Table 2C
New Housing Construction and Value : Year to Date December 2024-2021

	NEW HOUSING UNITS AUTHORIZED FOR CONSTRUCTION BY BUILDING PERMITS						T											
JURISDICTION	2024			2021			TOTAL HOUSING UNITS					SINGLE FAMLY UNITS						
	TOTAL	SINGLE FAMILY	PERCENT SINGLE FAMILY	TOTAL	SINGLE FAMILY	PERCENT SINGLE FAMILY	CHANGE		STATE PERCENT		COUNTY RANK		CHANGE		STATE PERCENT		COUNT	Y RANK
							NET	PERCENT	2024	2021	2024	2021	NET	PERCENT	2024	2021	2024	2021
STATE OF MARYLAND (2)	17,048	11,251	66.0%	18,611	12,340	66.3%	-1,563	-8.4%	100.0%	100.0%			-1,089	-8.8%	100.0%	100.0%		ĺ
STATE SUM OF MONTHLY REPORTING PIPs (3)	17,048	11,251	66.0%	17,441	11,472	65.8%	-393	-2.3%	100.0%	93.7%			-221	-1.9%	100.0%	93.0%		Ì
SUBURBAN COUNTIES	14,902	10,231	68.7%	15,518	11,083	71.4%	-616	-4.0%	87.4%	83.4%			-852	-7.7%	90.9%	89.8%		1
INNER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (4)	8,1 <i>7</i> 5	5,177	63.3%	7,047	4,542	64.5%	1,128	16.0%	48.0%	37.9%			635	14.0%	46.0%	36.8%		İ
OUTER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (5)	6,193	4,584	74.0%	8,248	6,318	76.6%	-2,055	-24.9%	36.3%	44.3%			-1,734	-27.4%	40.7%	51.2%		l
EXURBAN COUNTIES(6)	534	470	88.0%	223	223	100.0%	311	139.5%	3.1%	1.2%			247	110.8%	4.2%	1.8%		l
STATE BALANCE	2,146	1,020	47.5%	1,923	389	20.2%	223	11.6%	12.6%	10.3%			631	162.2%	9.1%	3.2%		l
URBAN (7)	1,273	165	13.0%	1,730	198	11.4%	-457	-26.4%	7.5%	9.3%			-33	-16.7%	1.5%	1.6%		İ
NON SUBURBAN (8)	873	855	97.9%	193	191	99.0%	680	352.3%	5.1%	1.0%			664	347.6%	7.6%	1.5%		
BALTIMORE REGION	5,927	3,548	59.9%	7,580	4,405	58.1%	-1,653	-21.8%	34.8%	40.7%			-857	-19.5%	31.5%	35.7%		
ANNE ARUNDEL	1,303	1,004	77.1%	1,745	1,405	80.5%	-442	-25.3%	7.6%	9.4%	6	4	-401	-28.5%	8.9%	11.4%	5	3
BALTIMORE COUNTY	1,511	866	57.3%	986	886	89.9%	525	53.2%	8.9%	5.3%	4	7	-20	-2.3%	7.7%	7.2%	6	5
CARROLL	156	144	92.3%	481	481	100.0%	-325	-67.6%	0.9%	2.6%	17	10	-337	-70.1%	1.3%	3.9%	17	9
HARFORD	803	777	96.8%	903	553	61.2%	-100	-11.1%	4.7%	4.9%	9	9	224	40.5%	6.9%	4.5%	7	8
HOWARD	881	592	67.2%	1,735	882	50.8%	-854	-49.2%	5.2%	9.3%	8	5	-290	-32.9%	5.3%	7.1%	8	6
BALTIMORE CITY	1,273	165	13.0%	1,730	198	11.4%	-457	-26.4%	7.5%	9.3%	7	6	-33	-16.7%	1.5%	1.6%	15	15
SUBURBAN WASHINGTON	6,923	4,492	64.9%	7,119	4,395	61.7%	-196	-2.8%	40.6%	38.3%			97	2.2%	39.9%	35.6%		
FREDERICK	1,562	1,185	75.9%	2,803	2,144	76.5%	-1,241	-44.3%	9.2%	15.1%	2	1	-959	-44.7%	10.5%	17.4%	3	1
MONTGOMERY	3,880	1,826	47.1%	1,857	793	42.7%	2,023	108.9%	22.8%	10.0%	1	3	1,033	130.3%	16.2%	6.4%	1	7
PRINCE GEORGE'S	1,481	1,481	100.0%	2,459	1,458	59.3%	-978	-39.8%	8.7%	13.2%	5	2	23	1.6%	13.2%	11.8%	2	2
SOUTHERN MARYLAND	1,908	1,389	72.8%	1,532	1,523	99.4%	376	24.5%	11.2%	8.2%			-134	-8.8%	12.3%	12.3%		1
CALVERT	101	98	97.0%	240	237	98.8%	-139	-57.9%	0.6%	1.3%	19	14	-139	-58.6%	0.9%	1.9%	19	13
CHARLES	1,542	1,026	66.5%	933	933	100.0%	609	65.3%	9.0%	5.0%	3	8	93	10.0%	9.1%	7.6%	4	4
ST. MARY'S	265	265	100.0%	359	353	98.3%	-94	-26.2%	1.6%	1.9%	14	13	-88	-24.9%	2.4%	2.9%	10	11
