

Table 2A.
NEW HOUSING UNITS(1) AUTHORIZED FOR CONSTRUCTION: YEAR TO DATE JANUARY 2022 AND 2021

| JURISDICTION | YEAR TO DATE JANUARY | | | | | | TOTAL HOUSING UNITS | | | | | | SINGLE-FAMILY UNITS | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|---------------|-----------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|------|---------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|------|
| | 2022 | | | 2021 | | | Change | | State Percent | | County Rank | | Change | | State Percent | | County Rank | |
| | TOTAL | SINGLE FAMILY | Percent Single Family | TOTAL | SINGLE FAMILY | Percent Single Family | Net | Percent | 2022 | 2021 | 2022 | 2021 | Net | Percent | 2022 | 2021 | 2022 | 2021 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| STATE OF MARYLAND (2) | 2,062 | 892 | 43.3% | 1,320 | 1,144 | 86.7% | 742 | 56.2% | 100.0% | 103.5% | | | (252) | -22.0% | 100.0% | 104.1% | | |
| STATE SUM OF MONTHLY REPORTING PIPs (3) | 2,062 | 892 | 43.3% | 1,275 | 1,099 | 86.2% | 787 | 61.73% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | | (207) | -18.8% | 100.0% | 100.0% | | |
| SUBURBAN COUNTIES | 1,832 | 838 | 45.7% | 1,204 | 1,058 | 87.9% | 628 | 52.2% | 88.8% | 94.4% | | | (220) | -20.8% | 93.9% | 96.3% | | |
| INNER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (4) | 1,355 | 367 | 27.1% | 631 | 531 | 84.2% | 724 | 114.7% | 65.7% | 49.5% | | | (164) | -30.9% | 41.1% | 48.3% | | |
| OUTER SUBURBAN COUNTIES (5) | 441 | 435 | 98.6% | 539 | 493 | 91.5% | (98) | -18.2% | 21.4% | 42.3% | | | (58) | -11.8% | 48.8% | 44.9% | | |
| EXURBAN COUNTIES(6) | 36 | 36 | 100.0% | 34 | 34 | 100.0% | 2 | 5.9% | 1.7% | 2.7% | | | 2 | 5.9% | 4.0% | 3.1% | | |
| STATE BALANCE | 230 | 54 | 23.5% | 71 | 41 | 57.7% | 159 | 223.9% | 11.2% | 5.6% | | | 13 | 31.7% | 6.1% | 3.7% | | |
| URBAN (7) | 186 | 10 | 5.4% | 44 | 14 | 31.8% | 142 | 322.7% | 9.0% | 3.5% | | | (4) | -28.6% | 1.1% | 1.3% | | |
| NON SUBURBAN (8) | 44 | 44 | 100.0% | 27 | 27 | 100.0% | 17 | 63.0% | 2.1% | 2.1% | | | 17 | 63.0% | 4.9% | 2.5% | | |
| BALTIMORE REGION | 750 | 316 | 42.1% | 429 | 378 | 88.1% | 321 | 74.8% | 36.4% | 33.6% | | | (62) | -16.4% | 35.4% | 34.4% | | |
| ANNE ARUNDEL | 413 | 155 | 37.5% | 133 | 116 | 87.2% | 280 | 210.5% | 20.0% | 10.4% | 2 | 4 | 39 | 33.6% | 17.4% | 10.6% | 2 | 3 |
| BALTIMORE COUNTY | 22 | 22 | 100.0% | 92 | 88 | 95.7% | (70) | -76.1% | 1.1% | 7.2% | 12 | 5 | (66) | -75.0% | 2.5% | 8.0% | 11 | 5 |
| CARROLL | 51 | 51 | 100.0% | 37 | 37 | 100.0% | 14 | 37.8% | 2.5% | 2.9% | 6 | 11 | 14 | 37.8% | 5.7% | 3.4% | 4 | 10 |
| HARFORD | 41 | 41 | 100.0% | 50 | 50 | 100.0% | (9) | -18.0% | 2.0% | 3.9% | 8 | 9 | (9) | -18.0% | 4.6% | 4.5% | 7 | 9 |
| HOWARD | 37 | 37 | 100.0% | 73 | 73 | 100.0% | (36) | -49.3% | 1.8% | 5.7% | 9 | 6 | (36) | -49.3% | 4.1% | 6.6% | 8 | 6 |
| BALTIMORE CITY | 186 | 10 | 5.4% | 44 | 14 | 31.8% | 142 | 322.7% | 9.0% | 3.5% | 3 | 10 | (4) | -28.6% | 1.1% | 1.3% | 17 | 16 |
| SUBURBAN WASHINGTON | 1,103 | 367 | 33.3% | 588 | 468 | 79.6% | 515 | 87.6% | 53.5% | 46.1% | | | (101) | -21.6% | 41.1% | 42.6% | | |
| FREDERICK | 183 | 177 | 96.7% | 182 | 141 | 77.5% | 1 | 0.5% | 8.9% | 14.3% | 4 | 2 | 36 | 25.5% | 19.8% | 12.8% | 1 | 2 |
| MONTGOMERY | 52 | 47 | 90.4% | 178 | 99 | 55.6% | (126) | -70.8% | 2.5% | 14.0% | 5 | 3 | (52) | -52.5% | 5.3% | 9.0% | 5 | 4 |
| PRINCE GEORGE'S | 868 | 143 | 16.5% | 228 | 228 | 100.0% | 640 | 280.7% | 42.1% | 17.9% | 1 | 1 | (85) | -37.3% | 16.0% | 20.7% | 3 | 1 |
