 509 449 88.2% 213 213 100.0% 296 139.0% 3.2% 1.2% 236 11.08% 43.5% STATE BALANCE 1,680 945 56.3% 1,789 350 19.6% -109 -6.1% 10.5% 10.2% 595 170.0% 9.0% URBAN (7) 875 158 18.1% 1,607 168 10.5% -732 -45.6% 5.5% 9.2% -10 -6.0% 1.5%	93.0% 89.9% 37.5% 50.5%		
SUBURBAN COUNTIES 14,248 9,558 67.1% 14,604 10,237 70.1% -356 -2.4% 89.5% 83.7% -679 -6.6% 91.0% INNER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (4) 7,921 4,884 61.7% 6,761 4,271 63.2% 1,160 17.2% 49.7% 38.7% 613 14.4% 40.5% OUTER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (5) 5,818 4,225 72.6% 7,630 5,753 75.4% -1,812 -23.7% 36.5% 43.7% -1528 -26.6% 40.2% EXURBAN COUNTIES (6) 509 449 88.2% 213 213 100.0% 296 139.0% 3.2% 1.2% 236 110.8% 43.% STATE BALANCE 1,680 945 56.3% 1,789 350 19.6% -109 -6.1% 10.5% 10.2% 595 170.0% 9.0% URBAN (7) 875 158 18.1% 1,607 168 10.5% -732 -45.6% 5.5% 9.2% -10 <td>89.9% 37.5% 50.5%</td> <td></td> <td>1</td>	89.9% 37.5% 50.5%		1
INNER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (4) 7,921 4,884 61.7% 6,761 4,271 63.2% 1,160 17.2% 49.7% 38.7% 613 14.4% 46.5%	37.5% 50.5%		
OUTER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (5) 5,818 4,225 72.6% 7,630 5,753 75.4% -1,812 -23.7% 36.5% 43.7% -1528 -26.6% 40.2% EXURBAN COUNTIES (6) 509 449 88.2% 213 213 100.0% 296 139.0% 3.2% 1.2% 236 110.8% 4.3% STATE BALANCE 1,680 945 56.3% 1,789 350 19.6% -109 -6.1% 10.5% 10.2% 595 170.0% 9.0% URBAN (7) 875 158 18.1% 1,607 168 10.5% -732 -45.6% 5.5% 9.2% -10 -6.0% 1.5%	50.5%		
EXURBAN COUNTIES(6) 509 449 88.2% 213 213 100.0% 296 139.0% 3.2% 1.2% 236 110.8% 4.3% STATE BALANCE 1,680 945 56.3% 1,789 350 19.6% -109 -6.1% 10.5% 10.2% 595 170.0% 9.0% URBAN (7) 875 158 18.1% 1,607 168 10.5% -732 -45.6% 5.5% 9.2% -10 -6.0% 1.5%			
STATE BALANCE 1,680 945 56.3% 1,789 350 19.6% -109 -6.1% 10.5% 10.2% 595 170.0% 9.0% URBAN (7) 875 158 18.1% 1,607 168 10.5% -732 -45.6% 5.5% 9.2% -10 -6.0% 1.5%	1.9%		
URBAN (7) 875 158 18.1% 1,607 168 10.5% -732 -45.6% 5.5% 9.2% -10 -6.0% 1.5%			
	3.1%		
NON SUBURBAN (8) 805 787 97.8% 182 100.0% 623 342.3% 5.1% 1.0% 605 332.4% 7.5%	1.5%		
	1.6%		
BALTIMORE REGION 5,303 3,319 62.6% 7,076 4,051 57.2% -1,773 -25.1% 33.3% 40.5% -732 -18.1% 31.6%	35.6%		
ANNE ARUNDEL 1,246 947 76.0% 1,644 1,308 79.6% -398 -24.2% 7.8% 9.4% 6 4 -361 -27.6% 9.0%	11.5%	4	3
BALTIMORE COUNTY 1,465 820 56.0% 965 865 89.6% 500 51.8% 9.2% 5.5% 3 7 -45 -5.2% 7.8%	7.6%	6	5
CARROLL 148 136 91.9% 456 456 100.0% -308 -67.5% 0.9% 2.6% 16 10 -320 -70.2% 1.3%	4.0%	17	9
HARFORD 726 700 96.4% 851 501 58.9% -125 -14.7% 4.6% 4.9% 9 9 19 39.7% 6.7%	4.4%	7	8
HOWARD 843 558 66.2% 1,553 753 48.5% -710 -45.7% 5.3% 8.9% 8 6 -195 -25.9% 5.3%	6.6%	8	6
BALTIMORE CITY 875 158 18.1% 1,607 168 10.5% -732 -45.6% 5.5% 9.2% 7 5 -10 -6.0% 1.5%	1.5%	15	15
SUBURBAN WASHINGTON 6,687 4,229 63.2% 6,787 4,074 60.0% -100 -1.5% 42.0% 38.9% 155 3.8% 40.3%	35.8%		
FREDERICK 1,477 1,112 75.3% 2,635 1,976 75.0% -1,158 -43.9% 9.3% 15.1% 2 1 -864 -43.7% 10.6%	17.4%	3	1
MONTGOMERY 3,839 1,746 45.5% 1,800 743 41.3% 2,039 113.3% 24.1% 10.3% 1 3 1003 135.0% 16.6%	6.5%	1	7
