

Reinvest Maryland: Heights – Baltimore Sustainable Growth Challenge 2015/2016

Jason Poole Jennifer Ren Laura Robinson Dr. Byoung-Suk Kweon

Acknowledgments

- Druid Heights Community Development Center
- Druid Heights Residents
- The Chesapeake Bay Trust
- Baltimore Office of Sustainability
- Parks & People
- Maryland Department of Natural Resources



- I. Challenge
- II. Inventory and Analysis
- III. Process
- **IV.** Design Solution
- V. Material Selection

VI. Benefits

- Environmental
- Social
- Economics
- VII. Next Steps
 - Pre-Construction
 - Costs
- VIII. Conclusion



Reinvest in Druid Heights by revitalizing a vacant lot

- Address the needs and concerns of the community
- Improve the quality of life for residents
- Assure economic prosperity for the neighborhood
- Complement land preservation & natural resource protection efforts
- Meet the Triple Bottom Line

INVENTORY & ANALYSIS

Regional Context



Neighborhood

- Neighborhood in transition
- Vacant row homes
- Drugs, crime and prostitution
- Depressed local economy



Circulation

- Intersection of Division Ave & Gold St.
- 2-way traffic with street parking





Sights and Sounds

Urban Neighborhood

Street Noise









Climate



- Average high/low temperature: 66.3 F/50.6F
- Possible freezing temperatures: November-Mid April
- Average annual precipitation: 40in
- Baltimore is protected from harsh weather variations year round by the Chesapeake Bay to the east and the Appalachian Mts to the west.

Site Survey

- Vacant Lot
- Former coal yard
- Invasive plant species
- Hydrologic soil rating: D
- Over grown vegetation encourages criminal activity





Hydrology and Drainage



- Contour lines at 1ft intervals
- Land is relatively flat and water drains to the northeast.
- Baltimore is situated at the mouth of the Patapsco River and drains directly into the Chesapeake Bay.

Shade/Sun Analysis



Morning

Afternoon

Existing Vegetation



- Vacant urban lot with weeds
 - Invasive plants

PROCESS

Community Meeting (October 24, 2015)





Voting: Community Engagement







Initial Designs



1st Design Meeting (November 19, 2015)



DESIGN SOLUTION

Presented December 10, 2015

Founders of the Community Development Center





1. East Entrance



2. Natural Play Area Water Feature



3. Stage

N. Zahar

- j

Stage at Night



4. Meditation Area



MATERIAL SELECTION

Material Selection



- Talkie tubes
- Wood stumps
- Boulders
- Brick and concrete
- Solar lamps











Trees for Performance



Betula nigra River birch



Quercus phellos Willow oak



Acer rubrum Red maple

Plants for Phytoremediation



Brassica juncea Indian mustard



Digitalis purpurea foxglove



Violet spp. violets

BENEFITS

Environmental

- 750 gal/yr treated in the bio-retention area
- 14,807 gal/yr intercepted by trees
- 2,287 lbs/yr atmospheric carbon reduction
- 100% recycling onsite materials
- Native vegetation for pollinators
- Water Quality
 - Aligns with Baltimore's Small Watershed Action Plan (SWAP)
 - Contributes to a healthier Chesapeake Bay
 - Fits Baltimore's Watershed Agreement goals for
 - Stormwater Management
 - Community Greening
 - Public Health
 - Development & Redevelopment
 - Trash Reduction



Social

- Attracts more single families to the Druid Heights
- Trees improve public perceptions of safety
- Parks strengthen community engagement; events/gathering spaces
- Trees remove suspended air particles and help allergy sufferers
- Parks increase recreational opportunities for residents
- Provides access to urban greenspace
- Trees reduce noise pollution





Economics



- Improves median home values
- Trees in urban settings can help reduce healthcare costs by up to \$10,000 annually
- Bio-retention areas are low maintenance
- Sustainable Urban Design can invigorate economic development in the neighborhood
 - Druid Heights re-branding as "Arts & Entertainment District"
 - Re-zoned for Mixed-Use pending





NEXT STEPS

Pre-Construction

- Funding
- Permitting
- Construction Documents
- Break Ground







Category	Description	Unit	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Sitework					
General						#8	8 aggregate	су	60	50	\$3,000
	Engineering and survey	ls	1	\$15,000	\$15,000	#5	57 aggregate	су	119.2	50	\$5 <i>,</i> 960
	Mobilization	ls	1	\$5,000	\$5,000	#2	2 aggregate	су	119.2	44.5	\$5,304
Erosion & sediment control						Pe	ermeable Pavers	sf	3,219	\$16	\$49 <i>,</i> 895
	Stabilized construction entrance	ea	1	\$2,000	\$2,000	Ga	abion seating wall - 6' long, 18" high	ea	18.5	\$550	\$10,175
	Silt fence	lf	366	\$0.83	\$304	Fe	encing	lf	211	\$25.0	\$5,275
	Geotextile	sy	42	\$56	\$2,352	Br	rick Wall	sf	734	\$50.6	\$37,140
	Temporary seeding	sy	2,743	\$0.36	\$988	S	olar Balmoral Single Lamp Post Light	ea	7	\$1,000.0	\$7,000
	Constructed sediment trap	ea	1	\$500	\$500	St	tage Concrete	cf	761	\$0.89	\$677
	Temporary channel	lf	100	\$5	\$500	St	tage Brickwork	sf	35.8	\$50.6	\$1,811
Play Area						Landscape					
	Nature Play space	ea	1	\$10,000.00	\$10,000	Fi	ill bioretention with soil mixture	су	42	\$35	\$1,470
	Play Base	sy	58	\$2.35	\$136	Tr	rees	ea	6	\$350	\$2,100
	Tubes	ea	3	\$500	\$1,500	Sh	hrubs	ea	20	\$120	\$2,400
Earthwork			Gi	roundcover & grasses	sf	6,081	\$9	\$54,732			
	Excavation	су	42	\$14.35	\$603	Optional Wate	er Features				
	Backfill	су	81	\$6	\$486	Sp	plash Pad	ea	1	\$145,000	\$145,000
	Load and haul	су	39	\$15	\$585	M	1editation Fountain	ea	1	\$2,000.00	\$2,000

*Cost estimate is based on RSMeans Site Work &		Subtotal:	\$373,894
Landscape Cost Data (2013).		Contingency:	20%
		Total:	\$448,672
	Subtotal without	water features:	\$226,894
		Contingency:	20%
		Total:	\$272,272

Conclusion

- Reinvests in Maryland
- Addresses the needs and concerns of the community
- Improves the quality of life for residents
- Assures economic prosperity for the neighborhood
- Complements land preservation & natural resource protection efforts
- Triple Bottom Line
 - Environmental Stewardship
 - Social Equity
 - Economic Growth



Environmental

Protection

Social

Responsibility

Sustainable

Principles

Economic Success