

**Table 2D**  
**New Housing Construction and Value : Year to Date December 2024-2020**

JURISDICTION	NEW HOUSING UNITS AUTHORIZED FOR CONSTRUCTION BY BUILDING PERMITS						TOTAL HOUSING UNITS						SINGLE FAMILY UNITS					
	2024			2020			CHANGE		STATE PERCENT		COUNTY RANK		CHANGE		STATE PERCENT		COUNTY RANK	
	TOTAL	SINGLE FAMILY	PERCENT SINGLE FAMILY	TOTAL	SINGLE FAMILY	PERCENT SINGLE FAMILY	NET	PERCENT	2024	2020	2024	2020	NET	PERCENT	2024	2020	2024	2020
STATE OF MARYLAND (2)	17,048	11,251	66.0%	18,429	13,365	72.5%	-1,381	-7.5%	100.0%	100.0%			-2,114	-15.8%	100.0%	100.0%		
STATE SUM OF MONTHLY REPORTING PIPs (3)	17,048	11,251	66.0%	17,560	12,638	72.0%	-512	-2.9%	100.0%	95.3%			-1,387	-11.0%	100.0%	94.6%		
<b>SUBURBAN COUNTIES</b>	<b>14,902</b>	<b>10,231</b>	<b>68.7%</b>	<b>15,802</b>	<b>12,385</b>	<b>78.4%</b>	<b>-900</b>	<b>-5.7%</b>	<b>87.4%</b>	<b>85.7%</b>			<b>-2,154</b>	<b>-17.4%</b>	<b>90.9%</b>	<b>92.7%</b>		
INNER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (4)	8,175	5,177	63.3%	7,633	5,689	74.5%	542	7.1%	48.0%	41.4%			-512	-9.0%	46.0%	42.6%		
OUTER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (5)	6,193	4,584	74.0%	7,869	6,454	82.0%	-1,676	-21.3%	36.3%	42.7%			-1,870	-29.0%	40.7%	48.3%		
EXURBAN COUNTIES(6)	534	470	88.0%	300	242	80.7%	234	78.0%	3.1%	1.6%			228	94.2%	4.2%	1.8%		
<b>STATE BALANCE</b>	<b>2,146</b>	<b>1,020</b>	<b>47.5%</b>	<b>1,758</b>	<b>253</b>	<b>14.4%</b>	<b>388</b>	<b>22.1%</b>	<b>12.6%</b>	<b>9.5%</b>			<b>767</b>	<b>303.2%</b>	<b>9.1%</b>	<b>1.9%</b>		
URBAN (7)	1,273	165	13.0%	1,620	115	7.1%	-347	-21.4%	7.5%	8.8%			50	43.5%	1.5%	0.9%		
NON SUBURBAN (8)	873	855	97.9%	138	138	100.0%	735	532.6%	5.1%	0.7%			717	519.6%	7.6%	1.0%		
<b>BALTIMORE REGION</b>	<b>5,927</b>	<b>3,548</b>	<b>59.9%</b>	<b>7,779</b>	<b>4,718</b>	<b>60.7%</b>	<b>-1,852</b>	<b>-23.8%</b>	<b>34.8%</b>	<b>42.2%</b>			<b>-1,170</b>	<b>-24.8%</b>	<b>31.5%</b>	<b>35.3%</b>		
ANNE ARUNDEL	1,303	1,004	77.1%	1,982	1,945	98.1%	-679	-34.3%	7.6%	10.8%	6	3	-941	-48.4%	8.9%	14.6%	5	3
BALTIMORE COUNTY	1,511	866	57.3%	1,444	746	51.7%	67	4.6%	8.9%	7.8%	4	6	120	16.1%	7.7%	5.6%	6	7
CARROLL	156	144	92.3%	481	436	90.6%	-325	-67.6%	0.9%	2.6%	17	11	-292	-67.0%	1.3%	3.3%	17	10
HARFORD	803	777	96.8%	1,190	825	69.3%	-387	-32.5%	4.7%	6.5%	9	7	-48	-5.8%	6.9%	6.2%	7	6
HOWARD	881	592	67.2%	1,062	651	61.3%	-181	-17.0%	5.2%	5.8%	8	8	-59	-9.1%	5.3%	4.9%	8	9
BALTIMORE CITY	1,273	165	13.0%	1,620	115	7.1%	-347	-21.4%	7.5%	8.8%	7	4	50	43.5%	1.5%	0.9%	15	16
<b>SUBURBAN WASHINGTON</b>	<b>6,923</b>	<b>4,492</b>	<b>64.9%</b>	<b>6,784</b>	<b>5,215</b>	<b>76.9%</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>40.6%</b>	<b>36.8%</b>			<b>-723</b>	<b>-13.9%</b>	<b>39.9%</b>	<b>39.0%</b>		
FREDERICK	1,562	1,185	75.9%	2,577	2,217	86.0%	-1,015	-39.4%	9.2%	14.0%	2	2	-1,032	-46.5%	10.5%	16.6%	3	1
MONTGOMERY	3,880	1,826	47.1%	1,486	932	62.7%	2,394	161.1%	22.8%	8.1%	1	5	894	95.9%	16.2%	7.0%	1	4
PRINCE GEORGE'S	1,481	1,481	100.0%	2,721	2,066	75.9%	-1,240	-45.6%	8.7%	14.8%	5	1	-585	-28.3%	13.2%	15.5%	2	2
<b>SOUTHERN MARYLAND</b>	<b>1,908</b>	<b>1,389</b>	<b>72.8%</b>	<b>2,024</b>	<b>1,811</b>	<b>89.5%</b>	<b>-116</b>	<b>-5.7%</b>	<b>11.2%</b>	<b>11.0%</b>			<b>-422</b>	<b>-23.3%</b>	<b>12.3%</b>	<b>13.6%</b>		
CALVERT	101	98	97.0%	339	243	71.7%	-238	-70.2%	0.6%	1.8%	19	12	-145	-59.7%	0.9%	1.8%	19	12
CHARLES	1,542	1,026	66.5%	735	735	100.0%	807	109.8%	9.0%	4.0%	3	10	291	39.6%	9.1%	5.5%	4	8
ST. MARY'S	265	265	100.0%	950	833	87.7%	-685	-72.1%	1.6%	5.2%	14	9	-568	-68.2%	2.4%	6.2%	10	5