PRINCE GEORGE'S 1,371 1,00.0% 2,352 1,355 57.6% -981 -41.7% 8.6% 13.5% 5 2 16 1.2% 13.1%	11.9%	2	2
SOUTHERN MARYLAND 1,786 1,267 70.9% 1,431 1,422 99.4% 355 24.8% 11.2% 8.2% -155 -10.9% 12.1%	12.5%		
CALVERT 92 89 96.7% 223 220 98.7% -131 -58.7% 0.6% 1.3% 19 14 -131 -59.5% 0.8%	1.9%	19	13
CHARLES 1,451 935 64.4% 870 870 100.0% 581 66.8% 9.1% 5.0% 4 8 65 7.5% 8.9%	7.6%	5	4
ST. MARY'S 243 100.0% 338 332 98.2% -95 -28.1% 1.5% 1.9% 14 12 -89 -26.8% 2.3%	2.9%	11	10
WESTERN MARYLAND 368 352 95.7% 145 145 100.0% 223 153.8% 2.3% 0.8% 207 142.8% 3.4%	1.3%		
ALLEGANY 24 24 100.0% 0.2% - 24 0.2%	i- I	24	-
Frostburg 4 4 100.0% 0.0% 0.0%	i- J		
Lonaconing town - - - - - - 0.0% - - - 0.0%	i- J		
GARRETT 120 120 100.0% 145 100.0% -25 -17.2% 0.8% 0.8% 18 16 -25 -17.2% 1.1%	1.3%	18	16
WASHINGTON 224 208 92.9% 1.4% - 15 2.0%	-	13	-
UPPER EASTERN SHORE 1,078 692 64.2% 704 645 91.6% 374 53.1% 6.8% 4.0% 47 7.3% 6.6%	5.7%		
CAROLINE 55 55 100.0% 0.3% - 21 0.5%	r	21	-
Marydel town - - - - - 0.0% - - - 0.0%	r 1		1
Preston town 2 2 100.0% - - - - 0.0% - - 0.0% - - 0.0%	- 		
CECIL 526 196 37.3% 332 315 94.9% 194 58.4% 3.3% 1.9% 10 13 -119 -37.8% 1.9%	2.8%	14	12
KENT 40 40 100.0% 0.3% - 22 0.4%	-	22	-
Betterton town 1 1 100.0% - 0 1 - 0.0% 0.0% 1 - 0.0%	0.0%		
Rock Hall town 6 6 100.0% - - - - 0.0% - - - 0.1%	-		
QUEEN ANNE'S 312 256 82.1% 372 330 88.7% -60 -16.1% 2.0% 2.1% 12 11 -74 -22.4% 2.4%	2.9%	10	11
TALBOT 145 145 100.0% 0.9% - 17 1.4%	-	16	-
Easton 17 17 100.0% 59 59 100.0% -42 -71.2% 0.1% 0.3% -42 -71.2% 0.2%	0.5%		
LOWER EASTERN SHORE 706 644 91.2% 250 250 100.0% 456 182.4% 4.4% 1.4% 394 157.6% 6.1%	2.2%		
DORCHESTER 73 73 100.0% - - - - 0.5% - 20 - - 0.7%	- 	20	-
SOMERSET 40 38 95.0% 37 37 100.0% 3 8.1% 0.3% 0.2% 22 17 1 2.7% 0.4%	0.3%	23	17
WICOMICO 261 217 83.1% 213 100.0% 48 22.5% 1.6% 1.2% 13 15 4 1.9% 2.1%	1.9%	12	14
WORCESTER 332 316 95.2% 2.1% - 11 3.0%	-	9	-
Ocean city town 38 34 89.5% 79 53 67.1% -41 -51.9% 0.2% 0.5% -19 -35.8% 0.3%	0.5%		

PREPARED BY MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING. STATE DATA & ANALYSIS CENTER. December 2024

SOURCE: U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE. BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

- (1) Includes new one family units, two family units, three and four family units and five or more family units.
- (2) U. S. Bureau of the Census estimate based on survey
- (3) Sum of reported and imputed responses to monthly permit issuing places questionnaires
- (4) Anne Arundel, Baltimore, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties
- (5) Calvert, Carroll, Cecil, Charles, Frederick, Harford, Howard, Queen Anne's and St. Mary's Counties
- (6) Allegany, Washington and Wicomico Counties
- (7) Baltimore City
- (8) Caroline, Dorchester, Garret, Kent, Somerset, Talbot and Worcester Counties

Specified PIP summaries included in county and county group total

Percentages provided for "State Percent" utilize State of Maryland data for the denominator. This is a minor adjustment from previous reports, which utilized State Sum of Reporting PIPs as the denominator.