

Table 2C  
**NEW HOUSING CONSTRUCTION AND VALUE: YEAR TO DATE MAY 2024 AND 2021**

JURISDICTION	YEAR TO DATE MAY						TOTAL HOUSING UNITS						SINGLE FAMILY UNITS					
	2024			2021			CHANGE		STATE PERCENT		COUNTY RANK		CHANGE		STATE PERCENT		COUNTY RANK	
	TOTAL	SINGLE FAMILY	PERCENT SINGLE FAMILY	TOTAL	SINGLE FAMILY	PERCENT SINGLE FAMILY	NET	PERCENT	2024	2021	2024	2021	NET	PERCENT	2024	2021	2024	2021
<b>STATE OF MARYLAND (2)</b>	<b>8,060</b>	<b>4,921</b>	<b>61.1%</b>	<b>8,651</b>	<b>5,769</b>	<b>66.7%</b>	<b>(591)</b>	<b>-6.8%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>105.3%</b>			<b>(848)</b>	<b>-14.7%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>104.7%</b>		
<b>STATE SUM OF MONTHLY REPORTING PIPs (3)</b>	<b>8,060</b>	<b>4,921</b>	<b>61.1%</b>	<b>8,219</b>	<b>5,508</b>	<b>67.0%</b>	<b>(159)</b>	<b>-1.9%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>			<b>(587)</b>	<b>-10.7%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>		
<b>SUBURBAN COUNTIES</b>	<b>7,358</b>	<b>4,496</b>	<b>61.1%</b>	<b>7,236</b>	<b>5,329</b>	<b>73.6%</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>91.3%</b>	<b>88.0%</b>			<b>(833)</b>	<b>-15.6%</b>	<b>91.4%</b>	<b>96.8%</b>		
INNER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (4)	4,465	2,264	50.7%	3,330	2,458	73.8%	1,135	34.1%	55.4%	40.5%			(194)	-7.9%	46.0%	44.6%		
OUTER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (5)	2,676	2,041	76.3%	3,722	2,689	72.2%	(1,046)	-28.1%	33.2%	45.3%			(648)	-24.1%	41.5%	48.8%		
EXURBAN COUNTIES(6)	217	191	88.0%	184	182	98.9%	33	17.9%	2.7%	2.2%			9	4.9%	3.9%	3.3%		
					5,329													
<b>STATE BALANCE</b>	<b>702</b>	<b>425</b>	<b>60.5%</b>	<b>983</b>	<b>179</b>	<b>18.2%</b>	<b>(281)</b>	<b>-28.6%</b>	<b>8.7%</b>	<b>12.0%</b>			<b>246</b>	<b>137.4%</b>	<b>8.6%</b>	<b>3.2%</b>		
URBAN (7)	334	67	20.1%	825	40	4.8%	(491)	-59.5%	4.1%	10.0%			27	67.5%	1.4%	0.7%		
NON SUBURBAN (8)	368	358	97.3%	158	139	88.0%	210	132.9%	4.6%	1.9%			219	157.6%	7.3%	2.5%		
<b>BALTIMORE REGION</b>	<b>2,467</b>	<b>1,580</b>	<b>64.0%</b>	<b>3,667</b>	<b>2,049</b>	<b>55.9%</b>	<b>(1,200)</b>	<b>-32.7%</b>	<b>30.6%</b>	<b>44.6%</b>			<b>(469)</b>	<b>-22.9%</b>	<b>32.1%</b>	<b>37.2%</b>		
ANNE ARUNDEL	834	569	68.2%	739	695	94.0%	95	12.9%	10.3%	9.0%	3	6	(126)	-18.1%	11.6%	12.6%	3	3
BALTIMORE COUNTY	563	351	62.3%	509	499	98.0%	54	10.6%	7.0%	6.2%	5	7	(148)	-29.7%	7.1%	9.1%	5	4
CARROLL	43	43	100.0%	198	198	100.0%	(155)	-78.3%	0.5%	2.4%	18	10	(155)	-78.3%	0.9%	3.6%	18	9
HARFORD	352	261	74.1%	248	248	100.0%	104	41.9%	4.4%	3.0%	6	9	13	5.2%	5.3%	4.5%	7	8
HOWARD	341	289	84.8%	1,148	369	32.1%	(807)	-70.3%	4.2%	14.0%	7	1	(80)	-21.7%	5.9%	6.7%	6	7
BALTIMORE CITY	334	67	20.1%	825	40	4.8%	(491)	-59.5%	4.1%	10.0%	8	5	27	67.5%	1.4%	0.7%	16	17
<b>SUBURBAN WASHINGTON</b>	<b>3,801</b>	<b>1,921</b>	<b>50.5%</b>	<b>3,182</b>	<b>2,149</b>	<b>67.5%</b>	<b>619</b>	<b>19.5%</b>	<b>47.2%</b>	<b>38.7%</b>			<b>(228)</b>	<b>-10.6%</b>	<b>39.0%</b>	<b>39.0%</b>		
FREDERICK	733	577	78.7%	1,100	885	80.5%	(367)	-33.4%	9.1%	13.4%	4	2	(308)	-34.8%	11.7%	16.1%	2	1
