Table 2A. NEW HOUSING UNITS AUTHORIZED FOR CONSTRUCTION JANUARY 2023 AND 2022

JURISDICTION	JANUARY						TOTAL HOUSING UNITS						SINGLE-FAMILY UNITS					
	2023			2022														
	TOTAL	SINGLE	Percent Single	TOTAL	SINGLE	Percent Single	Change		State Percent		County Rank		Change		State Percent		County Rank	
		FAMILY	Family		FAMILY	Family	Net	Percent	2023	2022	2023	2022	Net	Percent	2023	2022	2023	2022
STATE OF MARYLAND (2)	1,502	706	47.0%	2,062	892	43.3%	(560)	-27.2%	100.0%	100.0%			(186)	-20.9%	100.0%	100.0%		
STATE SUM OF MONTHLY REPORTING PIPs (3)	1,502	706	47.0%	2,062	892	43.3%	(560)	-27.16%	100.0%	100.0%			(186)	-20.9%	100.0%	100.0%		
SUBURBAN COUNTIES INNER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (4)	1,291 334	643 269	<b>49.8%</b> 80.5%	1,832 1,355	838 367	<b>45.7%</b> 27.1%	(541) (1,021)	-29.5% -75.4%	86.0% 22.2%	88.8% 65.7%			(195) (98)	-23.3% -26.7%	91.1% 38.1%	93.9% 41.1%		
OUTER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (5)	887	304	34.3%	441	435	98.6%	446	101.1%	59.1%	21.4%			(131)	-30.1%	43.1%	48.8%		
EXURBAN COUNTIES(6)	70	70	100.0%	36	36	100.0%	34	94.4%	4.7%	1.7%			34	94.4%	9.9%	4.0%		
STATE BALANCE	211	63	29.9%	230	54	23.5%	(19)	-8.3%	14.0%	11.2%			9	16.7%	8.9%	6.1%		
URBAN (7)	155	9	5.8%	186	10	5.4%	(31)	-16.7%	10.3%	9.0%			(1)	-10.0%	1.3%	1.1%		
NON SÜBÜRBAN (8)	56	54	96.4%	44	44	100.0%	12	27.3%	3.7%	2.1%			10	22.7%	7.6%	4.9%		
BALTIMORE REGION	752	200	26.6%	750	316	42.1%	2	0.3%	50.1%	36.4%			(116)	-36.7%	28.3%	35.4%		
ANNE ARUNDEL	97	97	100.0%	413	155	37.5%	(316)	-76.5%	6.5%	20.0%	7	2	(58)	-37.4%	13.7%	17.4%	2	2
BALTIMORE COUNTY	3	3	100.0%	22	22	100.0%	(19)	-86.4%	0.2%	1.1%	19	12	(19)	-86.4%	0.4%	2.5%	19	11
CARROLL	3	3	100.0%	51	51	100.0%	(48)	-94.1%	0.2%	2.5%	20	6	(48)	-94.1%	0.4%	5.7%	19	4
HARFORD	279	26	9.3%	41	41	100.0%	238	580.5%	18.6%	2.0%	1	8	(15)	-36.6%	3.7%	4.6%	9	7
HOWARD	215	62	28.8%	37	37	100.0%	178	481.1%	14.3%	1.8%	2	9	25	67.6%	8.8%	4.1%	5	8
BALTIMORE CITY	155	9	5.8%	186	10	5.4%	(31)	-16.7%	10.3%	9.0%	5	3	(1)	-10.0%	1.3%	1.1%	15	17
SUBURBAN WASHINGTON	348	240	69.0%	1,103	367	33.3%	(755)	-68.4%	23.2%	53.5%			(127)	-34.6%	34.0%	41.1%		
FREDERICK	114	71	62.3%	183	177	96.7%	(69)	-37.7%	7.6%	8.9%	6	4	(106)	-59.9%	10.1%	19.8%	3	1
MONTGOMERY	57	45	78.9%	52	47	90.4%	5	9.6%	3.8%	2.5%	10	5	(2)	-4.3%	6.4%	5.3%	7	5
PRINCE GEORGE'S	177	124	70.1%	868	143	16.5%	(691)	-79.6%	11.8%	42.1%	3	1	(19)	-13.3%	17.6%	16.0%	1	3
SOUTHERN MARYLAND	88	88	100.0%	86	86	100.0%	2	2.3%	5.9%	4.2%		l	2	2.3%	12.5%	9.6%		
CALVERT	7	7	100.0%	24	24	100.0%	(17)	-70.8%	0.5%	1.2%	17	11	(17)	-70.8%	1.0%	2.7%	17	10
CHARLES	67	67	100.0%	46	46	100.0%	21	45.7%	4.5%	2.2%	8	7	21	45.7%	9.5%	5.2%	4	6
ST. MARY'S	14	14	100.0%	16	16	100.0%	(2)	-12.5%	0.9%	0.8%	13	15	(2)	-12.5%	2.0%	1.8%	12	14
WESTERN MARYLAND ALLEGANY	69	69	100.0% 0.0%	25	25	100.0% 0.0%	44	176.0% 0.0%	4.6% 0.0%	1.2% 0.0%			44	176.0% 0.0%	9.8% 0.0%	2.8% 0.0%		
Frostburg	1	•	0.0%	-	-	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%				0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Lonaconing town		[	0.0%			0.0%	:	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%				0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