<b>WESTERN MARYLAND</b>	<b>378</b>	<b>358</b>	<b>94.7%</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>260</b>	<b>220.3%</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>			<b>240</b>	<b>203.4%</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>		
ALLEGANY	24	24	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.1%	-	24	-	-	-	0.2%	-	24	-
Frostburg	4	4	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-
Lonaconing town	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-
GARRETT	122	122	100.0%	118	118	100.0%	4	3.4%	0.7%	0.6%	18	16	4	3.4%	1.1%	0.9%	18	15
WASHINGTON	232	212	91.4%	-	-	-	-	-	1.4%	-	15	-	-	-	1.9%	-	14	-
<b>UPPER EASTERN SHORE</b>	<b>1,150</b>	<b>764</b>	<b>66.4%</b>	<b>535</b>	<b>514</b>	<b>96.1%</b>	<b>615</b>	<b>115.0%</b>	<b>6.7%</b>	<b>2.9%</b>			<b>250</b>	<b>48.6%</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>3.8%</b>		
CAROLINE	59	59	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.3%	-	21	-	-	-	0.5%	-	21	-
Marydel town	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-
Preston town	2	2	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-
CECIL	563	233	41.4%	234	234	100.0%	329	140.6%	3.3%	1.3%	10	15	-1	-0.4%	2.1%	1.8%	13	14
KENT	50	50	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.3%	-	22	-	-	-	0.4%	-	22	-
Betterton town	1	1	100.0%	-	-	-	1	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	1	-	0.0%	0.0%	-	-
Rock Hall town	7	7	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.1%	-	-	-
QUEEN ANNE'S	320	264	82.5%	301	280	93.0%	19	6.3%	1.9%	1.6%	12	13	-16	-5.7%	2.3%	2.1%	11	11
TALBOT	158	158	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.9%	-	16	-	-	-	1.4%	-	16	-
Easton	21	21	100.0%	40	40	100.0%	-19	-47.5%	0.1%	0.2%	-	-	-19	-47.5%	0.2%	0.3%	-	-
<b>LOWER EASTERN SHORE</b>	<b>762</b>	<b>700</b>	<b>91.9%</b>	<b>320</b>	<b>262</b>	<b>81.9%</b>	<b>442</b>	<b>138.1%</b>	<b>4.5%</b>	<b>1.7%</b>			<b>438</b>	<b>167.2%</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>2.0%</b>		
DORCHESTER	81	81	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.5%	-	20	-	-	-	0.7%	-	20	-
SOMERSET	49	47	95.9%	20	20	100.0%	29	145.0%	0.3%	0.1%	23	17	27	135.0%	0.4%	0.1%	23	17
WICOMICO	278	234	84.2%	300	242	80.7%	-22	-7.3%	1.6%	1.6%	13	14	-8	-3.3%	2.1%	1.8%	12	13
WORCESTER	354	338	95.5%	-	-	-	-	-	2.1%	-	11	-	-	-	3.0%	-	9	-
Ocean City town	39	35	89.7%	60	46	76.7%	-21	-35.0%	0.2%	0.3%	-	-	-11	-23.9%	0.3%	0.3%	-	-

**PREPARED BY MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING. STATE DATA & ANALYSIS CENTER. January 2025**

**SOURCE: U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE. BUREAU OF THE CENSUS**

(1) Includes new one family units, two family units, three and four family units and five or more family units.

(2) U. S. Bureau of the Census estimate based on survey

(3) Sum of reported and imputed responses to monthly permit issuing places questionnaires

(4) Anne Arundel, Baltimore, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties

(5) Calvert, Carroll, Cecil, Charles, Frederick, Harford, Howard, Queen Anne's and St. Mary's Counties

(6) Allegany, Washington and Wicomico Counties

(7) Baltimore City

(8) Caroline, Dorchester, Garret, Kent, Somerset, Talbot and Worcester Counties

Specified PIP summaries included in county and county group total

Percentages provided for "State Percent" utilize State of Maryland data for the denominator. This is a minor adjustment from previous reports, which utilized State Sum of Reporting PIPs as the denominator.