| SOUTHERN MARYLAND | 86 | 86 | 100.0% | 158 | 153 | 96.8% | (72) | -45.6% | 4.2% | 12.4% | | | (67) | -43.8% | 9.6% | 13.9% | | |
| CALVERT | 24 | 24 | 100.0% | 22 | 22 | 100.0% | 2 | 9.1% | 1.2% | 1.7% | 11 | 12 | 2 | 9.1% | 2.7% | 2.0% | 10 | 11 |
| CHARLES | 46 | 46 | 100.0% | 65 | 65 | 100.0% | (19) | -29.2% | 2.2% | 5.1% | 7 | 8 | (19) | -29.2% | 5.2% | 5.9% | 6 | 8 |
| ST. MARY'S | 16 | 16 | 100.0% | 71 | 66 | 93.0% | (55) | -77.5% | 0.8% | 5.6% | 15 | 7 | (50) | -75.8% | 1.8% | 6.0% | 14 | 7 |
| WESTERN MARYLAND | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ALLEGANY (pt) * | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Frostburg* | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lonaconing town* | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| GARRETT | - | - | 0.0% | 12 | 12 | 100.0% | (12) | -100.0% | 0.0% | 0.9% | 0 | 17 | (12) | -100.0% | 0.0% | 1.1% | 0 | 17 |
| WASHINGTON | 25 | 25 | 100.0% | 17 | 17 | 100.0% | 8 | 47.1% | 1.2% | 1.3% | 10 | 15 | 8 | 47.1% | 2.8% | 1.5% | 9 | 14 |
| UPPER EASTERN SHORE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CAROLINE (pt) * | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Marydel town* | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Preston town* | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CECIL | 21 | 21 | 100.0% | 19 | 19 | 100.0% | 2 | 10.5% | 1.0% | 1.5% | 14 | 14 | 2 | 10.5% | 2.4% | 1.7% | 13 | 13 |
| KENT (pt) * | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Betterton town | - | - | 0.0% | - | - | 0.0% | - | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | - | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Rock Hall town* | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| QUEEN ANNE'S | 22 | 22 | 100.0% | 20 | 20 | 100.0% | 2 | 10.0% | 1.1% | 1.6% | 12 | 13 | 2 | 10.0% | 2.5% | 1.8% | 11 | 12 |
| TALBOT * | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Easton | 8 | 8 | 100.0% | 6 | 6 | 100.0% | 2 | 33.3% | 0.4% | 0.5% | | | 2 | 33.3% | 0.9% | 0.5% | | |
| LOWER EASTERN SHORE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DORCHESTER * | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SOMERSET | 1 | 1 | 100.0% | 4 | 4 | 100.0% | (3) | -75.0% | 0.0% | 0.3% | 22 | 18 | (3) | -75.0% | 0.1% | 0.4% | 22 | |
| WICOMICO | 11 | 11 | 100.0% | 17 | 17 | 100.0% | (6) | -35.3% | 0.5% | 1.3% | 17 | 16 | (6) | -35.3% | 1.2% | 1.5% | 16 | 15 |
| WORCESTER* | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ocean city town | 2 | 2 | 100.0% | 5 | 5 | 100.0% | (3) | -60.0% | 0.1% | 0.4% | | | 0 | 0.0% | 0.2% | 0.0% | | |

PREPARED BY MD DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING. PLANNING DATA SERVICES. FEBRUARY 2022

SOURCE: U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE. BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

(1) Includes new one family units, two family units, three and four family units and five or more family units.

(2) U. S. Bureau of the Census estimate based on survey

(3) Sum of reported and imputed responses to monthly permit issuing places questionnaires

(4) Anne Arundel, Baltimore, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties

(5) Calvert, Carroll, Cecil, Charles, Frederick, Harford, Howard, Queen Anne's and St. Mary's Counties

(6) Allegany, Washington and Wicomico Counties

(7) Baltimore City

(8) Caroline, Dorchester, Garret, Kent, Somerset, Talbot and Worcester Counties