MONTGOMERY	2,818	1,094	38.8%	1,007	446	44.3%	1,811	179.8%	35.0%	12.3%	1	4	648	145.3%	22.2%	8.1%	1	5
PRINCE GEORGE'S	250	250	100.0%	1,075	818	76.1%	(825)	-76.7%	3.1%	13.1%	9	3	(568)	-69.4%	5.1%	14.9%	8	2
<b>SOUTHERN MARYLAND</b>	<b>987</b>	<b>679</b>	<b>68.8%</b>	<b>721</b>	<b>696</b>	<b>96.5%</b>	<b>266</b>	<b>36.9%</b>	<b>12.2%</b>	<b>8.8%</b>			<b>(17)</b>	<b>-2.4%</b>	<b>13.8%</b>	<b>12.6%</b>		
CALVERT	33	33	100.0%	111	108	97.3%	(78)	-70.3%	0.4%	1.4%	19	14	(75)	-69.4%	0.7%	2.0%	20	13
CHARLES	860	552	64.2%	435	435	100.0%	425	97.7%	10.7%	5.3%	2	8	117	26.9%	11.2%	7.9%	4	6
ST. MARY'S	94	94	100.0%	175	153	87.4%	(81)	-46.3%	1.2%	2.1%	14	12	(59)	-38.6%	1.9%	2.8%	12	11
<b>WESTERN MARYLAND</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>97.5%</b>						<b>2.0%</b>									
ALLEGANY*	8	8	100.0%						0.10%			22						24
Frostburg*	1	1	100.0%						0.01%									
Lonaconing town*	-	-	-															
GARRETT	47	47	100.0%	78	78	100.0%	(31)	-39.7%	0.6%	0.9%	17	17	(31)	-39.7%	1.0%	1.4%	17	16
WASHINGTON	105	101	96.2%	95	93	97.9%	10	10.5%	1.3%	1.2%	12	15	8	8.6%	2.1%	1.7%	11	14
<b>UPPER EASTERN SHORE</b>	<b>338</b>	<b>310</b>	<b>91.7%</b>						<b>4.2%</b>									
CAROLINE*	30	30	100.0%						0.4%			20						21
Marydel town*	-	-	-															
Preston town*	-	-	-															
CECIL	79	79	100.0%	131	131	100.0%	(52)	-39.7%	1.0%	1.6%	15	13	(52)	-39.7%	1.6%	2.4%	14	12
KENT*	18	18	100.0%						0.2%			21						22
Betterton town	1	1	100.0%						0.0%									
Rock Hall town*	2	2	100.0%						0.0%									
QUEEN ANNE'S	141	113	80.1%	176	162	92.0%	(35)	-19.9%	1.7%	2.1%	11	11	(49)	-30.2%	2.3%	2.9%	10	10
TALBOT*	70	70	100.0%						0.9%			16						15
Easton	11	11	100.0%	22	22	100.0%	(11)	-50.0%	0.1%	0.3%			(11)	-50.0%	0.2%	0.4%		
<b>LOWER EASTERN SHORE</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>275</b>	<b>89.6%</b>															
DORCHESTER*	35	35	100.0%															19
SOMERSET*	10	10	100.0%															23
WICOMICO	104	82	78.8%	89	89	100.0%	15	16.9%	1.3%	1.1%	13	16	(7)	-7.9%	1.7%	1.6%	13	15
WORCESTER*	158	148	93.7%						2.0%			10						9
Ocean city town	14	14	100.0%	46	27	58.7%	(32)	-69.6%	0.2%	0.6%			(13)	-48.1%	0.3%	0.5%		

PREPARED BY MD DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING. PLANNING DATA SERVICES. AUGUST 2024

SOURCE: U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE. BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

(1) Includes new one family units, two family units, three and four family units and five or more family units.

(2) U. S. Bureau of the Census estimate based on survey

(3) Sum of reported and imputed responses to monthly permit issuing places questionnaires

(4) Anne Arundel, Baltimore, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties

(5) Calvert, Carroll, Cecil, Charles, Frederick, Harford, Howard, Queen Anne's and St. Mary's Counties

(6) Allegany, Washington and Wicomico Counties

(7) Baltimore City

(8) Caroline, Dorchester, Garret, Kent, Somerset, Talbot and Worcester Counties

Specified PIP summaries included in county and county group total

\*Not available monthly