GARRETT	10	10	100.0%			0.0%	10	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	15		10	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	14	
WASHINGTON	59	59	100.0%	25	25	100.0%	34	136.0%	3.9%	1.2%	9	10	34	136.0%	8.4%	2.8%	6	9
UPPER EASTERN SHORE	204	68	33.3%		64	100.0%	140	218.8%	13.6%	3.1%			4	6.3%	9.6%	7.2%		
	3	3	100.0%	64	64	100.0%					21	20		-50.0%	0.4%	0.7%	10	20
CAROLINE Marydal town	] 3	3		ь	6		(3)	-50.0%	0.2% 0.0%	0.3%	21	20	(3)	-50.0%	0.4%	0.7%	19	20
Marydel town	-	[	0.0%			0.0%		0.0%		0.0%		0	l .	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Preston town CECIL	28	28	0.0% 100.0%	21	21	0.0% 100.0%	7	0.0%	0.0%		1,1	14	7	33.3%	4.0%	2.4%	8	13
KENT	3	28 1	33.3%	21 5	5	100.0%	(2)	33.3% -40.0%	1.9% 0.2%	1.0% 0.2%	11 22	21	(4)	-80.0%	4.0% 0.1%	0.6%	23	21
Betterton town	] 3	1 1	0.0%	э	)	0.0%	(2)	-40.0%	0.2%	0.2%	44	""	(4)	-80.0%	0.1%	0.6%	23	21
Rock Hall town	1	[	0.0%			0.0%	Ι΄.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			l .	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
QUEEN ANNE'S	160	26	16.3%	22	22	100.0%	138	627.3%	10.7%	1.1%	4	12	4	18.2%	3.7%	2.5%	9	11
TALBOT	160	8	100.0%	10	10	100.0%	(2)	-20.0%	0.5%	0.5%	16	18	(2)	-20.0%	1.1%	1.1%	9 16	18
Easton	2	2	100.0%	8	8	100.0%	(6)	-20.0% -75.0%	0.5%	0.5%	10	10	(6)	-20.0% -75.0%	0.3%	0.9%	10	10
LOWER EASTERN SHORE	46	46	100.0%	34	34	100.0%	12	35.3%	3.1%	1.6%			12	35.3%	6.5%	3.8%		
DORCHESTER	5	5	100.0%	7	7	100.0%	(2)	-28.6%	0.3%	0.3%	18	19	(2)	-28.6%	0.7%	0.8%	18	19
SOMERSET	3	3	100.0%	1	1	100.0%	2	200.0%	0.2%	0.0%	23	19	2	200.0%	0.7%	0.8%	19	22
WICOMICO	11	11	100.0%	11	11	100.0%		0.0%	0.2%	0.5%	14	17	l ."	0.0%	1.6%	1.2%	13	16
WORCESTER	24	24	100.0%	15	15	100.0%	9	60.0%	1.6%	0.5%	12	16		60.0%	3.4%	1.7%	11	15
	3	3	100.0%	2	2	100.0%	1	50.0%	0.2%	0.7%	12	10	1 1	50.0%	0.4%	0.2%	11	15
Ocean city town	. 3	1 3	100.0%	2	l 2	100.0%	1 1	30.0%	0.270	0.170	1	1	I 1	50.0%	0.4%	0.2%		1

PREPARED BY MD DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING. PLANNING DATA SERVICES. JANUARY 2023.

SOURCE: U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE. BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

SOURCE: U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE. BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

(1) Includes new one family units, two family units, the read not family units and five or more family units.

(2) U. S. Bureau of the Census estimate based on survey.

(3) Sum of reported and imputed responses to monthly permit issuing places questionnaires.

(4) Anne Arundel, Baltimore, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties.

(5) Calvert, Carroll, Cecil, Charles, Frederick, Harford, Howard, Queen Anne's and St. Mary's Counties.

(6) Allegany, Washington and Wicomico Counties.

(7) Baltimore City.

(8) Caroline, Dorchester, Garret, Kent, Somerset, Talbot and Worcester Counties.

Specified PIP summaries included in county and county group total.