WESTERN MARYLAND	378	358	94.7%	153	151	98.7%	225	147.1%	2.2%	0.8%			207	137.1%	3.2%	1.2%		1
ALLEGANY	24	24	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.1%	-	24	-	-	-	0.2%	-	24	-
Frostburg	4	4	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-			-	-	0.0%	-		l
Lonaconing town	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-			-	-	0.0%	-		l
GARRETT	122	122	100.0%	153	151	98.7%	-31	-20.3%	0.7%	0.8%	18	16	-29	-19.2%	1.1%	1.2%	18	16
WASHINGTON	232	212	91.4%	-	-	-	-	-	1.4%	-	15	-	-	-	1.9%	-	14	
UPPER EASTERN SHORE	1,150	764	66.4%	794	735	92.6%	356	44.8%	6.7%	4.3%			29	3.9%	6.8%	6.0%		ĺ
CAROLINE	59	59	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.3%	-	21	-	-	-	0.5%	-	21	-
Marydel town	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-			-	-	0.0%	-		l
Preston town	2	2	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-			-	-	0.0%	-		l
CECIL	563	233	41.4%	366	349	95.4%	197	53.8%	3.3%	2.0%	10	12	-116	-33.2%	2.1%	2.8%	13	12
KENT	50	50	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	0.3%	-	22	-	-	-	0.4%	-	22	1 -
Betterton town	1	1	100.0%	-	-	-	1	-	0.0%	0.0%			1	-	0.0%	0.0%		i
Rock Hall town	7	7		-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	-			-	-	0.1%	-		i
QUEEN ANNE'S	320	264	82.5%	428	386	90.2%	-108	-25.2%	1.9%	2.3%	12	11	-122	-31.6%	2.3%	3.1%	11	10
TALBOT Easton	158 21	158 21	100.0% 100.0%	- 72	- 72	100.0%	- -51	-70.8%	0.9% 0.1%	0.4%	16	-	- -51	-70.8%	1.4% 0.2%	0.6%	16	-
																		1
LOWER EASTERN SHORE DORCHESTER	762 81	700 81	91.9% 100.0%	263	263	100.0%	499	189.7%	4.5% 0.5%	1.4 %	20		437	166.2%	6.2% 0.7%	2.1%	20	
SOMERSET	49	47	95.9%	40	40	100.0%	9	22.5%	0.3%	0.2%	23	17	7	17.5%	0.4%	0.3%	23	17
WICOMICO	278	234	84.2%	223	223	100.0%	55	24.7%	1.6%	1.2%	13	15	11	4.9%	2.1%	1.8%	12	14
WORCESTER	354	338	95.5%		-	_			2.1%		11	-	-	_	3.0%	_	9	1
Ocean City town	39	35	89.7%	86	56	65.1%	-47	-54.7%	0.2%	0.5%	''		-21	-37.5%	0.3%	0.5%		i
,			1	00	55	1 231770	1	, 70]	2.270]	1				i

PREPARED BY MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING. STATE DATA & ANALYSIS CENTER. January 2025

SOURCE: U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE. BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

- (1) Includes new one family units, two family units, three and four family units and five or more family units.
- (2) U. S. Bureau of the Census estimate based on survey
- (3) Sum of reported and imputed responses to monthly permit issuing places questionnaires
- (4) Anne Arundel, Baltimore, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties
- (5) Calvert, Carroll, Cecil, Charles, Frederick, Harford, Howard, Queen Anne's and St. Mary's Counties
- (6) Allegany, Washington and Wicomico Counties
- (7) Baltimore City
- (8) Caroline, Dorchester, Garret, Kent, Somerset, Talbot and Worcester Counties

Specified PIP summaries included in county and county group total

Percentages provided for "State Percent" utilize State of Maryland data for the denominator. This is a minor adjustment from previous reports, which utilized State Sum of Reporting PIPs as the denominator.